

Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.

Reserve
KF4755
.U5

Equal Opportunity Report USDA Programs 1977

U.S. DEPT. OF AGRICULTURE
OFFICE OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY



U.S. DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF EQUAL OPPORTUNITY

EQUAL OPPORTUNITY REPORT
USDA PROGRAMS
1977

CONTENTS

	<u>PAGE</u>
PREFACE James Frazier, Director Office of Equal Opportunity	2
ORGANIZATIONAL CHART	6
SECTION 1 Compliance and Enforcement	8
SECTION 2 Minority Business Assistance	28
SECTION 3 Program Planning and Evaluation	36

Washington, D. C.

July 1978

PREFACE

The Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO) is the staff office of the Department of Agriculture responsible for providing leadership and direction to efforts assuring nondiscrimination and equal opportunity in USDA programs. OEO's functions are performed by the office of the Director and three divisions: Compliance and Enforcement Division, Program Planning and Evaluation Division and Minority Business Assistance Division. A total of 48 full-time positions constitute OEO's authorized staffing.

This is the sixth annual OEO report. Each OEO division has a section in this report that details and provides important facts and findings about their work in 1977. In addition to describing the work of OEO, the report includes statistical data on minority participation in USDA programs. For the first time in the history of these reports, data is included on minority participation at the county level in one agency's programs--Soil Conservation Service--and on membership by sex in one agency's county committees--Farmers Home Administration.

Some of the most important OEO accomplishments during the past year include:

- A total of more than 15,800 Title VI and direct assistance compliance reviews were performed in the Department in 1977 with 121 findings of noncompliance and 54 enforcement proceedings initiated as a result.
- The value of contracts awarded to minority firms under Section 8(a) increased slightly from \$6.3 million in 1976 to \$6.4 million in 1977.
- A negotiated agreement was reached between the Department of Agriculture, the Bureau of Indian Affairs and the Soil Conservation Service providing for soil and water conservation assistance on Indian trust lands.
- Reviews of seven State Cooperative Extension Services were initiated.
- A total of 142 USDA actions were evaluated for civil rights implications in 1977.
- Actions were initiated that will result in USDA policies prohibiting age, sex and handicapped discrimination in USDA programs in 1978.

Some of the most important findings about minority program participation in the last year include:

- USDA agencies spent 147.6 person years and \$2.6 million on Title VI and direct assistance compliance activities in 1977.
- Program complaints alleging discrimination increased from 213 in 1976 to 290 in 1977.

- Deposits by USDA agencies in minority owned banks decreased from \$1.5 million in 1976 to \$862,000 in 1977.
- USDA aid to 1890 colleges and universities increased from \$20.5 million in 1976 to \$21.7 million in 1977.
- Forty-two percent of more than 1,000 counties in ten States surveyed showed significant disparities between minority and nonminority participation in Soil Conservation Service programs.
- Twenty-one percent of the extension 4-H units organized in racially or ethnically mixed communities remain segregated. This is virtually the same proportion of segregation that has existed for the two previous years.
- Minorities hold the chief county extension position in 4.5 percent of 2,229 positions in 46 States surveyed. Twenty-seven of the States reporting had no minority professionals in supervisory positions at the county level.
- Minorities received 12.4 percent of the rural housing loans made by the Farmers Home Administration in 1977 as compared with 12.9 percent in 1976. As a measure of effectiveness, it is generally agreed that minorities occupy about 30 percent of all substandard housing in rural areas.
- Minorities received 2.3 percent of the farm ownership loans made by the Farmers Home Administration in 1977.

In reaffirming the Department's commitment to equal opportunity, on September 13, 1977, Secretary Bergland issued a Civil Rights Policy Statement. Then on October 12, 1977, Secretary Bergland issued a memorandum to heads of USDA Agencies setting forth his policy designed to increase civil rights awareness by USDA officials during participation in meetings and conferences. The Secretary's Statement and Memorandum follow this Preface.

This report is published to provide USDA program managers and equal opportunity staffs with information that will assist them to promote equal opportunity for all persons in USDA programs without regard to race, color, sex, religion, national origin, age or handicap. It is also published to provide the public with information on the status of equal opportunity in USDA programs.


James Frazier
Director

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

September 13, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO HEADS OF DEPARTMENT AGENCIES

Civil Rights Policy in USDA Programs

President Carter has issued the attached memorandum setting forth the policy of this Administration regarding enforcement of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. Title VI prohibits discrimination in Federally-assisted programs on the grounds of race, color or national origin.



I am committed to the principle of equal opportunity for all persons regardless of race, color, sex, religion or national origin in the programs and activities of the Department of Agriculture. We are responsible and we will be held accountable for assuring equal opportunity in what we say and do and in how we carry out our programs.

The following are some general guidelines regarding civil rights in USDA programs. As the Department's first line of leadership, it will be necessary for you to develop specific action steps for implementing civil rights policy in programs under your jurisdiction. The Office of Equal Opportunity will assist you and will help monitor your progress.

1. Civil rights laws, policies and regulations will be applied fairly, vigorously and expeditiously.
2. Each administrator, office director, program manager and line supervisor will be held accountable for demonstrating personal leadership in civil rights.
3. Sufficient resources will be committed to implementing the law.
4. Agencies and offices will establish and incorporate civil rights into their overall planning and evaluation operations.

Your attention is directed to the President's statement on fund terminations as the "preferred method of enforcing Title VI." Furthermore, he states that, "the effective use of the sanctions provided by Title VI is an essential element of this administration's efforts to guarantee that Federal funds do not flow to discriminatory programs."

I, too, am directing that each Agency Head in the Department of Agriculture "must exert firm leadership to ensure" that this law is fully enforced.



Attachment

Secretary of Agriculture

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE

OFFICE OF THE SECRETARY

WASHINGTON, D. C. 20250

October 12, 1977

MEMORANDUM TO HEADS OF DEPARTMENT AGENCIES


Participation in Meetings and Conferences

The President has directed that members of his Cabinet and top department and agency officials maintain close contact with the people of America. Moreover, he has also stated the policy that Federal officials will avoid participation in any meetings held in facilities that discriminate on the basis of race, sex, religion or national origin.

I am aware that the Department of Agriculture has been seen by many as being unsympathetic to the problems of rural low income and minority people. Since one of our missions is to promote equal opportunity for all persons who participate in our programs and to overcome the effects of past discrimination, it is important that we use every opportunity to convey our concern and commitment on this matter to the public.


1. When preparing to visit the field, be certain you are apprised of any civil rights concerns that exist there.
2. Do not accept invitations from groups or organizations which practice discrimination in any form.
3. Schedule visits that will enable you to include meetings with minority beneficiaries of USDA programs whenever possible.
4. Encourage local efforts to increase minority and women employment in USDA-assisted programs and participation in USDA programs.
5. Include appropriate references to USDA equal opportunity policy in your public and private statements.

This Department exists to serve the needs of persons and communities who depend upon agriculture and associated rural development efforts for their livelihood. We rely upon the good will and support of those we serve. I want us to be sure that we apply this principle with special sensitivity and awareness to minority persons and women.




Secretary of Agriculture

UNITES STATES DEPARTMENT OF AGRICULTURE
Office of Equal Opportunity



Compliance and Enforcement

Section 1



COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION

INTRODUCTION

The mission of the Compliance and Enforcement Division (C&E) is to ensure that equal opportunity is a reality for all citizens participating in Title VI federally assisted programs and direct assistance programs, both of which are operated by agencies within the U.S. Department of Agriculture. Discrimination because of race, color, or national origin in USDA programs and benefits is prohibited by Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as well as by Departmental Rules and Regulations published in Title 7 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 15. C&E Specialists review civil rights compliance activities for over 40 Title VI assistance programs among fourteen USDA agencies. In this capacity C&E Specialists work in conjunction with those agency program coordinators who have the prime responsibility for implementation of civil rights requirements (Table 1-1 reflects the Title VI and Direct Assistance workload carried by individual agencies). An additional component of the C&E mission is to conduct on-site compliance reviews of USDA programs and activities and to resolve complaints of discrimination.

1977 proved to be a year of intensive follow-up activities by C&E Specialists on behalf of those special-emphasis projects which had been initiated during 1976. For instance, during 1976 C&E had launched a major undertaking to assess the effectiveness of the civil rights compliance review activities of six major USDA program agencies. This series of concentrated efforts had resulted in a report of findings and recommendations designed for each of the six agencies reviewed. Accordingly, 1977 saw C&E Specialists involved in a series of discussions and negotiations with agency civil rights personnel concerning the degree of implementation of the various recommendations contained in C&E's reports.

Another example of C&E's follow-up activities during 1977 concerned C&E's involvement with rural recreation associations which had received direct or insured loans from the Farmers Home Administration (FmHA). This project resulted in individual reports of findings and recommendations for each of the 40 recreation associations reviewed (out of a total of 795 which had received assistance). In addition to individual reports, project efforts resulted in a summary report of findings and recommendations to be applied to civil rights compliance activities at all recreation associations.

A major special-emphasis project was launched in 1977. It focused (1) on the Title VI compliance status of summer camps which received assistance from Extension Service (ES), (which became part of a new organization, the Science and Education Administration, in January 1978), Food and Nutrition (FNS), or Forest Service (FS) and (2) on the compliance activities of these three agencies with respect to summer camps. Each agency received a report, including recommendations, on the degree of effectiveness of its compliance activities and the Title VI compliance posture of each camp reviewed. Agency responses are expected.

Table 1-1. --Title VI and Direct Assistance Enforcement Activities for FY 1977

Agency	1/ Expenditure	Work Years	No. of Complaints	: Title VI : : Compliance: : : Reviews : : No.		: Direct : : Assistance: : : Compliance: : : Reviews : : No.		: Total : : Compliance: : : Reviews : : No.		: Found : : In : : Non-Compliance: : : No.		: Found : : In : : Compliance: : : No.		: 3/ : Enforcement : : Proceedings : : No.	
AMS	.3	.02	-	1	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	-	1	-	-
APHIS 2/	5.0	.10	-	4/	-	-	4/	-	4/	4/	4/	-	4/	4/	-
ASCS	20.0	.25	-	634	-	-	634	-	634	-	634	-	634	-	-
CSRS	20.0	.70	-	244	-	4/	244	-	244	-	244	-	244	-	-
ES	243.0	10.40	3	5	-	4/	5	-	5	-	5	-	5	7	7
FCIC	75.0	5.00	-	4/	-	-	4/	-	-	4/	4/	-	4/	4/	4/
FCS	.3	.10	-	6	-	4/	6	-	6	-	6	-	6	-	-
FmHA	410.0	19.00	5	4,661	-	8	4,661	-	4,669	-	4,669	-	4,669	-	-
FNS	1,304.0	79.30	101	6,347	-	4/	6,347	-	6,347	89	6,258	-	6,258	21	21
FS	132.0	16.33	-	1,776	-	-	1,776	-	1,776	-	1,776	-	1,776	1	1
FSQS 2/	1.0	.05	-	4/	-	102	102	-	102	-	102	-	102	-	-
REA	351.0	11.60	2	1,273	-	4/	1,273	-	1,273	32	1,241	-	1,241	25	25
SCS	93.0	4.70	-	762	-	-	762	-	762	-	762	-	762	-	-
Total	2,654.6	147.55	111	15,709	110	110	15,819	121	15,698	54	15,698	54	15,698	54	54

1/ Reported in thousands of dollars.

2/ Effective March 14, 1977, much of the Direct Assistance compliance monitoring activity of APHIS was transferred to FSQS, as part of a USDA reorganization.

3/ Refers to enforcement proceedings, initiated during FY 1976 against recipients in noncompliance, which continued into FY 1977.

4/ Not applicable.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

During 1977 C&E Specialists planned follow-up reviews of seven State Cooperative Extension Service programs determined to be in noncompliance by the Department of Justice. The determination of noncompliance was based on audit reviews conducted by the Office of Audit in 1973. Reviews were scheduled to commence with ES operations in Maryland, to be followed by similar reviews of ES activities in Arkansas and Illinois.

Another significant development during this period was the transfer of the responsibility for investigating complaints of discrimination in USDA program operations from the Office of Investigation (OI) to the Office of Equal Opportunity (OEO). The civil rights investigative function was assigned to C&E's Complaint Unit. Prior to the delegation C&E was authorized to conduct preliminary inquiries into allegations of discrimination, with OI making the determination as to the necessity of any further inquiry by C&E on any initiation of investigation.

FY 1977 witnessed an increase in complaints from 213 in FY 1976 to 290 in FY 1977.

The Indian Desk continued its efforts on behalf of increased Indian participation in USDA programs and activities. Of importance during 1977 were the negotiation activities by Indian Desk staffers with Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA) representatives and Soil Conservation Service (SCS) officials. These efforts aided SCS in developing legal authorization to provide soil and water conservation assistance on trust land which is located within a conservation district.

In addition to follow-up review activities and initiation of special-emphasis projects, C&E Specialists continued to serve a liaison function between the Department of Justice, the Office of Management and Budget, and USDA agencies on a variety of civil rights matters. At the same time, outside interest groups relied on C&E personnel to serve as contact points on pertinent civil rights activities. By participating in a variety of conferences and meetings, Specialists were able to aid the furthering of Title VI goals. Finally, C&E chaired the Department's Civil Rights/EEO Training Task Force, whose purpose was to assess revisions needed in USDA's presently used Civil Rights/EEO training modules.

COMPLIANCE REVIEW EVALUATION PROJECT

During FY 76 C&E had developed a management objective to evaluate the effectiveness of program civil rights compliance reviews and to assist agencies in improving these reviews. Accordingly, C&E's major thrust during FY 76 was to conduct an in-depth evaluation and analysis of the compliance activities of six major USDA program agencies (Extension Service, Farmers Home Administration, Forest Service, Soil Conservation Service, Rural Electrification Administration, and Food and Nutrition Service). The focal points of C&E's effort were:

- (1) meetings between C&E personnel and agency officials at the National office level to discuss each agency's civil rights compliance program;

- (2) a complete analysis of agency review guides, forms, and written procedures; and
- (3) on-site field monitoring of the review procedures practiced by agency personnel.

This effort included:

- (1) visits to a selected cross-section of 46 regional and State offices to interview program directors about review procedures;
- (2) visits to a representative sample of forty-seven field offices to observe 74 on-site reviews of recipients, conducted by program personnel; and
- (3) evaluation of over 2,600 compliance review reports.

This process led to a summary report of general observations and recommendations, as well as individual reports of each of the six program agencies involved, with specific findings and recommendations that were tailored to each individual reviewed. Thus, as FY 77 began, agencies were beginning to react to C&E's Compliance Review Evaluation project reports.

1977 saw C&E personnel conferring continually with agency personnel for the purpose of implementing the recommendations contained in C&E's reports. Listed below are C&E's six overall recommendations, each of which are followed by the general agency responses (during FY 77) to the recommendations.

- (1) "In order to assure accountability, agencies should assign full responsibility to key levels of program administration for the coordination, conduct, and evaluation of reviews."

ES reinstated compliance reviews at the State level and was considering the suggestion to assign full-time civil rights personnel to conduct Title VI reviews. FNS has assigned full-time regional coordinators to conduct and monitor Title VI reviews.

- (2) "In order to create a uniform approach to compliance reviews, agencies should standardize review forms and procedures nationwide."

Four agencies - ES, FNS, FS, and REA - were either developing or revising already existing compliance review guidelines and/or manuals. Such documents would apply to individual agency review procedures nationwide. However, details have yet to be worked out for standardized reviews for some agencies such as Extension Service.

- (3) "In order to ensure that USDA programs are being checked for compliance with equal opportunity laws and regulations in a meaningful, productive, and cost-effective fashion, agencies should conduct fewer routine type reviews and instead utilize the savings accrued to add depth and intensity to selected reviews."

FmHA and C&E officials met to discuss revising FmHA's compliance review forms.

(4) "In order to eliminate duplication of effort within two or more Departments, firm agreements should be established between Departments and agencies which would assign review responsibility to primary program agencies."

FS and C&E officials met to discuss establishing a Title VI agreement with the Department of Transportation for compliance review of road programs which were funded under the 25 percent Revenue Sharing program.

(5) "In order to improve the compliance status of Direct Assistance programs, agencies should establish systematic, built-in procedures for monitoring such programs, including the evaluation of local unit participation data showing program use by minority groups for desk reviews."

Agencies concerned have not as yet responded to this recommendation.

(6) "In order to develop more thorough and objective review techniques by agency compliance reviewers, agencies should provide intensive training in the conduct of Title VI reviews."

ES scheduled regional workshops on civil rights and equal employment opportunity. Also, FmHA discussed with C&E the possibility of receiving C&E assistance in providing compliance review training to all FmHA review personnel.

C&E personnel anticipated that FY 78 would see further discussions and negotiations with agency personnel from ES, FmHA, FS, SCS, REA, and FNS concerning further implementation of recommendations resulting from C&E's Compliance Review Evaluation Project. This project has brought C&E to the point of reviewing, evaluating and monitoring the various new agency procedures in order to assess the degree of their effectiveness.

FmHA RECREATION ASSOCIATION PROJECT

The Farmers Home Administration recreation association project consisted of 40 compliance reviews in 19 States conducted between September, 1976 and January, 1977. The purpose of these reviews was to assess the degree of compliance of these associations with the requirements of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964. The project was to determine the extent to which membership in the associations is accessible to minorities, to identify common barriers to compliance, and to make recommendations to be applied to all recreation associations financed by FmHA and covered by Title VI.

The 40 recreation associations were found in varying degrees of compliance and noncompliance. Minority participation was limited at best and frequently nonexistent particularly for blacks. Outreach to increase minority participation was also basically nonexistent. Outreach efforts were usually at the time of loan closing and consisted of a newspaper article with membership solicitation by word-of-mouth. Consequently applications and membership procedures were not easily accessible to the public. Signs outside the facilities did not convey an open membership policy.

Many clubs had membership procedures which could be used to restrict minority participation. These procedures included sponsorship requirements for applicants,

unanimous or two-thirds approval by the boards of directors, membership committees which screened applicants, applications by invitation only, and applications which requested personal information not relevant to club membership. In addition some clubs had arbitrary membership limits, transfers of membership certificates between individuals, and poor recordkeeping insufficient to properly maintain waiting lists and disposition of applications.

On August 30, 1977, the Compliance and Enforcement Division issued a summary report which contained recommendations to improve FmHA monitoring and compliance efforts at the National Office level for all recreation associations. The recommendations in the 40 individual reports issued September 1, 1977, were directed towards correcting specific Title VI deficiencies identified at each association. FmHA was asked to take corrective action.

SUMMER CAMP SURVEY

A Summer Camp review survey was conducted by the Compliance and Enforcement Division during the period from June to August 1977. The purpose of this survey was to determine the effectiveness of procedures used by the State agencies to monitor compliance of summer camps.

The agencies included in this survey were Extension Service, Food and Nutrition Service and Forest Service, all of which provided financial assistance to summer camp recipients and/or sponsors. The survey process included visits to Regional and State offices, interviews with camp sponsors and local community leaders, and on-site field reviews of 42 campsites in 14 States. In order to obtain a cross-section of geographical areas and various minority groups, the States chosen for review were selected from the following regions: Mid-Atlantic, Midwest, New England, Southeast, West-Central and Central.

In summary, the overall survey disclosed that there were few minorities at the campsites; affirmative action had not been taken to include minorities in the camping program; racial records of participation were not being maintained; civil rights training instructions had not been provided to camp officials; annual Title VI reviews were not conducted of the campsites; and program agencies did not establish interagency review coordination for recipients receiving assistance from more than one program agency. These deficiencies are general and do not apply to all agencies in each case. Moreover, the manner in which they do apply is different for each agency since USDA assistance programs vary widely in administrative techniques. Therefore, individual reports containing specific observations of problems and recommendations to improve civil rights compliance in summer camp operations were sent to each agency administrator. Currently, C&E is waiting to be apprised of corrective action taken or planned in response to the report recommendations.

C&E ACTIVITY IN ES AUDIT SERIES

1977 found C&E marshalling its resources in preparation for a series of in-depth follow-up reviews of Extension Service (ES) activities. These reviews were based on audits which had been conducted by the Office of Audit in 1973 on ES

FIGURE 1-1

CIVIL RIGHTS FINDINGS IN SUMMER CAMP SURVEYS

(Equal Opportunity Problem Areas)

I. ENFORCEMENT OF USDA COMPLIANCE REGULATIONS

1. Little or no outreach efforts
2. Absence of nondiscrimination statement
3. Civil rights training not provided to camp officials
4. Compliance reviews not conducted by program agencies
5. Civil rights instructions not provided to camp officials
6. Racial participation data not maintained
7. "And Justice For All" poster not displayed

II. *MINORITY PARTICIPATION

1. Few minority campers
2. Few minority staff members
3. Little or no minority participation on camp committees
4. Segregated housing



*MINORITY refers to the predominant minority group existing in the local community.

programs in nineteen States. As a result of those 1973 audits, the ES programs in seven States (Arkansas, Georgia, Illinois, Kansas, Louisiana, Maryland, and Texas) had been determined to be in a noncompliance status by the Department of Justice.

C&E compliance action in Maryland, Arkansas, and Illinois was based on the fact that each of those States had adopted corrective action plans in 1977. According to earlier agreements reached among officials in C&E, ES, and the Office of General Counsel (OGC), C&E would defer activities for six months in order to allow each State to put into operation its plans for corrective action. After that time elapsed, C&E would initiate a series of thorough and intensive compliance reviews to determine the status of compliance within the three State ES programs in question.

Accordingly, as FY 1977 ended, C&E Specialists were planning the first such follow-up review, which was scheduled to take place in Maryland. The review will consist of visits to State offices at both the State 1862 and 1890 schools, as well as field work conducted in a seven-county sample area representing a cross-section of the State population (by size of minority population, by status as urban or rural, and other criteria). Field work will include interviews with State and county ES staff, field recipients, and community contacts. During 1978 the review data will be analyzed and a review report will be sent to the ES/USDA Civil Rights staff and the Director of the State ES, prior to issuance of a final report.

COMPLAINTS

On June 15, 1977, the Director of the Office of Investigation transferred the responsibility for investigating complaints of discrimination prohibited by 7 CFR Subtitle A, Subpart A (Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964) and Subtitle A, Subpart B, to the Office of Equal Opportunity. The responsibility for investigating employment complaints, where employment practices affect the delivery of benefits and services, was also included in the transfer. The civil rights investigative function was assigned to the Complaints Unit of the Compliance and Enforcement Division.

All complaints received in USDA are forwarded to OEO. The Compliance and Enforcement Division then determines whether the complaint will be investigated and the manner in which it will be investigated. OEO may request the agency to conduct a preliminary inquiry into the matter to determine if a full investigation is warranted.

The final determination as to whether the investigation or preliminary inquiry report establishes a proper basis for a finding of discrimination rests with the Director, OEO.


Approximately 95% of all complaints are against FmHA and FNS. The remaining 5% of the complaints are divided among ASCS, ES, SCS, REA, and FS.

The majority of complaints are prompted by the following:

- (1) discourteous treatment

FIGURE 1-2

**COMPLAINTS HANDLED BY
COMPLIANCE AND ENFORCEMENT DIVISION
FISCAL YEARS 1973-77**



- (2) bad credit records
- (3) lack of farm experience
- (4) sex and marital status
- (5) delay in processing the application for assistance

As reflected in Figure 1-2, C&E Specialists handled a total of 290 complaints during FY 1977. This total included a marked increase in the number of complaints which were filed on the basis of sex and/or marital status. This figure is expected to increase substantially in the coming year.

FORMAL ENFORCEMENT PROCEEDINGS

Recreation Associations: A civil rights compliance review conducted by the Compliance and Enforcement Division disclosed that officials of the Ferriday Country Club, Ferriday, Louisiana, were in violation of Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 because they had restrictive membership criteria.

Club officials were required to amend the club's bylaws to eliminate the restrictive membership criteria and to inform minority community leaders in writing of the changes. Membership availability on a nondiscriminatory basis was to be advertised and a special invitation and application was to be sent to a black resident who had previously expressed interest in joining the club.

However, officials did not take the designated corrective action but instead dissolved the corporation. A new corporation was organized, composed of many of the same officials and members, named the Delta Golf and Tennis Club. Later the name was changed to the Panola Woods Country Club.

C&E conducted a civil rights compliance review of the Panola Woods Country Club because FmHA documents include a covenant which requires compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 as long as a facility is used for recreational purposes.

This review revealed that officials of the Panola Woods Country Club did not notify the public that memberships were available until all membership stock certificates had been sold. Only stockholders could become members and all members were recruited by white persons contacting other whites.

After lengthy negotiations, the C&E staff obtained voluntary compliance with the recommended corrective action.

The Panola Woods Country Club, Inc. initiated the following corrective action:

1. they amended the Articles of Incorporation to increase the number of shares to 280, thereby providing an additional five shares of stock to accommodate black applicants;
2. black community leaders were notified by letter and personal contact that the additional stock memberships were available;
3. the five shares of stock were made available to black applicants at the same price paid by white applicants (\$900.00);

4. the club advertised through local newspapers that their membership was available without regard to race, color or national origin;
5. if funds are available the club will exercise its option to purchase stock of departing members; and
6. the club will provide OEO upon request the reasons, with documentation, why an applicant was rejected based on moral character, financial responsibility, functional literacy and criminal felony convictions.

Housing Authority of the City of Belle Glade, Florida: On January 28-29, 1975, C&E conducted a review of two labor housing projects located in Belle Glade, Florida and determined that the projects were being administered in a discriminatory manner. FmHA had provided financial assistance in the form of grants and loans to the Housing Authority of the City of Belle Glade to build and operate the two labor housing projects, known as the Osceola and Okeechobee Centers. The review showed that black and hispanic tenants were housed in the Okeechobee Center, while mostly white and some hispanic tenants were housed in the Osceola Center. C&E submitted a report dated February 21, 1975, and recommended seven separate corrective action steps which it felt would bring the Housing Authority of the City of Belle Glade (HACBG) into compliance with Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964.

During approximately the same time period a private suit - Mae Golden Acres Tenants' Association v. Butz - was filed, which focused on the same Title VI violations. With the intervention of the Department of Justice and assistance of C&E, after an extended period of negotiations covering approximately 2½ years, a compliance agreement was signed.

INDIAN DESK

The Indian Desk has successfully continued to establish itself within the Department and with the Indian community. They have worked at fulfilling their responsibilities to coordinate all USDA activities involving assistance available to Indians and to bring these activities to their attention.

During the year the staff kept in constant contact with Indian tribes and organizations, they assisted other Federal agencies, and they worked closely with other agencies within the Department. One of the most significant accomplishments was in their work with the Soil Conservation Service (SCS) and the Bureau of Indian Affairs (BIA).

In meetings between the Indian Desk and the Soil Conservation Service, SCS was encouraged to meet with the Bureau of Indian Affairs to begin negotiations to alleviate a major barrier in one of their programs. This obstacle prohibited SCS from providing services to most Indians on trust property. After months of meetings and a legal opinion by the USDA General Counsel, SCS reinterpreted its responsibilities under Reorganization Plan No. IV of 1940. They can now provide assistance for planning and implementing soil and water conservation measures to individuals and groups on trust land within a conservation district in the

same manner and with the same requirements that assistance is provided to any other land user. The Indian Desk Staff publicized this increase in services to American Indians by forwarding information which described the new SCS assistance to Federally and State-recognized tribes, to the major Indian organizations and to inter-tribal organizations.

The Soil Conservation Service was not the only agency with which the Indian Desk worked closely. Coordinating assistance programs which are available from the other USDA agencies and assisting those agencies in developing and disseminating their program information was a major activity for the Indian Desk staff. They assisted the Food and Nutrition Service in planning a workshop on "Food Issues Affecting American Indians." They helped the Farmers Home Administration plan for a training seminar for their State Coordinators for Indian Outreach. Representatives from the Indian Desk and the Rural Development Service met to discuss the newly funded Section 111 of the Rural Development Act, and the Indian Desk commented on their concerns pertaining to the administration of the funds. Along with representatives from FmHA, RDS, SCS, ASCS and REA, the Indian Desk participated in a task force which tried to alleviate problems in working with Native Alaskan Corporations. This group briefed the Secretary before his trip to the Governor's Conference in Alaska. In yet another multi-agency effort, the staff worked with the Assistant Secretary for Rural Development, various Rural Development Committee Chairmen and a Rural Development Service representative to consider ways to improve communications between USDA and the Navajo Tribe.

Working with other Federal agencies which deal with Indian affairs has been another major function of the Indian Desk. The staff was requested to represent the Department on a task force which was created to study and make suggestions for a reorganization of the Bureau of Indian Affairs. Along with Indian Desks from other Departments, they participated in an ad hoc committee which was established to advise and make recommendations to the Vice Chairman of the Civil Service Commission. This committee addressed the problems of entering the Civil Service system when trying to obtain employment with the Federal Government. The Indian Desk has been a long-standing member of the Federal Interagency Council on Education and on the Committee on American Indian Women at the Women's Bureau. They provided assistance to agencies in both the Department of Labor and the Department of Health, Education and Welfare by participating on panels which reviewed and rated grant proposals. The above examples are just a sample of the contacts which the Indian Desk has had with other Federal officials. These efforts have helped the staff familiarize themselves with many aspects of Indian affairs.

Meeting with Indian delegations visiting the Department of Agriculture has been another one of the major activities for the Indian Desk. During the past year, representatives from over 40 tribes met with the staff to discuss such varying topics as land acquisition loans, water development projects, gardening programs, drought assistance, and food assistance programs for Women, Infants, and Children. Tribes from the States of Washington (Yakima), Kansas (Kickapoo), Wisconsin (Minominee) and many more met with the Indian Desk staff, and sometimes agency liaisons, to discuss their tribal development plans to to learn how USDA could assist their programs and improve its services to American Indians.

Staff representatives made field trips to visit Indian tribes. They also represented the Department at the major national Indian conferences, such as the National Congress of American Indians, National Tribal Chairman's Association

and the United Indian Planners Association, as well as at conferences for inter-tribal groups such as the Southern California Inter-Tribal Council, the Great Sioux National Inter-Tribal Council, and the Coalition of Eastern Native Americans. At these meetings the staff participated in panels and workshops and described the function of the Indian Desk and the programs available from the Department. These conferences proved to be an effective tool for distributing program literature and for meeting with tribal leaders.

During the past year the activities of the Indian Desk increased. The staff became more involved in changes in legislation which affected American Indians. They continued to routinely review Federal Registers and they attended hearings on bills which affected their work. A large number of Indian tribes became aware of the existence of an Indian Desk in the Department and they increased their demands on the time of the staff. The Indian Desk was also requested to provide more technical assistance to other Federal agencies. They plan to proceed with their regular outreach efforts and to continually assist the Indian community in overcoming any difficulties which they might encounter when trying to work with USDA. They also plan to initiate a detailed research program which will entail reviewing all the regulations for the programs in the Department. The purpose of this review will be to recognize and to correct any barriers in the regulations which might prevent or hinder their use by American Indians.

SPECIAL-EMPHASIS SURVEY OF FmHA RURAL HOUSING LOANS

During the period June 21, 1977, through April, 1978, the Compliance and Enforcement Division and the Program Planning and Evaluation Division participated with FmHA in a Rural Housing Survey. This survey was undertaken to determine the reasons for the decline in the number of FmHA Rural Housing loans to blacks since 1972. The survey covered seven States and 28 counties.

The major factors contributing to the decline in Rural Housing loans to blacks were:

- (1) inadequate outreach;
- (2) a general tightening of FmHA credit standards;
- (3) high cost of land;
- (4) inadequate building sites;
- (5) knowledge of previous construction deficiencies;
- (6) stringent FmHA subdivision regulations; and
- (7) an unwillingness on the part of some county supervisors to refer black applicants to available housing in white subdivisions.

Inadequate outreach was identified as a problem in 90 percent of the counties surveyed. Many people in rural areas have never heard of the Farmers Home Administration. This was especially true where no FmHA full time office existed. Others had heard about FmHA but thought that one had to be farming to deal with them. Most of the FmHA borrowers interviewed had either found out about FmHA financing through a friend or relative or a packager. Many of those who found out about the agency through packagers tended to believe that their monthly payments would only be \$40 per month. Operating on this premise a large number of 502 rural housing loans were made during the period 1969-72, mostly with heavy

assistance from packagers. Many of those borrowers who were re-evaluated after two years were found to be ineligible for interest credits. Some resisted the higher monthly payments and eventually lost their homes. Adverse publicity spread resulting in loss of credibility on the part of FmHA. In some county offices a large number of inventory housing appeared accompanied by increased delinquencies. The high inventory and delinquency rate prompted FmHA State officials to shift their "policy" from "loan making" to "loan servicing", meaning more rigid credit standards and more emphasis on collecting. In some States awards were actually given county supervisors for reducing and sustaining low delinquency rates.

Although the lack of income coupled with the high cost of construction was cited by almost every county supervisor and State official as the primary reason for the decline in 502 housing loans to blacks, the rejected application files disclosed that the primary reason for rejection was due to "poor credit". The survey disclosed that there was no uniform interpretation of the meaning "poor credit" and that whether or not an applicant had "good" or acceptable credit was largely left to the discretion of the individual county supervisor.

The high cost of land for building sites was identified as a major factor in rural areas. Much of the land is owned by farmers or timber companies, such as Georgia Pacific. These owners are not selling but are buying other available land for expansion. The survey team found this problem to be critical in Louisiana, Arkansas, and Mississippi. In at least one county in Florida that black community was not considered to be a "suitable" place to finance new construction due to the relatively high number of substandard houses. This was true even though city water and sewer systems were available.

The survey team found that during the height of the construction period most of the houses were constructed under "conditional commitments" and in subdivisions. Borrowers, upon purchasing these dwellings, often found construction deficiencies. Some of these deficiencies were never corrected. Knowledge of these deficiencies spread throughout the community resulting in adverse publicity for the Farmers Home Administration. In almost all cases where subdivisions existed they were segregated.

Packagers and developers are not as active now in the FmHA Rural Housing Program as they were a few years ago. In talking with developers, packagers, and FmHA personnel, the reasons for this are two-fold: (1) the increased cost of development as per FmHA subdivision regulations and (2) the relatively low profit based upon FmHA appraisals.

Potential borrowers and some community leaders criticized FmHA regulations which prohibited the construction of 502 rural housing loans larger than 1,300 square feet of living area. Others criticized the lack of choice of design.

Individual reports on each of the 28 counties surveyed are presently being written with an overall survey report to follow with recommendations and follow-up action to be taken by OEO and FmHA.

TASK FORCE ON SEX DISCRIMINATION

On August 26, 1977, President Carter issued a memorandum which created the Task Force on Sex Discrimination and requested the Attorney General and all Federal

agencies to cooperate in eliminating sex discrimination from the laws and policies of the United States. Secretary Bergland designated the Director, Office of Equal Opportunity, as his contact for work with the Task Force.

The Task Force on Sex Discrimination is functioning as a unit within the Civil Rights Division of the Department of Justice. The Task Force has been assigned the responsibility for reviewing all Federal laws, regulations, guidelines, policies, and procedures to eliminate all forms of discrimination based on sex.

As a beginning the Task Force conducted a computer search of all Federal statutes for gender-based words and other terminological problems. Most statutes required terminological changes, though some required more substantive revision. Because the Code of Federal Regulations and other policies, procedures, guidelines, manuals, and instructions are not on computer, these types of documents will require review by the agencies themselves to recommend areas for the Task Force to review. The Task Force requested that proposals within the following categories be sent to them for comment before being adopted as policy:

1. those that overtly make distinctions based on sex;
2. those that are not substantially discriminatory, but that use unnecessary gender-specific terminology;
3. those that are neutral on their face but may nonetheless have a disproportionate impact on one sex; and
4. those that purport to prohibit any discrimination or to eliminate existing discrimination based on sex.

EQUAL CREDIT OPPORTUNITY ACT

The Equal Credit Opportunity Act prohibits discrimination in any aspect of a credit transaction on the basis of race, color, religion, national origin, sex, marital status, age, recipient of income from public assistance programs, and good faith exercise of rights under the Consumer Protection Act of 1968. Regulation B (12 CFR 202) issued by the Federal Reserve Board implements the Act and was effective March 23, 1977.

A key issue is one of jurisdiction for administrative enforcement and compliance monitoring of the Act for Farmers Home Administration loan programs. Under 12 CFR 202.1(b), administrative enforcement responsibility is assigned to the Secretary of Agriculture; but as more fully specified in section 704 of the Act, the Secretary is responsible for the compliance of activities under the Packers and Stockyards Act, 1921. The Federal Trade Commission is responsible for enforcement of the requirements of Regulation B for all creditors not specifically committed to some other Government agency. FmHA loan programs are not specifically spelled out in section 704 of the Act and therefore come under the jurisdiction of the Federal Trade Commission.

The Department of Justice contends that the Department of Agriculture has an independent responsibility as a direct creditor to assure compliance with the Equal Credit Opportunity Act. The Compliance and Enforcement Division is already processing complaints of discrimination on the basis of race, color, religion, sex, or national origin for FmHA loans under 7 CFR 15 Subpart B - Nondiscrimination - Direct USDA Programs and Activities.

At the request of the Justice Department, a meeting was held on August 9, 1977, with officials from Justice, Farmers Home Administration, Office of the General Counsel (OGC) and the Compliance and Enforcement Division. Justice agreed that the Department had some responsibility to handle complaints against Department officials. FmHA wanted complaints against the agency handled within the Department but did not want responsibility for compliance monitoring. To support this position, OGC wrote a memorandum to the Federal Reserve Board contending that FmHA did not constitute an agency under the Equal Credit Opportunity Act for monitoring purposes. OGC agreed that the Act applied to FmHA programs but that complainants have no money-damage remedies against FmHA because sovereign immunity was not waived in the Act. FmHA makes approximately 250,000 loans per year but had not implemented the requirements of the Equal Credit Opportunity Act and Regulation B. Justice officials felt that while the Federal Trade Commission has administrative enforcement authority, nothing prevents FmHA from setting up their own mechanism for handling complaints and compliance monitoring.

SECTION 504 OF THE REHABILITATION ACT OF 1973

Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973 provides that no qualified handicapped person shall, on the basis of handicap, be excluded from participation in, be denied the benefits of, or be subjected to discrimination under any program or activity receiving Federal financial assistance. On April 28, 1976, the President issued Executive Order 11914, "Nondiscrimination with Respect to Handicapped in Federally Assisted Programs," under which the Department of Health Education, and Welfare is required to coordinate governmentwide enforcement of Section 504. As the lead agency, HEW published its final regulation implementing Section 504 on May 4, 1977. On June 24, 1977, HEW published for comment proposed procedures, standards and guidelines to implement Executive Order 11914. The standards and guidelines were based on Subparts A, C and D of the HEW regulations.

The proposed guidelines specified procedures for Federal agencies to follow in issuing their Section 504 regulations including a 60 day timetable for issuing the regulations for comment after the effective date of the guidelines. The proposed guidelines contained standards for determining who are handicapped individuals and guidelines for determining what practices are discriminatory under Section 504.

Responsibility for developing Section 504 regulations was delegated to the Compliance and Enforcement Division. In June 1977, work began on preparing draft regulations based on the HEW regulations and the proposed guidelines. Because the Department has many common recipients of Federal financial assistance, the draft regulations incorporated much of the HEW Section 504 regulations. After the HEW guidelines are published in final form, the Department's proposed rule will be published for comment.

CONFERENCES AND TRAINING

During 1977, C&E staff represented OEO at several of the annual conferences sponsored by various special interest groups. Included were the Federally Employed Women, Inc. (FEW), (July 14-16) in Washington, D.C.; Department of

Justice Title VI Conference (September 26-29) in Washington, D.C.; Southern California Inter-Tribal Council (Sept 15-16 in San Diego, California; National Congress of American Indians (NCAI) (Sept 19-23) in Dallas, Texas; United Indian Planners Association (UIPA) (Aug 1-4) in Orlando, Fla.; National Tribal Chairman's Association (NTCA) (May 25-26) in Atlanta, GA; Congressional Black Caucus Workshop (September 23) in Washington, D.C.; National Association of State Universities and Land Grant Colleges (November 13-16) in Washington, D.C.; Migrant Child Welfare Conference (December 5-7) in Reston, Va.; Professional Rural Agriculture Workers Conference (December 4-6) in Tuskegee, Alabama; LULAC (June 23-26) in Los Angeles, California; Annual National NAACP Conference (June 27-July 1) in St. Louis, MO; Urban League (July 31-August 3) in Washington, D.C.; National Bar Association (July 31-August 6) in New Orleans, LA.; and the G.I. Forum (August 10-14) in Denver, Colorado.

C&E will continue to participate in these national conferences. The staff puts great value on contacts made at these meetings and on the valuable information obtained while in attendance.

On a different note C&E chaired the Department of Agriculture's Civil Rights/EEO Training Task Force. The charge of the ad hoc Task Force was to evaluate and then suggest revisions for the currently existing USDA civil rights/EEO training package. This charge was based on a request by USDA's Management Council.

The Task Force, composed of representatives from six USDA agencies, met throughout the summer months of 1977 to accomplish that charge. In the process various civil rights and equal employment opportunity problem areas in USDA were identified and training objectives were developed around selected problem areas. The Task Force's final product was a series of training objectives encompassing both civil rights and EEO needs, with action items suggested for the implementation of each of the training objectives.

Preliminary work on the training program design itself was scheduled to begin in early 1978 by the Career Development Division within the Office of Personnel. The Task Force recommendations were described by the Office of Personnel as being "right on target," and were planned to be an integral component of the ultimate civil rights/EEO training revisions within the Department of Agriculture.

LOOKING AHEAD

C&E is planning activities in the following areas for FY 1978:

- . ES Follow-up Reviews of Audit Series - C&E will conduct follow-up compliance reviews on State Cooperative Extension Services in Arkansas and Illinois. They are two of the seven State Extension Services determined to be in noncompliance by the Department of Justice, based on audit reviews by the Office of Audit in 1973.
- . Compliance Review Training Package - One of the recommendations from C&E's Compliance Review Evaluation Project was that agencies should provide intensive training in the conduct of civil rights reviews. To aid agencies in implementing that recommendation, C&E



committed itself to developing a training package for agency reviewers. Plans for FY 1978 include the finalizing and implementing of such a training package.

- . Revision of Grass Roots Directory - C&E plans to update its grass roots organizations directory. The current directory, dated February, 1976, serves as a compendium of "grass roots" organizations and local media outlets which serve minority communities. The purpose of this directory is to aid USDA in publicizing its programs more effectively by making use of current information on grass roots organizations and media outlets.
- . Indian Desk Activities - Indian Desk activities for FY 1978 are scheduled to include further negotiations among Indian Desk Specialists, BIA representatives, and SCS officials concerning the possibility of establishing soil conservation districts directly on tribal land. This would be a change from the current procedure of incorporating tribal land into presently-existing soil conservation districts and would result in increased benefits from SCS programs to Indian tribes. Indian Desk personnel, as members of an ASCS Task Force, will review the effectiveness of the delivery of services on the Navajo Reservation (which encompasses areas of Arizona, Utah, and New Mexico). The Task Force will also study the feasibility of establishing a centralized ASCS contact on the Navajo Reservation.
- . Annual Work Plan - Each agency will be requested to prepare an annual work plan. The work plan will be prepared pursuant to 28 CFR 42.407 and will indicate by program and entity the type and frequency of compliance reviews to be conducted during that year. C&E will assist the agencies in formulating their work plans as requested.



USDA Minority Business Assistance

Section 2



Statement of the Problem

Approximately 35 million Americans are black, Spanish-speaking, Indian, Aleutian, or of Asian descent -- about 17 percent of our total population. Yet, they presently own only about 4 percent of America's businesses. These businesses, in turn, account for less than 1 percent of our national gross business receipts.

The basic disparity in business ownership and receipts is large. Only 9 percent of minority businesses have more than five employees and \$200,000 in gross receipts. Manufacturing and other capital intensive businesses remain virtually out of reach. Equity is all too scarce and management capability, essential to business success, is frequently lacking.

Minorities have long had inadequate access to business opportunities; they need assistance to start new businesses and expand existing ones. There is a need also for wider access to business resources such as capital (debt and equity) and profitable markets. Loans and equity funding are difficult to obtain for all new businesses, especially minority-owned ones. Obtaining a profitable share of the market and keeping it is often as difficult as establishing the business.

Finally, there is a need for education and training to increase the business management skills of minority entrepreneurs.

The severe shortage of potential minority entrepreneurs with general business skills is a result of their historic exclusion from the mainstream economy. Many minority businesses need new or improved business management skills before they can fully participate in the American marketplace.

A related problem is the presence of commonly held assumptions and beliefs within the entire community concerning the business capabilities of minority people. These negative assumptions and false beliefs should be vigorously challenged where they exist. With equal access to capital resources, managerial and technical assistance, procurement and market opportunities, and business education minority businesses have as good a chance to succeed and perform as any other business.

A national program has been created to solve these problems by creating conditions in the Federal and private marketplace which will foster significant minority business success and profits. Without individual demonstrations of successful integration into the business mainstream, investors, suppliers and purchasers will not offer the participation required to change perceptibly the ownership imbalance. The results achieved in the business world by individual minority men and women will mark our progress.

Executive Order 11625, issued October 13, 1971, describes the need for developing and coordinating a Government-wide program for minority enterprise. The Order emphasizes the role of the Secretary of Commerce, and particularly the Office of Minority Business Enterprise (OMBE), in coordinating minority business development activities.

The Interagency Council

The Interagency Council was created to act as central point for emphasizing Administration policy on minority business enterprise and coordinating the Federal effort. The Council is chaired by the Under Secretary of Commerce and members include the Under Secretary or Deputy Administrator of every major Federal department and agency with resources to promote and sustain minority business.

Under the auspices of the Interagency Council, functional areas have been identified and committees set up to deal with the issues and problems confronting the minority enterprise effort. The Committees are as follows:

- Procurement Policy
- MBOC Coordination
- Capital Investment
- Economic Development

Membership on the above committees is made up of representatives of the major Federal departments and agencies. The Department of Agriculture is represented on each of these committees.

Minority Business Opportunity Committees

Throughout the Federal Government there is a significant number of programs oriented to promoting the development of minority business enterprise. The problems of coordinating them is a large one, and one which requires participation at all Federal levels both in Washington and in the field. A special mechanism for accomplishing this task at the local level is the Minority Business Opportunity Committee (MBOC).

The Executive Office of the President, the Office of Management and Budget, is charged with responsibility for monitoring the progress of high-priority programs and guiding the local Federal Executive Boards and Federal Executive Associations. Top officials of the Federal agencies in each locality hold FEB or FEA membership. These organizations assist in carrying out certain Presidential programs such as the minority enterprise program.

MBOCs are standing committees of each FEB and some FEAs. They are responsible for supporting and implementing the national programs within the Federal governmental structure, and for bringing together local heads of Government agencies so that they may improve the availability of government financing, procurement opportunities, technical advice, and other business resources. By November 1977, 38 MBOCs were in operation, of which 26 were in FEB cities and 12 were in FEA cities. The Department of Agriculture has designated a representative in 21 of these cities. In the remaining 17 cities, the Minority Business Assistance Division, Office of Equal Opportunity, is the contact for USDA efforts relating to minority business enterprise matters.

OMBE and OMB are currently studying the possibility of adding MBOCs in other FEA cities. It is desirable to establish an MBOC in localities which have a potential for minority entrepreneurship and a substantial Federal presence. Because of the geographical dispersion of the MBOCs and the unique nature of individual communities, a high degree of local autonomy is required.

USDA Minority Business Enterprise Program

The responsibility for coordinating the Minority Business Program within the Department of Agriculture is delegated to the Minority Business Assistance Division, Office of Equal Opportunity.

Procurement Assistance

Section 8(a) of the Small Business Act has been used effectively in recent years to enable small minority businesses to contract with the Federal Government. This provision authorizes the Small Business Administration (SBA) to channel Government purchases directly to minority firms on a non-competitive basis. The SBA does this by negotiating contracts with Federal agencies for supplies, services and construction, and then subcontracting to a minority firm.

Experience has shown that an 8(a) procurement is most easily accomplished if it is identified at the requirement level and diverted into 8(a) status before it gets into regular procurement channels. This function is the responsibility of the contracting officer in each agency's procurement office. Difficulty here often stems from the user's reluctance to risk a requirement (which is typically seen as "highest priority") to an unknown or untried minority firm.

Increased emphasis is being placed on awarding competitive contracts to minority firms. While the competitive system does not favor a select group of firms, except low bidders, private industry has successfully reached out to assist minority firms. The National Minority Purchasing Council (NMPC) is one of the principal organizations OMBE uses to assist minority firms identify corporate markets for their products and services. A major resource developed by the NMPC is its Vendor Information Service. This is a computerized source listing of 10,000 minority firms. The OMBE has made arrangements with the NMPC for Federal agencies to utilize this system to identify minority firms for Government procurement. USDA agencies now have access to a system that will add to their list of minority vendors and increase opportunities for competitive awards to these firms.


Section 8(a) Goal Setting

If progress in the minority enterprise program is to be measurable, realistic goals must be set. These goals are determined by each agency after considering such local factors as specialized minority business needs, agency budgets, procurement authority and activity, and the availability of minority resources. Goals should be quantified in terms of dollar amounts. Results can then be measured against the total procurement dollars spent. Federal bank deposits, business concessions, and training programs are also examples of areas in which goals can be quantified.

Figure 2-1

TOTAL USDA 8(a) PROCUREMENT BY ETHNIC GROUPS

\$ THOUSANDS



It is important to remember that minority business development is a long-term process. In setting goals therefore, long-range business success should be kept in mind as a measurable objective.

The agencies of the Department began setting their own 8(a) goals beginning with Fiscal Year 1975. Notable progress has been achieved in the dollar volume of contracts awarded through the 8(a) program since this practice was initiated. See figure 2-1.

Minority Bank Deposits


As part of the national program for minority business enterprise, Government agencies are requested to deposit funds in minority-owned banks. In this phase of the program, the Minority Business Assistance Division promotes the Department's commitment to increase deposits in minority-owned banks with funds that are directly and/or indirectly under the Department's control or influence.

Since the programs inception the number of minority-owned banks has increased from 31 to 85. USDA deposits in minority-owned banks as compared to total deposits in those cities where minority-owned banks are located are shown in figure 2-2. Deposits in minority-owned banks have shown a decline as a result of the competition for funds from the total banking community. Consequently, the Department has established a goal of \$3.0 million for deposit of funds in minority banks for FY 1978.

Figure 2-2


USDA BANK DEPOSITS IN CITIES WHERE MINORITY-OWNED BANKS ARE LOCATED, FY 1972-77

Millions of Dollars



Program Planning and Evaluation

Section 3



PROGRAM PLANNING AND EVALUATION DIVISION

INTRODUCTION

The Program Planning and Evaluation Division (PPED) is responsible for two major areas of work: (1) analyzing problems constituting barriers to the nondiscriminatory operation of the Department's programs and activities; and developing and recommending basic policy and program approaches for more effectively implementing the Department's responsibility in equal opportunity; and (2) coordinating and evaluating statistical reporting systems in the Department that are designed to measure the extent to which services are being delivered on an equal basis.

Additionally, PPED assists agencies in implementing U.S. Department of Agriculture Administrative Regulations, 9 AR 21. These regulations previously contained in Secretary's Memorandum No. 1662, Supplement 1 and 5 specify that (a) each agency establish measures of the members of minority groups in the population eligible to participate in each program; (b) each agency collect and evaluate program participation data by racial/ethnic group for all counties in which the program operates; and (c) each agency establish a definition of parity of participation for each program and target delivery to eligible minority group members.

DATA SYSTEMS

In 1977, PPED assisted Soil Conservation Service (SCS) develop a data system to compare the percentage of White and minority program participation with the respective percentages of eligible populations. During a two-year trial-run period when counties in 5 and 10 States, respectively, were evaluated, all computations were completed manually. Currently, SCS's automated data processing system provides all computations necessary to make the comparisons in all counties in 49 States. This has resulted in a significant saving of PPED personhours.

Thus, the Soil Conservation Service becomes the first USDA Agency to provide racial/ethnic eligibility and participation data for all counties in the 49 States reviewed. This data provides program managers an effective tool for evaluating parity of program delivery.

CIVIL RIGHTS IMPACT STATEMENT

The USDA Civil Rights Impact Statement procedure, which was initiated in midyear 1976 and which requires agencies to assess the civil rights implications of proposed policy actions, continued in its first full year of operation. A total of 142 actions were analyzed, most of them environmental impact statements but also several agency reorganization and office relocation proposals.

The Civil Rights Impact Statement procedure provides program administrators with a management tool that leads to more effective decision making regarding equal opportunity for persons affected by USDA programs.

AGE DISCRIMINATION

USDA presented testimony before the U.S. Commission on Civil Rights in September, 1977. An amendment was prepared adding age to the USDA regulations prohibiting discrimination in direct assistance programs. (A direct assistance program is one in which a benefit passes directly to a person. A farm support payment is an example of a direct assistance program.) The Age Discrimination Act of 1975 prohibits unreasonable discrimination based on age in Federal financial assistance programs after January 1, 1979 (A Federal financial assistance program is one in which a benefit passes through a local government entity on its way to a person. Extension programs and food stamps are examples of this kind of program.) In 1978, USDA will develop regulations to implement this law.

SEX DISCRIMINATION

On October 27, 1977, Secretary Bergland ordered a thorough review of agency programs to identify and correct policies and procedures which might result in unequal treatment based on sex. The review will continue through 1978 and will cover employment practices, program participation and information to the public as well as regulations and policy reviews. It is expected that a substantial rewriting of program regulations may be required in some areas.

USDA drafted proposed rules for enforcing Title IX of the Education Amendments of 1972 prohibiting sex discrimination in federally-assisted education programs. The rules, patterned after HEW regulations, will be published in 1978.

EQUAL HOUSING OPPORTUNITY

A brochure "Your Right to Fair Housing", explaining individual rights under the Fair Housing Law was prepared and provided to each current and new USDA employee.

In this section of the report, the number of current year participants is compared with the number in the preceding year. For programs with available eligibility data, participants are compared with eligibles. For programs with available targets, targeting benefits are compared with benefits received.

Agencies not included in this report are those that have (1) no programs directly related to serving the public, or (2) no readily quantifiable Title VI or direct assistance programs.

Agencies and activities discussed in this section of the report:	<u>PAGE</u>
AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE (ASCS)	39
Commodity Programs	
Agricultural Conservation Program	
Committee Elections	
County Office Employment	
Forestry Incentives Program	
ECONOMICS, STATISTICS AND COOPERATIVES SERVICE (ESCS)	60
Cooperatives Program - Technical Assistance	
FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION (FmHA)	61
Farm Ownership Loans	
Farm Operating Loans	
Rural Housing Loans	
County Committeepersons	
FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION (FCIC)	83
Farmers Insured (Contracts)	
Farmers Contacted	
FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE (FNS)	90
Family Food Assistance (Food Stamp and Food Distribution Programs)	
Child Nutrition (National School Lunch Program)	
Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children	
FOREST SERVICE (FS)	104
Free-Use Timber Program	
Grazing Program	
Recreation Program	
Cooperative Forest Management Program	
RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION (REA)	115
SCIENCE AND EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION - EXTENSION (SEA-EX)	116
Educational Contacts	
Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program (Program Aides/Homemakers)	
4-H Membership	
4-H Camps	
State and County Professional Employment	
SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE (SCS)	148
Cumulative Cooperators	(Includes State totals and County
Technical Assistance Recipients	(data for 15 States having a minimum
	(of 4000 minority operating units.
1890 COLLEGES	243
USDA Financial Assistance	

AGRICULTURAL STABILIZATION AND CONSERVATION SERVICE

The Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Service (ASCS) administers commodity and related land use programs designed for voluntary production adjustment, resource protection, and price, market and income stabilization.

COMMODITY PROGRAMS

The Agriculture and Consumer Protection Act of 1973 applicable to the 1974-77 crops of wheat, feed grains and upland cotton, provided program eligibility to farmers who participated in the set-aside, allotment and quota programs when in effect for a crop. The Secretary suspended the conservation base requirements through the 1977 crop, and announced there would be no set-aside requirements for the 1974-76 wheat, feed grain and upland cotton crops. This encouraged farmers to increase production of these crops and at the same time eliminate the need for ASCS to keep production records for program participants for the 1976 crops. Consequently, no participation goals for minorities were established for 1976.

AGRICULTURAL CONSERVATION PROGRAM

Under the Agricultural Conservation Program (ACP), the Federal Government assists farmers and ranchers to solve their more pressing conservation problems by sharing the cost of performing needed conservation work on their land. Most cost sharing is on a 50/50 basis, but it can vary among practices, different conditions or the farmer's ability to contribute the cost of performing needed practices.

A farmer or rancher who feels that his land needs conservation work may request cost-sharing assistance from the county ASC committee. In turn, this locally elected farmer committee evaluates his request, giving consideration to the overall conservation problems in the county, and the availability of funds for conservation practices.

In 1976, participation data for (ACP) was reported by State from 1,202 counties with 1 percent or more minority farm population.

Highlights of Agricultural Conservation Program, Calendar Year 1976

State/ Ethnic Group	Agricultural Conservation Program		
	Requesting ACP	Approved for ACP	
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>16 Southern States</u>			
Black <u>1/</u>	9,334	8,215	88.0
White <u>1/</u>	172,801	145,619	84.3
<u>5 Southwestern States</u>			
Hispanic	2,341	1,788	76.4
White <u>1/</u>	32,037	24,673	77.0
<u>13 Selected States</u>			
American Indian <u>2/</u>	1,463	1,224	83.7
White <u>1/</u>	76,958	66,118	85.6
<u>6 Western States</u>			
Asian <u>3/</u>	214	157	73.4
White <u>1/</u>	14,092	9,207	65.3

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin

2/ Includes Alaskan Native

3/ Includes Pacific Islander

Table 3-1.-- Farmers and Ranchers Requesting and Approved for ACP in 16 Southern States, Calendar Year 1976

State	Agricultural Conservation Program										:Percentage	
	(Not of Hispanic Origin)										:Difference	
	White					Black					: 1/	
	: Requesting	: Approved	: Requesting	: Approved	: Requesting	: Requesting	: Approved	: Requesting	: Approved	: Requesting	: Approved	: Difference
	: ACP	: For ACP	: ACP	: For ACP	: ACP	: ACP	: For ACP	: ACP	: For ACP	: ACP	: For ACP	: Difference
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	Pct. Pts.
Alabama	: 12,703	: 11,953	: 10,019	: 83.8	: 747	: 633	: 84.7					+ 0.9
Arkansas	: 4,241	: 3,980	: 3,663	: 92.0	: 259	: 242	: 93.4					+ 1.4
Delaware	: 358	: 356	: 324	: 91.0	: 2	: 2	: 100.0					+ 9.0
Florida	: 6,272	: 5,978	: 5,271	: 88.2	: 239	: 232	: 97.1					+ 8.9
Georgia	: 13,330	: 12,695	: 9,773	: 77.0	: 531	: 433	: 81.5					+ 4.5
Kentucky	: 10,262	: 10,173	: 7,252	: 71.3	: 88	: 63	: 71.6					+ .3
Louisiana	: 9,635	: 9,061	: 7,984	: 88.1	: 567	: 534	: 94.2					+ 6.1
Maryland	: 972	: 947	: 542	: 57.2	: 25	: 13	: 52.0					- 5.2
Mississippi	: 20,699	: 18,711	: 17,747	: 94.8	: 1,985	: 1,906	: 96.0					+ 1.2
North Carolina	: 31,613	: 29,206	: 27,152	: 93.0	: 2,111	: 1,988	: 94.2					+ 1.2
Oklahoma	: 11,669	: 11,058	: 8,121	: 73.4	: 182	: 130	: 71.4					- 2.0
South Carolina	: 12,324	: 11,291	: 9,883	: 87.5	: 1,033	: 754	: 73.0					-14.5
Tennessee	: 15,184	: 14,901	: 11,061	: 74.2	: 281	: 218	: 77.6					+ 3.4
Texas	: 23,466	: 21,687	: 17,998	: 83.0	: 735	: 672	: 91.4					+ 8.4
Virginia	: 11,160	: 10,611	: 8,636	: 81.4	: 548	: 394	: 71.9					- 9.5
West Virginia	: 194	: 193	: 193	: 100.0	: 1	: 1	: 100.0					-
Total	:184,082	:172,801	:145,619	:84.3	:9,334	:8,215	:88.0					+ 3.7

1/ A minus sign denotes that the percentage of White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved exceeded the percentage of minority farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved, while a plus sign denotes the opposite with respect to White and minority farmers and ranchers.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the total number of Black and White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP and the total requesting ACP is the difference of other minorities requesting ACP.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-2.-- Farmers and Ranchers Requesting and Approved for ACP in 13 Selected States, Calendar Year 1976

State	Agricultural Conservation Program									
	Total		White (Not of Hispanic Origin)		American Indian/Alaskan Native		Percentage			
	: Requesting	: ACP	: Requesting	: Approved for ACP	: Requesting	: Approved for ACP	: Difference	: 1/		
	: No.	: No.	: No.	: Pct.	: No.	: Pct.	: No.	: Pct.		
Arizona	: 1,321	: 1,107	: 905	: 81.8	: 173	: 89.6	: 155	: 89.6	+ 7.8	
California	: 4,945	: 4,720	: 2,593	: 54.9	: 7	: 42.9	: 3	: 42.9	- 12.0	
Kansas	: 904	: 890	: 741	: 83.3	: 2	: 100.0	: 2	: 100.0	+ 16.7	
Michigan	: 1,451	: 1,432	: 896	: 69.6	: 1	: 100.0	: 1	: 100.0	+ 30.4	
Minnesota	: 112	: 109	: 102	: 93.6	: 3	: 100.0	: 3	: 100.0	+ 6.4	
Mississippi	: 20,699	: 18,711	: 17,747	: 94.8	-	-	-	-	2/	
Montana	: 2,177	: 1,991	: 1,772	: 89.0	: 180	: 92.2	: 166	: 92.2	+ 3.2	
New Mexico	: 2,979	: 1,484	: 1,010	: 68.1	: 137	: 75.2	: 103	: 75.2	+ 7.1	
North Carolina	: 31,613	: 29,206	: 27,152	: 93.0	: 294	: 91.2	: 268	: 91.2	- 1.8	
North Dakota	: 2,476	: 2,416	: 2,274	: 94.1	: 60	: 96.7	: 58	: 96.7	+ 2.6	
Oklahoma	: 11,669	: 11,058	: 8,121	: 73.4	: 423	: 74.0	: 313	: 74.0	+ .6	
South Dakota	: 2,032	: 1,857	: 1,578	: 85.0	: 175	: 82.3	: 144	: 82.3	- 2.7	
Washington	: 2,015	: 1,977	: 1,227	: 62.1	: 8	: 100.0	: 8	: 100.0	+ 37.9	
Total	: 84,393	: 76,958	: 66,118	: 85.6	: 1,463	: 83.7	: 1,224	: 83.7	- 1.9	

11/ A minus sign denotes that the percentage of White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved exceeded the percentage of minority farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved while a plus sign denotes the opposite with respect to White and minority farmers and ranchers.

2/ Not applicable.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the total number of American Indian/Alaskan Native and White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP and the total requesting ACP, is the number of other minorities requesting ACP.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-3.-- Farmers and Ranchers Requesting and Approved for ACP in Five Southwestern States, Calendar Year 1976

State	Agricultural Conservation Program									
	Total		White (Not of Hispanic Origin)		Hispanic		:Percentage		:Difference	
	:Requesting:		Requesting		Requesting		Approved		Approved	
	ACP		ACP		ACP		for ACP		for ACP	
	No.		No.		No.		No.		No.	
				Pct.				Pct.		Pts.
Arizona	1,321	1,107	905	81.8	28	26	92.9	+ 11.1		
California	4,945	4,720	2,593	54.9	90	63	70.0	+ 15.1		
Colorado	3,289	3,039	2,167	71.3	227	207	91.2	+ 19.9		
New Mexico	2,979	1,484	1,010	68.1	1,222	952	77.9	+ 9.8		
Texas	23,466	21,687	17,998	83.0	774	540	69.8	- 13.2		
Total	36,000	32,037	24,673	77.0	2,341	1,788	76.4	- .6		

1/ A minus sign denotes that the percentage of White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved exceeded the percentage of minority farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved, while a plus sign denotes the opposite with respect to White and minority farmers and ranchers.

NOTE: The difference between the total number of Hispanic and White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP and the total requesting ACP is the number of other minorities requesting ACP.

Table 3-4.-- Farmers and Ranchers Requesting and Approved for ACP in Six Western States, Calendar Year 1976

State	Agricultural Conservation Program									
	Total		White (Not of Hispanic Origin)		Asian/Pacific Islander		:Percentage		:Difference	: 1/
	:Requesting:	:ACP	:Requesting	:Approved	:Requesting	:Approved	:Requesting	:Approved		
	No.	No.	ACP	for ACP	ACP	No.	ACP	No.	Pct.	Pct.
California	4,945		4,720	2,593	111	69	62.2	69	62.2	+ 7.3
Colorado	3,289		3,039	2,167	21	17	81.0	17	81.0	+ 9.7
Idaho	2,361		2,330	1,578	23	18	78.3	18	78.3	+ 10.6
Oregon	960		932	749	22	19	86.4	19	86.4	+ 6.0
Utah	1,124		1,094	893	20	20	100.0	20	100.0	+ 18.4
Washington	2,015		1,977	1,227	17	14	82.4	14	82.4	+ 20.3
Total	14,694		14,092	9,207	214	157	73.4	157	73.4	+ 8.1

1/ A minus sign denotes that the percentage of White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved exceeded the percentage of minority farmers and ranchers requesting ACP that was approved, while a plus sign denotes the opposite with respect to White and minority farmers and ranchers.

43

NOTE : The difference between the total number of Asian/Pacific Islander and White farmers and ranchers requesting ACP and the total farmers and ranchers requesting ACP is the number of other minorities requesting ACP.

COMMITTEE ELECTIONS

ASCS programs are administered through State, county, and community committees. The State committee consists of three to five members who are appointed by the Secretary of Agriculture. The community committee consists of three members and two alternates who are elected each year by the farmers and ranchers in each community who are eligible to participate in ASCS programs. The county committee consists of three members and two alternates who are elected by farm-elected community committees. One county committee member is elected each year and serves for three years.

The election of county and community members and alternates in December 1976 and the total county and community members and alternates who served during 1977 are shown by State for 1,202 counties having 1 percent or more minority farmers.

Highlights of Committee Members Elected in Calendar Year 1976 1/

Ethnic Group	Committee Members			
	County		Community	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black <u>2/</u>	2	0.2	151	1.3
Hispanic	25	2.0	37	.3
American Indian <u>3/</u>	8	.6	62	.5
Asian <u>4/</u>	2	.2	3	<u>5/</u>
White <u>2/</u>	1,230	97.0	11,564	97.9
Total	1,267	100.0	11,817	100.0

1/ These members were elected in December 1976 and served during Calendar Year 1977.

2/ Not of Hispanic origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

5/ Less than 0.05 percent.

NOTE: Committee members who were elected in 1976 were reported from 1,202 counties with 1 percent or more minority population.

Table 3-5.--ASCS County Committee Members and Alternates who Served in Calendar Year 1977

Region/State	County Committee Members and Alternates 1/											
	Not of Hispanic Origin			Hispanic			American Indian/Asian/Pacific			Total		
	Black	White					Islander					
	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Eastern												
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	4
Florida	-	2	117	-	-	-	-	-	-	117	-	79
Georgia	-	21	413	-	-	-	-	-	-	413	-	268
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	4	38	-	-	-	-	-	-	38	-	25
Massachusetts	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	4
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	15	-	10
New York	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	4
North Carolina	-	-	240	-	-	-	-	-	-	240	-	159
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2
South Carolina	1	-	132	-	-	-	-	-	-	133	-	88
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	-	4	210	-	-	-	-	-	-	210	-	141
West Virginia	-	-	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2
Total	2	31	1,188	-	-	-	-	-	1	1,190	-	786
East Central												
Alabama	2	2	175	-	-	-	-	-	-	177	-	117
Illinois	-	-	16	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	-	12
Indiana	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	4
Kentucky	-	2	147	-	-	-	-	-	-	147	-	96
Michigan	-	-	21	-	-	-	-	-	-	21	-	14
Minnesota	1	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	-	4
Mississippi	-	8	241	-	-	-	-	-	-	241	-	161
Ohio	-	-	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	24	-	16
Tennessee	-	1	150	-	-	-	-	-	-	150	-	99
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	-	2
Total	3	13	785	-	-	-	-	-	-	791	-	525

See footnotes at end of table

Continued

Table 3-5.-- ASCS County Committee Members and Alternates who Served in Calendar Year 1977 (continued)

Region/State	County Committee Members and Alternates 1/										
	Not of Hispanic Origin			Hispanic			American Indian/Asian/Pacific			Total	
	Black			White			Alaskan Native			Islander	
	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates	Member: Alternates
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Western											
Alaska	-	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	6	4
Arizona	-	-	38	21	-	1	2	1	1	40	24
California	-	-	109	73	1	-	1	-	3	114	77
Colorado	-	-	57	39	10	5	-	-	2	69	44
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	-	-	49	32	-	-	1	2	1	51	34
Montana	-	-	47	32	-	-	1	-	-	48	32
Nevada	-	-	26	17	-	-	2	2	-	30	20
North Dakota	-	-	33	19	-	-	3	3	-	33	22
Oregon	-	-	20	13	-	-	-	1	1	21	14
South Dakota	-	-	48	31	-	-	-	1	-	48	32
Utah	-	-	24	15	-	-	-	1	-	24	16
Washington	-	-	29	17	-	-	1	2	1	30	20
Wyoming	-	-	18	11	-	-	-	-	1	18	12
Total	-	-	504	324	11	6	7	12	10	532	351
West Central											
Arkansas	-	1	116	71	-	-	-	-	-	116	72
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	3	1	24	15	-	-	-	-	-	27	16
Louisiana	-	3	171	107	-	-	-	-	-	171	110
Missouri	-	-	30	20	-	-	-	-	-	30	20
Nebraska	-	-	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	9	6
New Mexico	-	-	55	35	28	19	2	2	1	86	56
Oklahoma	2	3	139	91	-	-	13	9	-	154	103
Texas	-	7	425	266	17	18	-	-	-	442	291
Total	5	15	969	611	45	37	15	11	1	1,035	674
Grand Total	10	59	3,446	2,198	56	43	25	26	11	10	3,548
1/ County Committee Members and Alternates who served during 1977 are shown by State for all counties with 1 percent or more minority farmers.											

NOTE: (1) A dash "-" signifies zero.

(2) States having no counties with 1 percent or more minority farmers: Connecticut, Hawaii, Iowa, Maine, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania and Vermont.

Table 3-6.--ASCS Community Committee Members and Alternates who Served in Calendar Year 1977

Region/State	Community Committee Members and Alternates 1/											
	Not of Hispanic Origin			Hispanic			American Indian/Asian/Pacific			Total		
	Black			White			Islander					
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Eastern												
Connecticut	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Delaware	-	-	50	34	-	1	-	-	-	51	34	-
Florida	-	12	63	28	-	-	-	-	-	63	40	-
Georgia	4	41	504	296	-	-	1	-	-	508	339	-
Maine	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	-	-	6	4	-	-	-	-	-	6	4	-
Massachusetts	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New York	-	-	35	22	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	32	234	2,387	1,372	-	13	17	-	-	36	22	-
Pennsylvania	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2,432	1,623	-
Rhode Island	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	29	89	1,016	607	-	-	-	-	-	1,045	696	-
Vermont	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	7	78	585	317	-	-	-	-	-	592	395	-
West Virginia	-	-	9	6	-	-	-	-	-	9	6	-
Total	72	454	4,655	2,686	-	1	18	-	-	4,742	3,159	-
East Central												
Alabama	20	126	959	525	-	-	-	-	-	979	651	-
Illinois	2	4	98	63	-	-	-	-	-	100	67	-
Indiana	-	-	18	12	-	-	-	-	-	18	12	-
Kentucky	1	9	382	248	-	-	-	-	-	383	257	-
Michigan	4	2	208	136	-	-	-	-	-	212	138	-
Minnesota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	13	174	809	374	-	-	-	-	-	822	548	-
Ohio	1	2	168	111	-	-	-	-	-	169	113	-
Tennessee	9	62	1,113	680	-	-	-	-	-	1,122	742	-
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	50	379	3,755	2,149	-	-	-	-	-	3,805	2,528	-

Continued

See footnotes on page

Table 3-6.--ASCS Community Committee Members and Alternates who Served in Calendar Year 1977

Region/State	Community Committee Members and Alternates 1/											
	Not of Hispanic Origin			Hispanic			American Indian/Alaskan Native			Asian/Pacific Islander		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	Total
	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate	Member:Alternate
Western	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	-	44	29	1	1	7	4	-	-	-	52	34
California	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	-	241	152	1	-	10	17	1	-	-	253	169
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oregon	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	-	95	62	-	-	4	4	-	-	-	99	66
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	380	243	2	1	21	25	1	-	-	404	269
West Central												
Arkansas	6	64	426	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	432	292
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	1	28	474	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	475	315
Missouri	1	3	230	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	231	154
Nebraska	-	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	6
New Mexico	-	-	67	11	16	-	1	-	-	-	78	46
Oklahoma	11	21	522	-	-	26	15	-	-	-	559	373
Texas	10	46	1,046	24	44	-	-	2	1	-	1,082	707
Total	29	162	2,774	35	60	26	16	2	1	-	2,866	1,893
Grand Total	151	995	11,564	37	62	62	59	3	1	-	11,817	7,849

See footnotes on page

1/ Community Committee Members and Alternates who served during 1977 are shown by State for all counties with 1 percent or more minority farmers.

NOTE: (1) A dash "-" signifies zero.

- (2) States having no counties with 1 percent or more minority farmers: Connecticut, Hawaii, Iowa, Maine, New Hampshire, Pennsylvania and Vermont.
- (3) States having all one community counties: Alaska, California, Colorado, Idaho, Kansas, Minnesota, Nevada, New Jersey, North Dakota, Rhode Island, Utah and Washington.
- (4) States having one or more counties with 1 percent or more minority farmers, but also having one community county: Massachusetts, Oregon, Wisconsin and Wyoming.

COUNTY OFFICE EMPLOYMENT (1977)

In 1977 there were 8,386 regular ASCS County office employees of whom 283 were members of minority groups: 172 were Black, 62 were Hispanic, 37 were American Indian, and 12 were Asian.

Highlights of ASCS County Office Employment, 1977

Ethnic Group	Employees						Grade Level			
	Total		Male		Female		CO 1-5		CO 6-11	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black <u>1/</u>	172	2.1	36	1.3	136	2.4	140	2.6	32	1.1
Hispanic	62	.7	22	.8	40	.7	38	.7	24	.8
American Indian <u>2/</u>	37	.4	23	.9	14	.3	17	.3	20	.7
Asian <u>3/</u>	12	.1	4	.2	8	.1	7	.1	5	.2
White <u>1/</u>	8,103	96.6	2,593	96.8	5,510	96.5	5,294	96.3	2,809	97.2
Total	8,386	100.0	2,678	100.0	5,708	100.0	5,496	100.0	2,890	100.0

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ Includes Alaskan Native.

3/ Includes Pacific Islander.

Of the 8,386 regular ASCS County office employees, only 3.4 percent were minorities and 3.7 percent were in CO Grades 1-5. Seventy percent (198) of the minorities were females, 190 of whom were employed in CO Grades 1-5. Of the 85 male minority employees 86 percent (73) were employed in CO Grades 6-11.

Highlights of ASCS County Office Employment, 1977

Ethnic Group	Grade Levels								Total	
	CO 1-5				CO 6-11				Total	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	CO 1-11	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Minority	12	3.2	190	3.7	73	3.2	8	1.4	283	3.4
White <u>1/</u>	362	96.8	4,932	96.3	2,231	96.8	578	98.6	8,103	96.6
Total	374	100.0	5,122	100.0	2,304	100.0	586	100.0	8,386	100.0

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

Table 3-7.-- ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 1-5 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977

Region/State	ASCS Employees in CO Grades 1-5													
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic		American Indian/ Alaskan Native		Total		Male	Female		
	Black		White		Male		Female		Male				Female	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.			No.	No.
Northeast														
Connecticut	-	-	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	
Delaware	-	-	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	5	
Maine	-	-	1	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	10	
Maryland	-	2	-	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	22	
Massachusetts	-	-	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	
New Hampshire	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	
New Jersey	-	-	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	12	
New York	-	-	2	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	81	
Pennsylvania	-	-	3	83	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	83	
Rhode Island	-	-	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	
Vermont	-	-	1	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	12	
West Virginia	-	-	3	55	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	55	
Total	-	2	10	295	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	10	297	
Southeast														
Alabama	-	9	25	138	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	25	147	
Arkansas	-	4	19	148	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	20	152	
Florida	-	2	4	54	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	56	
Georgia	-	18	11	245	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	263	
Kentucky	-	5	24	202	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	24	208	
Louisiana	2	11	14	71	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	16	82	
Mississippi	1	14	2	158	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	172	
North Carolina	1	22	11	295	-	-	-	1	-	2	-	12	320	
South Carolina	-	13	13	116	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	13	129	
Tennessee	-	7	17	219	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	17	226	
Virginia	-	18	6	122	-	-	-	-	-	1	-	6	141	
Total	4	123	146	1,768	1	1	1	1	4	151	1,896			

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-7.-- ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 1-5 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977

Region/ State	ASCS Employees in CO Grades 1-5											
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic				American Indian/ Alaskan Native			
	Black		White		Male		Female		Male		Female	
	Male	No.	Female	No.	Male	No.	Female	No.	Male	No.	Female	No.
Midwest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Illinois	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	1	-	25	-	1,201
Southwest	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Arizona	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
California	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nevada	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New Mexico	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2	2	2	2	105	876	1	33	4	112	8	924

See footnotes at end of table

Continued

Table 3-7.-- ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 1-5 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977

Region/ State	(Continued) ASCS Employees in CO Grades 1-5											
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic				American Indian/ Alaskan Native			
	Black		White		Male		Female		Male		Female	
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Northwest												
Alaska	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1
Idaho	-	-	4	46	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	47 3/
Minnesota	-	-	6	183	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	183
Montana	-	-	7	50	-	-	-	-	-	1	7	52 3/
Nebraska	-	-	18	191	-	-	-	1	-	-	18	192
North Dakota	-	-	19	101	-	-	-	-	-	-	19	101
Oregon	-	-	6	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	32
South Dakota	-	-	7	144	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	144
Washington	-	-	2	39	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	39
Wyoming	-	-	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7
Total	-	-	76	794	-	-	-	1	-	1	76	798
Grand Total	6	134	362	4,932	2	36	4	13	374	5,106		

1/ Includes 2 Orientals.

2/ Includes 3 Orientals.

3/ Includes 1 Oriental.

Note: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-8.-- ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 6-11 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977

Region/State	ASCS Employees in CO Grades 6-11											
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic		American Indian/ Alaskan Native		Total		Male	Female
	Black		White									
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Northeast												
Connecticut	-	4	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	4	4
Delaware	1	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	3	3	-
Maine	-	9	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	9	9	5
Maryland	-	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	11	11	6
Massachusetts	-	6	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	6	6	-
New Hampshire	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	7	7	2
New Jersey	-	8	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	8	8	1
New York	-	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	44	44	6
Pennsylvania	1	33	-	-	-	-	2	-	-	34	34	19
Rhode Island	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1	-
Vermont	-	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2	9
West Virginia	1	34	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	35	35	9
Total	3	159	-	61	-	-	2	-	-	164	164	61
Southeast												
Alabama	2	51	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	53	53	27
Arkansas	1	67	-	10	-	-	-	-	-	68	68	10
Florida	-	35	-	12	-	-	-	-	-	35	35	12
Georgia	3	111	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	114	114	7
Kentucky	-	68	2	46	-	-	-	-	-	68	68	48
Louisiana	1	50	-	7	-	-	-	-	-	51	51	7
Mississippi	3	66	-	18	-	-	-	-	-	69	69	18
North Carolina	2	117	-	43	-	-	1	-	-	120	120	43
South Carolina	3	45	-	5	-	-	-	-	-	48	48	5
Tennessee	1	64	-	49	-	-	-	-	-	65	65	49
Virginia	2	64	-	27	-	-	-	-	-	66	66	27
Total	18	738	2	251	-	-	1	-	-	757	757	253

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-8.-- ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 6-11 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977

(Continued)												
ASCS Employees in CO Grades 6-11												
Region/ State	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic		American Indian/ Alaskan Native		Total			
	Black		White									
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Northwest												
Illinois	1	-	82	13	1	-	-	-	84	13		
Indiana	-	-	70	15	-	-	-	-	70	15		
Iowa	-	-	89	11	-	-	-	-	89	11		
Michigan	-	-	46	24	-	-	-	-	46	24		
Missouri	1	-	95	13	-	-	-	-	96	13		
Ohio	3	-	64	17	-	-	-	-	67	17		
Wisconsin	-	-	55	7	-	-	-	-	55	7		
Total	5	-	501	100	1	-	-	-	507	100		
Southwest												
Arizona	-	-	7	2	-	1	-	-	7	3		
California	-	-	26	10	-	-	-	-	26	11 1/		
Colorado	-	-	32	13	2	1	-	-	34	14		
Hawaii	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	2 2/	-		
Kansas	-	-	96	9	-	-	-	-	96	9		
Nevada	-	-	5	1	-	-	-	-	5	1		
New Mexico	-	-	18	3	5	-	-	-	23	3		
Oklahoma	1	-	70	8	-	-	7	1	78	9		
Texas	2	-	183	56	9	2	4	-	198	58		
Utah	-	-	25	-	-	-	-	-	26 1/	-		
Total	3	-	462	102	16	4	11	1	495	108		
See footnotes at end of table.												
Continued												

Continued

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3-8.--ASCS County Office Employees in CO Grades 6-11 by Region, State, and Ethnic Group, Calendar Year 1977
(Continued)

Region/State	ASCS Employees in CO Grades 6-11											
	Not of Hispanic Origin		Hispanic		American Indian/ Alaskan Native		Total					
	Black		White									
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Northwest												
Alaska	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	-	-	32	5	1	-	-	-	34	1/	5	5
Minnesota	1	-	77	9	-	-	1	-	79	-	9	9
Montana	-	-	31	9	-	-	2	-	33	-	9	9
Nebraska	-	-	80	3	1	-	-	-	81	-	3	3
North Dakota	-	-	44	6	-	-	2	-	46	-	6	6
Oregon	-	-	19	5	-	-	-	-	19	-	5	5
South Dakota	-	-	47	16	-	-	-	-	47	-	16	16
Washington	-	-	27	5	1	-	-	-	28	-	5	5
Wyoming	-	-	14	6	-	-	-	-	14	-	6	6
Total	1	-	371	64	3	-	5	-	381	-	64	64
Grand Total	30	2	2,231	573	20	4	19	1	2,304	1	586	586
1/ Includes 1 Oriental.												
2/ Includes 2 Orientals.												

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

FORESTRY INCENTIVES PROGRAM

The Forestry Incentives Program (FIP) was enacted in 1973 to share the cost of tree planting and timber stand improvement with non-industrial private land-owners.

This program, aimed at small woodland owners generally of 10 acres or larger up to a 500 acre ownership maximum, operates in designated counties of 35 States, and offers Federal cost-share assistance on approved forest management practices for any qualified farmer or rancher who feels that this woodlot needs improvement for increased timber production.

Cost-share assistance usually ranges from 50 to 75 percent. However, the exact cost-share rates are set by the State and County Agricultural Stabilization and Conservation Committees.

Table 3-9.--Minority and White Farmers/Ranchers Requesting and Approved for the Forestry Incentives Program and Percentage Difference, Minority and White, Calendar Year 1976

State	Total		W H I T E		M I N O R I T Y		Percentage	
	: Requesting:	: No.	: Requesting	: No.	: Requesting	: No.	: Approved	: Difference 2/
							Pct.	Pct. Pts.
Alabama	1,014	967	843	87.2	47	42	89.4	+ 2.2
Arizona	14	13	12	92.3	1	-	-	3/
Arkansas	496	490	419	85.5	6	5	83.3	- 2.2
California	90	90	36	40.0	-	-	-	-
Colorado	22	18	16	88.9	4	3	75.0	- 12.9
Delaware	12	12	11	91.7	-	-	-	- 91.7
Florida	336	329	257	78.1	7	6	85.7	+ 7.6
Georgia	1,049	1,034	733	70.9	15	13	86.7	+ 15.8
Idaho	9	9	9	100.0	-	-	-	- 100.0
Illinois	26	26	26	100.0	-	-	-	- 100.0
Indiana	1	1	1	100.0	-	-	-	- 100.0
Kansas	18	18	11	61.1	-	-	-	- 100.0
Kentucky	253	252	185	73.4	1	1	100.0	+ 26.6
Louisiana	454	450	316	70.2	4	3	75.0	+ 4.8
Maryland	103	102	90	88.2	1	1	100.0	+ 11.8
Massachusetts	17	17	17	100.0	-	-	-	- 100.0
Michigan	38	36	34	94.4	2	2	100.0	+ 5.6
Minnesota	2	1	1	100.0	1	1	100.0	-
Mississippi	1,220	1,175	981	83.5	45	29	64.4	- 19.1
Missouri	34	34	28	82.4	-	-	-	- 82.4
Montana	25	25	20	80.0	-	-	-	- 80.0
New Jersey	46	46	43	93.5	-	-	-	- 93.5
New Mexico	56	33	20	60.6	-	-	-	+ 13.3
New York	1	-	-	-	23	17	73.9	+ 100.0
North Carolina	1,156	1,144	1,021	89.2	12	10	83.3	- 5.9
Ohio	73	72	69	95.8	1	1	100.0	+ 4.2
Oklahoma	149	144	118	81.9	5	5	100.0	+ 18.1
Rhode Island	7	7	4	57.1	-	-	-	- 57.1
South Carolina	792	782	642	82.1	10	8	80.0	- 2.1
Tennessee	205	204	145	71.1	1	1	100.0	+ 28.9
Texas	426	413	340	82.3	13	9	69.2	- 13.1

(Continued)

See footnotes at end of table.

Table 3-9.--Minority and White Farmers/Ranchers Requesting and Approved for the Forestry Incentives Program and Percentage Difference, Minority and White, Calendar Year 1976

State	Total		W H I T E 1/		M I N O R I T Y		Percentage Difference 2/	
	: Requesting:	: No.	: Requesting	: Approved	: Requesting	: Approved	: Pct.	: Pts.
Virginia	:	808	772	636	36	28	77.8	- 4.6
Washington	:	1	1	1	-	-	-	-100.0
West Virginia:	:	8	8	8	-	-	-	-100.0
Total	:	8,961	8,725	7,093	236	186	78.8	- 2.5

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ A minus sign denotes that the percentage of White farmers/ranchers requesting FIP that were approved exceeded the percentage of minority farmers/ranchers who requested and were approved for FIP. A plus sign denotes that the percentage of minority farmers/ranchers requesting FIP that were approved exceeded the percentage of White farmers/ranchers who requested and were approved for FIP.

3/ Not applicable.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

ECONOMICS, STATISTICS AND COOPERATIVES SERVICE
Cooperatives Program

The Cooperatives Program Unit of the Economics, Statistics, and Cooperatives Service (ESCS), has headquarters in Washington, D.C. with two field offices in Salinas, California and New Bern, North Carolina.

The broad objectives of the program are to assist the development of cooperatives and improve their organization, management, and operation.

Requests for cooperative information and technical assistance are made by telephone, letters, and office conferences from emerging and established cooperative groups. As ESCS has no grant or loan authority, many technical assistance requests from cooperatives interested in grant and loan funds are referred to the Farmers Home Administration, Bank for Cooperatives and the Small Business Administration.

All agricultural cooperative groups are eligible for ESCS Cooperatives Program assistance. The intensity of technical assistance provided depends upon the feasibility and complexity of the problem.

The Cooperatives Program has a strong on-going research effort affecting all ethnic groups in varying degrees. The Cooperatives Program also publishes research results, educational materials, and a monthly periodical entitled Farmer Cooperatives.

Targets have not been quantified for delivery of services to minority groups.

Table 3-10.-- Disposition of Requests, Cooperatives Program, ESCS, by Ethnic Group
Comprising a Majority of Total Cooperative Membership, 1977

Primary Ethnic Composition of Cooperatives 1/	Technical Assistance:		Referral to Another Agency:	Assisted by ESCS		
	Requests			Total Requests	Person Months	Pct.
	Phone	Written				
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	Pct.
Black 3/	91	115	75	131	15	12.0
Hispanic	15	27	15	27	5	4.0
American Indian 4/	19	6	10	15	10	8.0
Asian 5/	3	1	-	4	-	-
Total Minority	128	149	100	177	30	24.0
White 3/	836	222	150	908	95	76.0
Total	964	371	250	1,085	125	100.0

1/ Ethnic group representing more than 50 percent of total membership.

2/ One person month equals 20 days.

3/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

4/ Includes Alaskan Native.

5/ Includes Pacific Islander.

NOTE: A dash "-" signified zero.

FARMERS HOME ADMINISTRATION

The Farmers Home Administration (FmHA) administers credit programs through 1,814 county offices serving 50 States, the Virgin Islands and Puerto Rico. The borrowers served are farmers and residents of rural areas including towns of 10,000 population who are unable to obtain credit on reasonable terms from commercial sources, but who are able to repay FmHA. Also included are designated rural areas which have populations of 10,000 but not in excess of 20,000 and are not part of or associated with an urban area, are not located in a Standard Metropolitan Statistical Area (SMSA), and have a serious lack of mortgage credit for low and moderate income families.

FmHA loan programs include farm operating, farm ownership and rural housing. Eligibility data for both farm operating and farm ownership loan programs are derived from the number of farm operating units by ethnic group from the Soil Conservation Service. Eligibility data for the rural housing loan program are derived from the number of rural housing units without complete plumbing by ethnic group from the Census of Housing, 1970.

Targets for the three loan programs stated above were set by State for Fiscal Year 1977.

Highlights of Initial FmHA Loan Applications Received from
Individuals, Fiscal Year 1977

State/Ethnic Group	Loan Applications					
	Farm		Operating		Rural	
	Ownership				Housing 1/	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
16 Southern States						
Black 2/	570	4.6	2,238	14.8	36,456	22.5
White 2/	11,649	94.6	12,711	84.0	123,343	76.0
5 Southwestern States:						
Hispanic	114	5.7	222	7.7	5,742	25.6
White 2/	1,855	92.5	2,541	88.0	14,665	65.4
10 Selected States						
American Indian 3/	108	1.4	248	3.5	858	1.4
White 2/	7,205	95.3	6,243	87.9	48,334	79.3
6 Western States						
Asian 4/	17	.8	16	.8	180	.8
White 2/	2,056	97.1	1,888	96.2	18,928	87.1

1/ Applications for moderate and low-income loans by ethnic group are not available.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages shown and 100 percent is the percentage of loan applications received from minorities other than the minority group designated.

Highlights of FmHA Loans Made in Fiscal Year 1977

State/Ethnic Group	Loans Made							
	Farm Ownership				Rural Housing 1/			
	Operating		Moderate Income		Very Low Income			
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
16 Southern States								
Black 2/	140	3.1	3,548	15.7	9,401	17.1	902	45.3
White 2/	4,308	96.1	18,847	83.2	44,570	81.3	1,055	53.0
5 Southwestern States:								
Hispanic	39	4.4	275	5.9	2,080	22.4	148	31.0
White 2/	839	94.5	4,191	89.8	6,651	71.7	142	29.7
10 Selected States								
American Indian 3/	45	1.6	271	3.1	346	1.4	9	2.1
White 2/	2,678	95.8	7,627	86.8	20,027	84.0	203	48.4
6 Western States								
Asian 4/	8	1.0	37	1.5	97	.8	-	-
White 2/	818	98.0	2,429	96.3	10,736	88.6	89	81.7

1/ Maximum adjusted income in the 48 conterminous States for moderate-income loans is \$15,600 and for very low-income loans, \$10,000.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages shown and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than the minority group designated.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Highlights of Average Amounts of FmHA Loans Made in Fiscal Year 1977

State/Ethnic Group	Average Amount of Loans Made			
	Farm Ownership	Operating	Rural Housing 1/	
			Moderate	Very Low
			Income	Income
	Dol.	Dol.	Dol.	Dol.
16 Southern States				
Black 2/	24,850	6,695	19,359	2,318
White 2/	36,054	13,129	20,364	2,192
5 Southwestern States:				
Hispanic	36,615	10,935	22,114	2,291
White 2/	46,453	16,251	22,437	2,035
10 Selected States				
American Indian 3/	32,689	13,207	20,124	3,111
White 2/	41,925	13,696	22,312	2,374
6 Western States				
Asian 4/	67,500	14,216	24,691	-
White 2/	49,797	17,089	23,800	2,528

1/ Maximum adjusted income in the 48 conterminous States for moderate-income loans is \$15,600 and for very low-income loans, \$10,000.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-11--Number and Percentage of FmHA Farm Ownership Loans to
Black and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Not of Hispanic Origin									
	Black					White				
	No.	Eligible 1/	Pct.	Loans Made	Pct.	No.	Eligible 1/	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	8,595		8.5	8	2.8	92,246		91.5	281	97.2
Arkansas	6,167		5.1	8	1.6	114,694		94.8	479	98.2
Delaware	115		1.8	-	-	6,383		97.9	23	100.0
Florida	2,780		5.4	4	2.8	48,650		94.3	136	96.5
Georgia	9,413		5.1	5	2.7	174,498		94.9	177	97.3
Kentucky	4,600		2.6	4	1.1	173,832		97.4	357	98.9
Louisiana	14,627		11.3	11	6.7	111,432		88.4	152	93.3
Maryland	890		2.9	2	3.3	29,840		97.1	58	96.7
Mississippi	28,774		18.7	17	4.0	125,046		81.2	412	96.0
North Carolina	12,933		7.6	35	7.0	156,298		91.9	454	91.2
Oklahoma	2,464		1.9	7	1.4	127,152		96.4	488	96.1
South Carolina	15,955		18.1	19	9.2	72,094		81.9	188	90.8
Tennessee	4,350		2.9	8	2.2	145,478		97.1	357	97.8
Texas	7,505		2.6	5	1.0	275,883		95.3	507	96.8
Virginia	8,143		7.4	7	5.5	102,189		92.6	121	94.5
West Virginia	228		.3	-	-	90,492		99.7	118	100.0
Total	127,539		6.4	140	3.1	1,846,207		93.1	4,308	96.1

1/ The number of Black and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Black and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Black.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-12.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Farm Ownership Loans to Hispanic and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Hispanic			White 1/		
	No.	Eligible 2/ Pct.	Loans Made No.	Pct.	Eligible 2/ No.	Loans Made Pct.
Arizona	212	3.3	6	10.7	6,203	95.5
California	1,649	1.9	2	2.4	82,523	95.2
Colorado	971	2.6	3	2.3	34,924	96.8
New Mexico	6,738	30.0	16	17.6	13,781	61.4
Texas	5,998	2.1	12	2.3	275,883	95.3
Total	15,568	3.5	39	4.4	413,314	93.7

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ The number of Hispanic and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Hispanic and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Hispanic.

Table 3-13.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Farm Ownership Loans to
American Indian/Alaskan Native and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	American Indian/Alaskan Native			White 1/		
	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	No.	Pct.
Arizona	10	0.1	-	-	6,203	95.5
California	629	.7	-	-	82,523	95.2
Minnesota	226	.2	0.2	0.2	129,579	99.8
Montana	695	2.7	7.0	7.0	24,764	97.1
New Mexico	1,732	7.7	-	-	13,781	61.4
North Carolina	781	.5	1.8	1.8	156,298	91.9
North Dakota	192	.4	1.4	1.4	48,095	99.6
Oklahoma	2,253	1.7	2.4	2.4	127,152	96.4
South Dakota	524	1.2	1.5	1.5	44,596	98.8
Washington	1,541	2.4	.7	.7	61,168	96.7
Total	8,583	1.2	1.6	1.6	694,159	95.1

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ The number of American Indian/Alaskan Native and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for American Indian/Alaskan Native and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than American Indian/Alaskan Native.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-14.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Farm Ownership Loans to Asian/Pacific Islander and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Asian/Pacific Islander				White 1/			
	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made
California:	1,578		1.8		82,523		95.2	80
Colorado :	151		.4		34,924		96.8	127
Idaho :	251		.7		35,784		99.1	287
Oregon :	232		.7		35,279		98.7	98
Utah :	125		.7		18,899		98.5	83
Washington:	395		.6		61,168		96.7	143
Total	2,732		1.0	8	268,577		96.9	818

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.


2/ The number of Asian/Pacific Islander and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Asian/Pacific Islander and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Asian/Pacific Islander.


(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Figure 3-1


FmHA FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS TO RACIAL/ ETHNIC GROUP MEMBERS IN SELECTED STATES AS PERCENTAGE OF ALL FARM OWNERSHIP LOANS MADE IN 1977




Black
(Not of Hispanic Origin)
(16 States)



American Indian/
Alaskan Native
(10 States)



Hispanic
(5 States)



Asian/Pacific Islander
(6 States)

Table 3-15.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Operating Loans to Black and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Not of Hispanic Origin									
	Black					White				
	Eligible 1/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	Pct.	Eligible 1/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	Pct.
Alabama	8,595	216	8.5	17.3	92,246	91.5	1,031	82.7		
Arkansas	6,167	403	5.1	25.2	114,694	94.8	1,191	74.6		
Delaware	115	-	1.8	-	6,383	97.9	58	98.3		
Florida	2,780	129	5.4	16.7	48,650	94.3	643	83.2		
Georgia	9,413	250	5.1	15.2	174,498	94.9	1,388	84.6		
Kentucky	4,600	20	2.6	1.4	173,832	97.4	1,393	98.6		
Louisiana	14,627	535	11.3	21.2	111,432	88.4	1,991	78.8		
Maryland	890	23	2.9	7.8	29,840	97.1	270	92.2		
Mississippi	28,774	359	18.7	20.1	125,046	81.2	1,426	79.9		
North Carolina	12,933	746	7.6	24.0	156,298	91.9	2,337	75.2		
Oklahoma	2,464	46	1.9	3.1	127,152	96.4	1,413	95.0		
South Carolina	15,955	454	18.1	36.8	72,094	81.9	778	63.1		
Tennessee	4,350	108	2.9	12.9	145,478	97.1	727	87.1		
Texas	7,505	87	2.6	2.5	275,883	95.3	3,244	91.7		
Virginia	8,143	171	7.4	23.2	102,189	92.6	566	76.8		
West Virginia	228	1	.3	.3	90,492	99.7	391	99.7		
Total	127,539	3,548	6.4	15.7	1,846,207	93.1	18,847	83.2		
1/ The number of Black and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.										

1/ The number of Black and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Black and White and 100 percent is the percent of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Black.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-16.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Operating Loans to
Hispanic and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Hispanic				White ^{1/}			
	No.	Eligible <u>2/</u>	Pct.	Loans Made	No.	Eligible <u>2/</u>	Pct.	Loans Made
Arizona	212	3.3		10	6,203	95.5		99
California	1,649	1.9		5	82,523	95.2		308
Colorado	971	2.6		16	34,924	96.8		345
New Mexico	6,738	30.0		40	13,781	61.4		195
Texas	5,998	2.1		204	275,883	95.3		3,244
Total	15,568	3.5		275	413,314	93.7		4,191

^{1/} Not of Hispanic Origin.

^{2/} The number of Hispanic and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Hispanic and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Hispanic.

Table 3-17.--Number and Percentage of Farm Operating Loans to American Indian/Alaskan Native and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	American Indian/Alaskan Native				White 1/			
	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made
		No.	Pct.	No.		No.	Pct.	No.
Arizona		10	0.1	68		6,203	95.5	99
California		629	.7	1		82,523	95.2	308
Minnesota		226	.2	1		129,579	99.8	909
Montana		695	2.7	45		24,764	97.1	414
New Mexico		1,732	7.7	8		13,781	61.4	195
North Carolina		781	.5	24		156,298	91.9	2,337
North Dakota		192	.4	31		48,095	99.6	942
Oklahoma		2,253	1.7	24		127,152	96.4	1,413
South Dakota		524	1.2	67		44,596	98.8	613
Washington		1,541	2.4	2		61,168	96.7	397
Total		8,583	1.2	271		694,159	95.1	7,627

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ The number of American Indian/Alaskan Native and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for American Indian/Alaskan Native and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than American Indian/Alaskan Native.

Table 3-18.--Number and Percentage of FmHA Operating Loans to Asian/Pacific Islander and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Asian/Pacific Islander				White 1/			
	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made	Eligible 2/	No.	Pct.	Loans Made
		No.	Pct.	No.		No.	Pct.	No.
California:	1,578	1.8	22	6.5	82,523	95.2	308	90.6
Colorado :	151	.4	5	1.4	34,924	96.8	345	94.3
Idaho :	251	.7	5	.5	35,784	99.1	895	97.2
Oregon :	232	.7	1	.4	35,279	98.7	279	98.6
Utah :	125	.7	-	-	18,899	98.5	205	99.5
Washington:	395	.6	4	.1	61,168	96.7	397	97.8
Total	2,732	1.0	37	1.5	268,577	96.9	2,429	96.3

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ The number of Asian/Pacific Islander and White operating units which serves as the number of those eligible for this FmHA loan program was derived from Fiscal Year 1977 data from the Soil Conservation Service.


73

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Asian/Pacific Islander and White and 100 percent is the percentage of Eligible and Loans Made to minorities other than Asian/Pacific Islander.


(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Figure 3-2


FmHA OPERATING LOANS TO RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP MEMBERS IN SELECTED STATES AS A PERCENTAGE OF ALL OPERATING LOANS MADE IN 1977




**Black
(Not of Hispanic Origin)**
(16 States)



**American Indian/
Alaskan Native**
(10 States)



Hispanic
(5 States)



Asian/Pacific Islander
(6 States)

Table 3-19.--Number, Percentage and Average Amount of FmHA Moderate Income (502)
Rural Housing Loans to Black and White, 16 Southern States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Not of Hispanic Origin	
	Black		White		Black	White
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Do1.	Do1.
Alabama	663	20.9	2,504	79.0	17,561	19,603
Arkansas	299	7.4	3,736	92.0	16,893	19,171
Delaware	37	15.3	199	82.2	19,486	20,623
Florida	423	16.6	2,063	81.0	20,314	21,114
Georgia	624	23.7	2,008	76.1	18,454	19,214
Kentucky	157	3.7	4,034	96.1	20,637	20,499
Louisiana	1,158	32.4	2,398	67.1	21,032	21,537
Maryland	189	15.9	986	83.1	23,672	24,240
Mississippi	1,366	31.3	2,993	68.5	18,689	19,692
North Carolina	1,699	24.8	5,054	73.8	20,227	21,511
Oklahoma	133	2.9	4,301	93.3	18,090	20,927
South Carolina	877	32.9	1,786	67.1	18,618	20,352
Tennessee	396	6.7	5,526	93.3	18,631	19,760
Texas	337	12.1	1,999	71.8	18,077	20,206
Virginia	1,000	24.7	3,039	75.1	19,383	19,216
West Virginia	43	2.2	1,944	97.7	21,488	20,817
Total	9,401	17.1	44,570	81.3	19,359	20,364

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Black and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Black.

Table 3-20.--Number, Percentage and Average Amount of FmHA Moderate Income (502)
Rural Housing Loans to Hispanic and White, in Five Selected States, Fiscal
Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Not of Hispanic Origin	
	Hispanic		White 1/		Hispanic	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Do1.	Do1.
Arizona	206	18.2	857	75.8	20,330	20,022
California	755	25.6	2,086	70.8	24,815	25,056
Colorado	299	18.2	1,329	81.1	21,231	23,548
New Mexico	377	48.2	380	48.6	21,907	21,353
Texas	443	15.9	1,999	71.8	19,115	20,206
Total	2,080	22.4	6,651	71.7	22,114	22,437

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Hispanic and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Hispanic.

Table 3-21.--Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Moderate Income (502)
Rural Housing Loans to American Indian/Alaskan Native and White in 10
Selected States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	American Indian/ Alaskan Native		White 1/		American Indian/ Alaskan Native	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
Arizona	32	2.8	857	75.8	20,438	20,022
California	12	.4	2,086	70.8	30,833	25,056
Minnesota	20	.9	2,272	98.4	18,650	25,129
Montana	17	1.9	873	96.8	22,588	25,111
New Mexico	10	1.3	380	48.6	28,300	21,353
North Carolina	78	1.1	5,054	73.8	21,705	21,511
North Dakota	20	1.8	1,062	98.0	18,850	24,237
Oklahoma	126	2.7	4,301	93.3	19,206	20,927
South Dakota	27	1.7	1,574	98.1	14,815	21,997
Washington	4	.2	1,568	96.4	18,500	19,905
Total	346	1.4	20,027	84.0	19,870	22,312

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for American Indian/Alaskan Native and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than American Indian/Alaskan Native.

Table 3-22.--Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Moderate Income (502)
Rural Housing Loans to Asian/Pacific Islander and White in Six Western
States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Asian/Pacific Islander		White 1/		Asian/Pacific Islander	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
California	68	2.3	2,086	70.8	25,294	25,056
Colorado	4	.2	1,329	81.1	22,250	23,548
Idaho	4	.2	2,254	97.6	15,750	21,075
Oregon	6	.3	2,046	97.6	27,000	26,671
Utah	5	.3	1,453	97.3	26,000	26,618
Washington	10	3.6	1,568	96.4	23,100	19,905
Total	97	.8	10,736	88.6	24,691	23,800

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Asian/Pacific Islander and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Asian/Pacific Islander.

Table 3-23.--Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Very Low Income (504) Rural Housing Loans to Black and White in 16 Southern States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Not of Hispanic Origin	
	Black		White		Black	White
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
Alabama	109	35.1	59	64.9	2,541	1,898
Arkansas	205	45.3	247	54.5	2,088	2,077
Delaware	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	7	29.2	17	70.8	2,000	2,176
Georgia	14	42.4	19	57.6	2,500	2,684
Kentucky	12	4.5	256	95.2	1,917	2,199
Louisiana	69	73.4	25	26.6	2,072	1,960
Maryland	6	54.5	5	46.5	3,000	1,600
Mississippi	187	53.9	160	46.1	2,658	2,575
North Carolina	68	54.0	55	43.6	2,676	2,636
Oklahoma	16	28.1	40	70.2	2,500	1,900
South Carolina	11	58.0	8	42.0	2,545	2,250
Tennessee	7	18.4	31	81.6	2,429	2,161
Texas	180	63.4	78	27.5	1,994	1,667
Virginia	9	42.9	12	57.1	2,667	3,167
West Virginia	2	4.4	43	95.6	3,000	2,186
Total	902	45.3	1,055	53.0	2,318	2,192

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Black and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Black.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-24.—Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Very Low Income (504) Rural Housing Loans to Hispanic and White in Five Selected States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Hispanic		White 1/		Hispanic	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
Arizona	8	80.0	1	10.0	3,250	3,000
California	6	16.2	28	75.7	4,333	2,643
Colorado	8	38.1	13	61.9	3,125	2,462
New Mexico	100	79.4	22	17.5	2,040	2,273
Texas	26	9.2	78	27.5	2,231	1,667
Total	148	31.0	142	29.7	2,291	2,035

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: The difference between the percentages for Hispanic and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Hispanic.

Table 3-25--Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Very Low Income (504)
Rural Housing Loans to American Indian/Alaskan Native and White in 10
Selected States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	American Indian/ Alaskan Native		White 1/		American Indian/ Alaskan Native	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
Arizona	-	-	1	10.0	-	3,000
California	-	-	28	75.7	-	2,643
Minnesota	-	-	25	96.2	-	2,840
Montana	-	-	2	66.7	-	3,000
New Mexico	2	1.6	22	17.5	2,000	2,273
North Carolina	3	2.4	55	43.6	4,667	2,636
North Dakota	2	12.5	14	87.5	3,500	1,786
Oklahoma	1	1.8	40	70.2	2,000	1,900
South Dakota	1	7.1	13	92.9	1,000	1,769
Washington	-	-	3	75.0	-	3,000
Total	9	2.1	203	48.4	3,111	2,374

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for American Indian/Alaskan Native and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than American Indian/Alaskan Native.

(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-26.—Number, Percentage and Average Amounts of FmHA Very Low Income (504)
Rural Housing Loans to Asian/Pacific Islander and White in Six
Western States, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Loans Made				Average Loan Amount	
	Asian/Pacific Islander		White 1/		Asian/Pacific Islander	White 1/
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	Dol.	Dol.
California:	-	-	28	75.7	-	2,643
Colorado	-	-	13	61.9	-	2,463
Idaho	-	-	5	100.0	-	1,600
Oregon	-	-	36	94.7	-	2,389
Utah	-	-	4	100.0	-	4,000
Washington:	-	-	3	75.0	-	3,000
Total	-	-	89	81.7	-	2,528


1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: (1) The difference between the percentages for Asian/Pacific Islander and White and 100 percent is the percentage of loans made to minorities other than Asian/Pacific Islander.


(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

Figure 3-3


nHA RURAL HOUSING LOANS TO RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP MEMBERS IN SELECTED STATES AS A PERCENTAGE OF ALL RURAL HOUSING LOANS MADE IN 1977




Black
(Not of Hispanic Origin)
(16 States)



**American Indian/
Alaskan Native**
(10 States)



Hispanic
(5 States)



Asian/Pacific Islander
(6 States)

FmHA COUNTY COMMITTEEPERSONS

FmHA County Committees are composed of three individuals residing in the county, at least two of whom are farmers. The Committee determines the eligibility of individual applicants and the limits of credit to be extended. They also review the borrower's progress and perform other related duties. County Committees meet in the 1,814 local FmHA County offices which are generally located in County-seat towns.

FmHA County Supervisors submit three recommendations to the State Director for each Committee vacancy. The State Director selects the nominee whom he considers most suitable for appointment on each Committee. The terms of service for the three members will be arranged so one membership expires each year.

Committee persons should be of good standing and judgment; have demonstrated an interest in community affairs; be familiar and in general agreement with FmHA objectives; have an understanding of good farm and home practices, soil management, credit, marketing, and related factors applicable to the farm.

Minority representation is expected where minorities comprise 20 percent or more of the rural or farm operators' population, whichever is greater.

Table 3-27.-- Number of FmHA County Committeepersons by Racial/Ethnic Group and Sex,
Fiscal Year 1977

State	County Committeepersons											
	Total		Not of Hispanic Origin		Hispanic		American Indian/ Alaskan Native		Asian/Pacific Islander			
	Male		Female		Male		Male		Male			
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Alabama	162	18	112	15	50	3	-	2	-	-	-	-
Arizona	34	9	28	5	-	-	3	2	-	-	-	-
Arkansas	148	77	128	63	20	14	-	-	-	-	-	-
California	89	6	67	6	-	-	3	-	-	-	19	-
Colorado	79	11	71	11	-	-	6	-	-	-	2	-
Delaware	63	6	50	5	13	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	98	18	88	16	9	2	-	-	-	-	1	-
Georgia	222	30	159	27	63	3	-	-	-	-	-	-
Idaho	77	7	73	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	4	-
Illinois	122	28	122	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Indiana	96	20	87	19	8	1	1	-	-	-	-	-
Iowa	280	15	280	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kansas	163	47	156	47	5	-	1	-	-	1	-	-
Kentucky	127	47	107	47	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	86	32	50	31	36	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maine	70	14	70	14	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	95	23	92	23	2	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Minnesota	184	20	184	20	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	199	30	143	17	56	13	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	161	62	156	61	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Montana	87	9	84	8	-	-	-	-	3	1	-	-
Nebraska	177	23	176	23	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
New Mexico	59	14	33	13	-	-	24	-	2	1	-	-
New York	90	27	90	27	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	234	37	183	37	50	-	-	-	1	-	-	-
North Dakota	109	10	104	9	-	-	-	-	5	1	-	-

See note at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-27.-- Number of FmHA County Committeepersons by Racial/Ethnic Group and Sex,
Fiscal Year 1977 (continued)

State	County Committeepersons											
	Total		: Not of Hispanic Origin :		Hispanic		: American Indian / :		Asian/Pacific			
	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Ohio	68	22	63	21	5	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	186	15	167	14	9	-	-	-	10	1	-	-
Oregon	78	3	78	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	74	15	74	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Carolina	45	27	6	23	39	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	139	5	134	3	-	-	-	-	5	2	-	-
Tennessee	157	62	144	58	13	4	-	-	-	-	-	-
Texas	557	20	511	19	29	1	17	-	-	-	-	-
Utah	55	17	47	17	-	-	3	-	2	-	3	-
Virginia	162	24	127	16	35	8	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	71	15	71	15	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Washington	55	17	47	17	-	-	3	-	1	-	4	-
West Virginia	79	35	79	35	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wisconsin	111	56	111	56	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	41	10	40	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	-
Total	5,189	983	4,592	916	467	57	61	2	34	8	35	-

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

FEDERAL CROP INSURANCE CORPORATION

The Federal Crop Insurance Corporation (FCIC) provides all-risk insurance protection against crop loss from unavoidable causes such as insect and wild-life damage, plant diseases, fire, drought, flood, wind, and other weather conditions in 1,470 counties in 39 conterminous States.

FCIC programs are developed and managed from the Washington headquarters office, and field operations are directed from 14 center locations. At the county level, contracts are sold and serviced by private agents under contract to FCIC and by employees hired on a permanent, part-time, or when actually employed basis. When a farmer is contacted by the FCIC representative, he receives a full explanation of the crop insurance program.

Eligible participants in FCIC programs are farmers growing an insurable crop in a covered area. The insurance is voluntary (paid for by the farmer), and continues from year to year unless the farmer or the Corporation cancels. Annual premiums are utilized to cover indemnities paid to policyholders and to build a reasonable reserve.

Table 3-28.-- Number and Percentage of FCIC Contracts, 1977 Crop Year

State	FCIC Contracts						
	Total	Minority		White		Unknown 1/	
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	1,387	64	4.6	1,319	95.1	4	0.3
Arizona	117	16	13.7	94	80.3	7	6.0
Arkansas	305	16	5.2	287	94.1	2	.7
California	1,876	331	17.6	1,434	76.5	111	5.9
Colorado	2,164	22	1.0	2,128	98.4	14	.6
Delaware	197	3	1.5	194	98.5	-	-
Florida 2/	1,355	39	2.9	1,301	96.0	15	1.1
Georgia	1,724	80	4.6	1,643	95.3	1	.1
Idaho	1,499	25	1.7	1,437	95.8	37	2.5
Illinois	8,105	8	.1	7,997	98.7	100	1.2
Indiana	5,125	46	.9	4,855	94.7	224	4.4
Iowa	29,382	13	3/	29,290	99.7	79	.3
Kansas	13,673	49	.4	13,415	98.1	209	1.5
Kentucky	11,438	289	2.5	11,004	96.2	145	1.3
Louisiana	328	40	12.2	288	87.8	-	-
Maryland	207	-	-	207	100.0	-	-
Michigan	1,561	3	.2	1,496	95.8	62	4.0
Minnesota	23,457	34	.1	23,386	99.7	37	.2
Mississippi	847	99	11.7	747	88.2	1	.1
Missouri	4,607	59	1.3	4,499	97.6	49	1.1
Montana	4,691	29	.6	4,650	99.1	12	.3
Nebraska	12,713	22	.2	12,645	99.4	46	.4
New Mexico	361	66	18.3	285	78.9	10	2.8

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-28.--Number and Percentage of FCIC Contracts, 1977 Crop Year
(cont'd)

State	FCIC Contracts						
	Total	Minority		White		Unknown 1/	
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
New York	246	1	0.4	244	99.2	1	0.4
North Carolina	24,120	2,874	11.9	21,204	87.9	42	.2
North Dakota	23,375	53	.2	23,258	99.5	64	.3
Ohio	5,254	33	.6	5,068	96.5	153	2.9
Oklahoma	2,605	33	1.3	2,557	98.1	15	.6
Oregon	1,282	4	.3	1,256	98.0	22	1.7
Pennsylvania	810	2	.2	807	99.7	1	.1
South Carolina	2,921	536	18.3	2,380	81.5	5	.2
South Dakota	8,047	26	.3	8,011	99.6	10	.1
Tennessee	7,550	357	4.7	7,101	94.1	92	1.2
Texas 2/	4,827	206	4.3	4,391	90.9	230	4.8
Utah	158	3	1.9	147	93.0	8	5.1
Virginia	6,754	1,023	15.1	5,716	84.7	15	.2
Washington	2,187	18	.8	2,012	92.0	157	7.2
Wisconsin	6,169	6	.1	6,013	98.9	60	1.0
Wyoming	397	6	1.5	391	98.5	-	-
Total	223,821	6,534	2.9	215,247	96.2	2,040	.9

1/ Ethnic identity unknown because this group includes those who requested FCIC assistance by telephone or those who continued contract by mail.

2/ Excludes counties with citrus coverage.

3/ Less than 0.05 percent.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-29.--Number and Percentage of FCIC Contracts, Minority and White,
15 Southern States, 1977 Crop Year

State	FCIC Contracts						
	Total	Minority		White		Unknown 1/	
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	1,387	64	4.6	1,319	95.1	4	0.3
Arkansas	305	16	5.2	287	94.1	2	.7
Delaware	197	3	1.5	194	98.5	-	-
Florida 2/	1,355	39	2.9	1,301	96.0	15	1.1
Georgia	1,724	80	4.6	1,643	95.3	1	.1
Kentucky	11,438	289	2.5	11,004	96.2	145	1.3
Louisiana	328	40	12.2	288	87.8	-	-
Maryland	207	-	-	207	100.0	-	-
Mississippi	847	99	11.7	747	88.2	1	.1
North Carolina	24,120	2,874	11.9	21,204	87.9	42	.2
Oklahoma	2,605	33	1.3	2,557	98.1	15	.6
South Carolina	2,921	536	18.3	2,380	81.5	5	.2
Tennessee	7,550	357	4.7	7,101	94.1	92	1.2
Texas 2/	4,827	206	4.3	4,391	90.9	230	4.8
Virginia	6,754	1,023	15.1	5,716	84.7	15	.2
Total	66,565	5,659	8.5	60,339	90.6	567	.9

1/ Ethnic identity unknown because this group includes those who requested FCIC assistance by telephone or those who continued contract by mail.

2/ Excludes counties with citrus coverage.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-30.--Percentage of Eligibles with FCIC Contracts, Minority and White, 15 Southern States, 1977 Crop Year

State	Minority			White		
	Eligible 1/	Contracts		Eligible 2/	Contracts	
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	2,350	64	2.7	25,054	1,319	5.3
Arkansas	4,420	16	.4	30,356	287	.9
Delaware	108	3	2.8	3,987	194	4.9
Florida 3/	652	39	5.9	2,419	1,301	53.8
Georgia	2,078	80	3.9	19,208	1,643	8.6
Kentucky	1,421	289	20.3	71,525	11,004	15.4
Louisiana	3,185	40	1.3	16,381	288	1.8
Maryland	56	-	-	4,118	207	5.0
Mississippi	6,383	99	1.6	20,504	747	3.6
North Carolina	16,462	2,874	17.5	69,277	21,204	30.6
Oklahoma	342	33	10.2	35,960	2,557	7.1
South Carolina	9,538	536	5.6	21,196	2,380	11.2
Tennessee	4,280	357	8.3	71,890	7,101	9.9
Texas 3/	1,069	206	19.3	62,126	4,391	7.1
Virginia	3,995	1,023	25.6	18,372	5,716	31.1
Total	56,321	5,659	10.1	472,373	60,339	12.8

1/ The eligible minority group is derived from special census tabulation for the number of farms growing the major insured crop.

2/ The eligible White group is derived by subtracting the number of farms growing the major insured crop that are operated by minorities from the total number of farms growing the major insured crop as reported in the 1969 Census of Agriculture.

3/ Excludes counties with citrus coverage.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-31.--Number and Percentage of FCIC Contacts, Minority and White, 15 Southern States, 1977 Crop Year

State	FCIC Contacts				
	Total	Minority		White	
		No.	No.	Pct.	No.
Alabama	265	9	3.4	256	96.6
Arkansas	104	10	9.6	94	90.4
Delaware	69	-	-	69	100.0
Florida 1/	239	8	3.4	231	96.6
Georgia	320	19	5.9	301	94.1
Kentucky	1,074	30	2.8	1,044	97.2
Louisiana	383	35	9.1	348	90.9
Maryland	12	-	-	12	10.0
Mississippi	356	32	8.9	324	91.1
North Carolina:	2,552	403	15.8	2,149	84.2
Oklahoma	181	-	-	181	100.0
South Carolina:	394	76	19.3	318	80.7
Tennessee	832	32	3.8	800	96.2
Texas 1/	714	56	7.8	658	92.2
Virginia	620	135	21.8	485	78.2
Total	8,115	845	10.4	7,270	89.6

1/ Excludes counties with citrus coverage.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-32.--Number and Percentage of Eligibles Contacted, Minority and White, 15 Southern States, 1977 Crop Year

State	Minority			White		
	Eligible <u>1/</u>	Contacts		Eligible <u>2/</u>	Contacts	
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	2,350	9	0.4	25,054	256	1.0
Arkansas	4,420	10	.2	30,356	94	.3
Delaware	108	-	-	3,987	69	1.7
Florida <u>3/</u>	652	8	1.2	2,419	231	9.6
Georgia	2,078	19	.9	19,208	301	1.6
Kentucky	1,421	30	2.1	71,525	1,044	1.5
Louisiana	3,185	35	1.1	16,381	348	2.1
Maryland	56	-	-	4,118	12	.3
Mississippi	6,383	32	.5	20,504	324	1.6
North Carolina	16,462	403	2.5	69,277	2,149	3.1
Oklahoma	324	-	-	35,960	181	.5
South Carolina	9,538	76	.8	21,196	318	1.5
Tennessee	4,280	32	.8	71,890	800	1.1
Texas <u>3/</u>	1,069	56	5.2	62,126	658	1.1
Virginia	3,995	135	3.4	18,372	485	2.6
Total	56,321	845	1.5	472,373	7,270	1.5

1/ The eligible minority group is derived from special census tabulation for the number of farms growing the major insured crop.

2/ The eligible White group is derived by subtracting the number of farms growing major insured crop that are operated by minorities from the total number of farms growing the major insured crop as reported in the 1969 Census of Agriculture.


3/ Excludes counties with citrus coverage.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Figure 3-4

PERCENTAGE OF ELIGIBLE FARMS CONTACTED FOR FCIC INSURANCE, 1977 CROP YEAR

(15 SOUTHERN STATES)



FOOD AND NUTRITION SERVICE

The Food and Nutrition Service (FNS) administers the food assistance programs. Major food assistance activities include the Food Stamp and Food Distribution Programs, the National School Lunch Program, and the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children. FNS has employees at seven regional offices, sixteen district offices and ninety-six field locations.

FAMILY FOOD ASSISTANCE PROGRAMS

The Food Stamp Program is designed to improve the nutritional status of participating households. Under current Program rules, eligible households are required to pay a certain portion of their income in exchange for an amount of food stamps which will allow them to purchase a nutritionally adequate diet. The difference between what the household pays and the coupons the household receives is the "bonus amount". Eligible households with little or no income may receive their entire coupon allotment free of charge. The Food Distribution Program provides specific food commodities to eligible participants. Both programs assist those persons with limited food purchasing power, including non-profit charitable institutions and summer camps for children. An approximated indication of eligibles can be obtained from census data on persons with income below the poverty level. Data are not available on American Indians or Orientals with income below the poverty level. There are 3,050 Family Food Assistance project areas.

Highlights of the Family Food Assistance Programs, October 1976

Region <u>2/</u>	Participants <u>1/</u>						
	Total	Not of Hispanic:		Hispanic:	American	Asian <u>4/</u>	Other
		Origin					
		White	Black		Indian <u>3/</u>		
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England <u>5/</u>	945,499	81.2	11.9	6.2	0.1	0.2	0.3
Mid-Atlantic	3,686,682	40.8	40.1	17.3	.1	.3	1.5
Southeast	3,337,663	41.2	54.9	3.2	.4	.2	.1
Midwest <u>6/</u>	2,792,927	49.3	46.3	3.1	.3	.2	.7
Southwest	1,790,102	28.3	40.3	28.6	1.6	.5	.7
Mountain Plains:	722,578	60.9	22.4	9.4	6.0	.5	.6
Western	1,954,234	50.9	20.6	21.3	3.6	2.2	1.3
U.S. Total	15,229,685	45.7	39.4	12.4	1.1	.5	.8

1/ Data includes Food Stamp Program and Food Distribution Program.

2/ The number of Regions were increased to seven with the deletion of the West Central Region and the addition of the Southwest and Mountain Plains Regions

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

5/ Data not reported from New Hampshire and Vermont.

6/ Data not reported from Minnesota.

Table 3-33.-- Number and Percentage of Black Participants in FNS Family Food Assistance Programs, Selected States, October, 1976

State 1/	Black (Not of Hispanic Origin)			
	Persons with Income		Participants in FNS	
	Less than Poverty Level 2/		Food Program	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Alabama	467,196	54.6	210,188	65.4
Arkansas	206,779	39.5	98,903	46.9
Georgia	515,990	55.8	321,205	67.7
Louisiana	565,552	60.6	318,506	72.8
Maryland	166,772	43.1	164,461	64.8
Mississippi	520,746	67.9	255,276	76.6
North Carolina	483,911	48.6	249,239	59.1
South Carolina	382,301	64.2	216,567	74.8
Tennessee	262,362	31.4	178,875	46.8
Virginia	292,038	42.3	126,904	54.4
Total	3,863,617	37.7	2,140,124	63.7

1/ Includes States with Black populations of 16 percent or more of total population in 1970.

2/ U.S. Census of Population: 1970, General Social and Economic Characteristics, Table 38.

Table 3-34.-- Number and Percentage of Hispanic Participants in FNS Family Food Assistance Programs, Selected States, October, 1976

State 1/	Hispanic			
	Persons with Income		Participants in FNS	
	Less than Poverty Level 2/		Food Programs	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Arizona	77,075	29.1	59,951	40.7
California	498,677	23.2	339,173	24.9
Colorado	68,548	26.0	56,152	39.4
Connecticut	13,959	6.5	27,262	15.0
Florida	68,920	6.3	106,469	14.7
Idaho	5,735	6.3	3,505	12.0
Illinois	52,298	4.7	44,612	4.8
Kansas	6,705	2.5	1,730	2.8
Nevada	2,608	5.9	1,125	5.4
New Mexico	131,435	57.9	75,350	64.0
New York	293,608	14.8	511,066	32.8
Texas	731,368	35.7	431,983	50.0
Utah	7,764	6.6	4,573	12.2
Washington	14,254	4.2	7,881	3.7
Wyoming	4,228	11.2	1,515	18.1
Total	1,977,182	19.0	1,197,166	18.7

1/ Includes States with Hispanic populations of 2.0 percent or more of total population in 1970.

2/ U.S. Census of Population: 1970, General Social and Economic Characteristics, table 58.

Table 3-35.--Number and Percentage of American Indian/Alaskan Native Participants in FNS Family Food Assistance Program, Selected States, October 1976

State 1/	American Indian/Alaskan Native			
	Total American Indian/Alaskan		Participants in FNS	
	Native Population 2/		Food Programs	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Alaska	16,276	5.4	4,804	58.8
Arizona	95,812	5.4	25,254	17.1
California	91,018	.5	7,356	.5
Idaho	6,687	.9	883	3.0
Minnesota	23,128	.6	3/	4/
Montana	27,130	3.9	11,090	33.4
Nevada	7,933	1.6	1,548	7.5
New Mexico	72,788	7.2	16,396	13.9
North Carolina	44,406	.9	10,910	2.6
North Dakota	14,369	2.3	7,473	36.6
Oklahoma	98,468	3.8	11,118	6.9
Oregon	13,510	.6	1,332	.9
South Dakota	32,365	4.9	20,401	50.7
Wyoming	4,980	1.5	365	4.4
Total	548,870	1.4	118,930 5/	4.7

1/ Includes States with American Indian/Alaskan Native populations of 0.5 percent or more of total population in 1970.

2/ Data from Census of Population, 1970.

3/ Data not reported.

4/ Not Applicable.

5/ Minnesota not included.

Table 3-36.--Number and Percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander Participants in FNS Family Assistance Programs, Selected States, October 1976

State 1/	Asian/Pacific Islander			
	Total Asian/Pacific		Participants in FNS	
	Islander Population 2/		Food Programs	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
California	522,270	2.6	37,434	2.8
Colorado	10,388	.5	1,133	.8
Nevada	2,859	.6	170	.8
New York	116,008	.6	3,147	.2
Oregon	13,290	.6	1,587	1.1
Utah	6,386	.6	343	.9
Washington	40,998	1.2	3,587	1.7
Total	712,199	1.5	47,401	1.4

1/ Includes States with Asian/Pacific Islander populations of 0.5 percent or more of total population in 1970.

2/ Data from Census of Population, 1970.

Table 3-37.-- Number of Participants in the Family Food Assistance Programs by States Submitting Acceptable Reports, October, 1976

Region/State	Type of Program			Racial Category					
	Food Stamp	Food Distribution	Grand Total	Not of Hispanic Origin:			Hispanic:		
	No.	No.	No.	White	Black	Hispanic	Indian	Asian	Other
New England									
Connecticut	181,414	-	181,414	107,896	44,397	27,262	-	-	1,859
Maine	100,885	-	100,885	99,795	221	128	606	87	48
Massachusetts	580,982	-	580,982	501,068	50,309	26,373	582	1,598	1,052
New Hampshire	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/
Rhode Island	82,218	-	82,218	59,074	17,987	5,122	-	35	-
Vermont	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/
Total	945,499	-	945,499	767,833	112,914	58,885	1,188	1,720	2,959
Mid-Atlantic									
Delaware	25,871	-	25,871	9,091	14,443	964	2	80	1,291
Maryland	253,913	-	253,913	78,595	164,461	743	526	1,043	8,545
New Jersey	511,822	-	511,822	208,728	203,328	88,217	711	838	10,000
New York	1,558,174	-	1,558,174	476,924	552,104	511,066	950	3,147	13,983
Pennsylvania	835,224	-	835,224	465,570	313,273	35,915	307	2,422	17,737
Virginia	233,195	-	233,195	102,957	126,904	487	142	1,706	999
Washington, DC	99,326	-	99,326	2,623	95,441	128	3	33	1,098
West Virginia	169,157	-	169,157	158,025	8,696	-	108	31	2,297
Total	3,686,682	-	3,686,682	1,502,513	1,478,650	637,520	2,749	9,300	55,950

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-37.-- Number of Participants in the Family Food Assistance Programs by States Submitting Acceptable Reports, October, 1976 (continued)

Region/State	Type of Program		Racial Category				
	Food Stamp	Food Distribution	Not of Hispanic Origin			All	
	No.	No.	White	Black	Hispanic	Indian 1/	Asian 2/
			No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Southeast							
Alabama	321,556	-	110,414	210,188	116	173	517
Florida	721,979	-	271,723	340,109	106,469	524	2,629
Georgia	474,237	-	151,985	321,205	400	33	495
Kentucky	393,412	-	331,037	61,483	205	31	574
Mississippi	333,408	-	76,771	255,276	214	776	140
North Carolina	421,241	327	159,474	249,239	743	10,910	416
South Carolina	289,493	-	72,453	216,567	92	162	175
Tennessee	382,010	-	202,441	178,875	155	42	381
Total	3,337,336	327	1,376,298	1,832,942	108,394	12,651	5,327
Midwest							
Illinois	920,092	-	254,063	607,400	44,612	1,715	2,087
Indiana	193,936	-	117,907	70,234	4,228	216	506
Michigan	677,473	-	356,306	293,279	20,363	2,960	1,769
Minnesota	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/	3/
Ohio	832,613	-	531,544	283,094	14,816	378	1,040
Wisconsin	168,813	-	118,346	39,645	3,881	2,962	644
Total	2,792,927	-	1,378,166	1,293,652	87,902	8,231	6,046
Southwest							
Arkansas	210,821	-	110,886	98,903	543	56	381
Louisiana	437,446	-	113,529	318,506	1,515	302	3,594
New Mexico	117,781	-	21,409	4,187	75,350	16,396	425
Oklahoma	160,163	-	105,460	40,884	1,848	11,118	853
Texas	863,891	-	155,176	259,516	431,983	773	3,698
Total	1,790,102	-	506,460	721,996	511,239	28,645	8,951
Total	5,127,443	327	2,882,764	3,126,938	269,633	41,296	14,278

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-37.-- Number of Participants in the Family Food Assistance Programs by States Submitting Acceptable Reports, October, 1976 (continued)

Region/State	Type of Program			Racial Category					
	Food Stamp	Food Distribution	Grand Total	:Not of Hispanic Origin:			:American :		
				White	Black	Hispanic	Indian 1/	Asian 2/	Other
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Mountain Plains:									
Colorado	142,379	-	142,379	65,803	18,379	56,152	912	1,133	-
Iowa	112,357	-	112,357	97,863	8,464	895	415	747	3,973
Kansas	61,304	-	61,304	41,537	16,970	1,730	386	292	389
Missouri	227,772	-	227,772	116,964	109,087	1,032	66	538	85
Montana	26,851	6,368	33,219	21,275	94	580	11,090	165	15
Nebraska	39,074	-	39,074	28,246	8,092	1,185	929	408	214
North Dakota	13,997	6,436	20,433	12,443	33	353	7,473	127	4
South Dakota	25,758	14,501	40,259	19,496	78	106	20,401	178	-
Utah	37,413	-	37,413	30,491	673	4,573	1,333	343	-
Wyoming	8,368	-	8,368	6,153	301	1,515	365	31	3
Total	695,273	27,305	722,578	440,271	162,171	68,121	43,370	3,962	4,683
Western									
Alaska	8,175	-	8,175	2,598	303	53	4,804	9	408
Arizona	147,297	-	147,297	53,225	8,241	59,951	25,254	510	116
California	1,359,505	611	1,360,116	591,804	362,214	339,173	7,356	37,434	22,135
Idaho	28,749	520	29,269	23,724	127	3,505	883	17	1,013
Nevada	19,488	1,226	20,714	11,608	6,259	1,125	1,548	170	4
Oregon	148,500	-	148,500	131,975	8,821	4,453	1,332	1,587	332
Washington	206,100	9,634	215,734	180,113	17,211	7,881	5,617	3,587	1,325
Papago Tribe	-	2,370	2,370	-	-	-	2,370	-	-
Navajo Nation	-	22,059	22,059	-	-	-	22,059	-	-
Total	1,917,814	36,420	1,954,234	995,047	403,176	416,141	71,223	43,314	25,333
U.S. Total	15,165,633	64,052	15,229,685	6,966,588	6,005,501	1,888,202	168,057	78,620	122,717

1/ Includes Alaskan Native.

2/ Includes Pacific Islander.

3/ Data not reported.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

NATIONAL SCHOOL LUNCH PROGRAM

FNS administers several programs designed to improve the nutrition of children, and particularly those who come from low-income families. Its main activity is the National School Lunch Program (NSLP). This program provides financial assistance to public and nonprofit private schools of high school grade and under, in operating nonprofit school lunch programs.

NSLP regulations stipulate that all schools participating in the lunch program serve free or reduced price meals to all children who are determined by local school authorities to be needy. A needy child is any school-age member of a family which has an annual income not exceeding the income poverty guidelines prescribed by USDA.

The Food and Nutrition Service requested on August 4, 1975 that the existing mechanism for obtaining ethnic participation data for school lunch and breakfast programs be discontinued pending development of an effective system which would provide timely, accurate and useful data. The Office of Equal Opportunity granted the request with the condition that an effective system be developed and implemented by June 30, 1976. FNS reached an agreement to join in a DHEW survey of 60,000 public schools in October 1978. Consequently, FNS will have data available for these schools beginning in May 1979.

SPECIAL SUPPLEMENTAL FOOD PROGRAM FOR WOMEN, INFANTS AND CHILDREN (WIC)

Starting as a pilot program in January 1974, the Special Supplemental Food Program for Women, Infants and Children (WIC) was extended through September 1978 by Public Law 94-105 passed in 1976. The program provides cash grants to State health departments and comparable agencies to provide supplemental foods to low income pregnant and breastfeeding women, infants and children up to five years of age through participating health clinics.

Highlights of the WIC Program, June 1977

Program/Region	Total	Ethnic Group					
		Not of Hispanic Origin:			American Indian 1/	Asian/Pacific Islander	All Other
		White	Black	Hispanic			
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Women							
New England	13,288	63.0	19.2	14.6	0.5	0.6	2.1
Mid-Atlantic	36,648	24.5	46.2	27.5	.3	.7	.8
Southeast	44,623	43.8	53.5	1.4	1.0	.2	.1
Midwest	28,480	46.1	43.9	6.3	2.9	.4	.4
Southwest	22,845	18.2	39.5	36.4	5.4	.4	.1
Mountain Plains	16,034	59.4	18.6	7.4	13.8	.4	.4
Western	27,736	41.5	11.9	32.8	10.9	1.2	1.7
Total	189,654	39.7	37.5	17.4	4.2	.5	.7
Infants							
New England	13,284	59.7	20.4	16.5	.5	.8	2.1
Mid-Atlantic	50,412	23.4	47.8	23.9	.3	1.5	3.1
Southeast	60,665	40.9	56.8	1.2	.7	.2	.2
Midwest	37,022	43.6	46.5	5.6	3.3	.4	.6
Southwest	33,390	16.2	42.2	38.0	3.1	.4	.1
Mountain Plains	18,188	54.6	21.8	8.9	12.8	.5	1.4
Western	28,593	33.0	13.8	34.5	14.9	1.6	2.2
Total	241,554	35.4	41.6	17.1	3.9	.7	1.3
Children							
New England	40,197	63.2	18.8	15.5	.5	.3	1.7
Mid-Atlantic	105,215	27.5	38.5	30.9	.5	1.5	1.1
Southeast	109,590	41.3	55.6	1.7	.9	.2	.3
Midwest	80,131	40.9	47.2	6.8	3.8	.4	.9
Southwest	68,896	14.5	42.2	39.5	3.3	.4	.1
Mountain Plains	38,146	55.6	18.1	8.7	16.1	.6	.9
Western	77,643	28.6	14.9	36.2	16.3	1.8	2.2
Total	519,818	35.7	37.4	20.2	5.0	.8	.9
Grand Total	951,026	36.4	38.5	18.8	4.5	.7	1.0

1/ Includes Alaskan Native.

- NOTE: 1) Data from Mid-Atlantic, Southeast, Southwest and Mountain Plains Regions includes participation reported on Indian Reservations under a separate agreement with FNS.
- 2) Data from Puerto Rico and the Virgin Islands included in the Mid-Atlantic Region totals.

Table 3-38.-- Ethnic Composition of Women in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC)
by State, June 1977

State	Women by Ethnic Group						
	:Not of Hispanic Origin:			: Asian/:			All
	Total:	White	Black	Hispanic:	American:	Pacific:	
	: :	: :	: :	: :	:Indian I/:	Islander:	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Alabama	4,543	1,292	3,231	5	-	15	-
Alaska	147	91	6	3	23	2	22
Arizona	4,449	1,172	369	1,348	1,550	7	3
Arkansas	2,070	971	1,077	5	3	14	-
California	12,826	3,043	2,047	6,892	536	173	135
Colorado 2/	1,535	893	38	577	10	9	8
Connecticut	3,376	1,091	1,238	1,020	5	1	21
Delaware	250	91	130	21	-	-	8
Florida 2/	8,696	2,924	5,090	606	24	16	36
Georgia	8,456	3,213	5,222	9	1	10	1
Hawaii	313	27	2	18	1	20	245
Idaho	1,147	909	7	109	114	4	4
Illinois	7,691	1,950	5,071	634	-	13	23
Indiana	1,395	821	545	19	2	5	3
Iowa	1,940	1,715	127	51	29	11	7
Kansas	1,383	687	509	114	45	4	24
Kentucky	4,468	3,483	970	6	-	9	-
Louisiana	5,298	795	4,503	-	-	-	-
Maine	1,436	1,404	1	-	27	3	1
Maryland	4,886	1,196	3,551	61	12	28	38
Massachusetts	4,609	2,349	1,161	846	19	52	182
Michigan	6,936	3,904	2,272	558	124	26	52
Minnesota	2,139	1,419	353	67	271	21	8
Mississippi 2/	3,564	367	3,099	-	98	-	-
Missouri	5,663	3,341	2,280	14	4	14	10
Montana	1,792	992	4	54	719	7	16
Nebraska	285	108	1	92	84	-	-
Nevada	1,188	745	312	88	32	9	2
New Hampshire	76	76	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	3,386	600	2,031	719	5	16	15
New Mexico 2/	1,378	334	22	668	332	12	10
New York 2/	13,054	1,776	5,943	5,057	103	98	77
North Carolina 2/	6,922	3,500	3,089	13	307	13	-
North Dakota	608	187	1	2	417	-	1
Ohio	8,138	3,936	3,947	207	5	25	18
Oklahoma 2/	2,116	802	339	72	900	1	2
Oregon	3,605	3,048	162	249	75	53	18
Pennsylvania	8,497	3,436	4,426	397	2	87	149
Rhode Island	924	665	147	63	3	10	36
South Carolina	3,147	1,003	2,135	1	1	4	3
South Dakota 2/	665	156	-	-	508	1	-
Tennessee	4,827	3,751	1,040	11	-	9	16
Texas	11,983	1,247	3,088	7,582	8	53	5
Utah	1,847	1,446	26	274	86	12	3

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-38.-- Ethnic Composition of Women in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC)
by State, June 1977 (continued)

State	Women by Ethnic Group						
	:Not of Hispanic Origin:				:Asian/		All
	Total:	White	Black	Hispanic	American Indian 1/	Pacific Islander:	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Vermont	2,867	2,788	4	13	16	13	33
Virginia	886	385	474	14	-	10	3
Washington	4,061	2,480	405	385	676	74	41
West Virginia	1,560	1,480	75	1	-	1	3
Wisconsin	2,181	1,106	319	304	412	29	11
Wyoming 2/	316	-	-	-	316	-	-
Total	185,525	75,195	70,889	29,249	7,905	994	1,293

1/ Includes Alaskan Native.

2/ Data includes participation reported on Indian Reservations under a separate agreement with FNS.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-39.-- Ethnic Composition of Infants in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC) by State, June 1977

State	Infants by Ethnic Group						
	:Not of Hispanic Origin:						All Other
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	American Indian 1/	Asian/Pacific Islander	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Alabama	6,391	1,425	4,932	11	-	23	-
Alaska	102	46	4	2	15	-	35
Arizona	5,445	920	387	1,612	2,517	5	4
Arkansas	4,144	1,616	2,499	4	5	20	-
California	12,838	2,126	2,238	7,078	980	215	201
Colorado 2/	2,054	1,053	76	864	22	26	13
Connecticut	3,906	1,068	1,575	1,215	6	6	36
Delaware	697	182	459	41	-	2	13
Florida 2/	8,190	1,726	5,662	674	40	21	67
Georgia	9,813	3,366	6,412	12	3	16	4
Hawaii	363	27	2	2	-	60	272
Idaho	1,223	875	10	191	139	4	4
Illinois	9,873	2,526	6,546	754	-	17	30
Indiana	1,900	885	974	25	1	5	10
Iowa	2,290	1,928	233	61	52	8	8
Kansas	1,751	603	732	155	70	10	181
Kentucky	7,202	5,344	1,840	6	-	11	1
Louisiana	7,780	1,167	6,613	-	-	-	-
Maine	1,368	1,342	1	-	22	3	-
Maryland	7,151	1,858	5,103	83	11	47	49
Massachusetts	4,358	2,328	944	849	17	62	158
Michigan	10,128	5,575	3,496	722	167	28	140
Minnesota	2,406	1,336	435	78	509	29	19
Mississippi 2/	5,276	395	4,767	-	113	-	1
Missouri	6,331	3,363	2,869	47	4	26	22
Montana	1,903	1,006	5	60	807	5	20
Nebraska	309	146	2	49	112	-	-
Nevada	1,151	664	348	84	47	5	3
New Hampshire	95	95	-	-	-	-	-
New Jersey	5,016	677	2,952	1,281	6	17	83
New Mexico 2/	1,216	167	28	549	461	7	4
New York 2/	21,533	3,022	9,738	6,853	145	536	1,239
North Carolina 2/	8,793	4,047	4,449	20	270	7	-
North Dakota	625	229	1	3	391	1	-
Ohio	10,350	4,718	5,336	243	6	31	16
Oklahoma 2/	2,108	980	455	88	581	-	4
Oregon	2,754	2,123	237	253	80	39	22
Pennsylvania	10,184	4,314	5,053	530	4	96	187
Rhode Island	928	520	186	120	6	30	66
South Carolina	6,330	1,735	4,572	1	6	4	12
South Dakota 2/	827	169	4	1	651	2	-
Tennessee	8,670	6,773	1,851	10	4	9	23
Texas	18,142	1,469	4,488	12,044	9	112	20
Utah	1,987	1,430	46	374	112	18	7

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-39.-- Ethnic Composition of Infants in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC) by State, June 1977 (continued)

State	Infants by Ethnic Group						
	Not of Hispanic Origin:				American Indian 1/	Asian/Pacific Islander:	All Other
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic			
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Vermont	2,629	2,574	10	12	9	4	20
Virginia	713	211	469	16	-	16	1
Washington	4,717	2,644	721	643	481	150	78
West Virginia	1,627	1,522	100	-	-	1	4
Wisconsin	2,365	1,095	419	265	542	27	17
Wyoming 2/	111	-	-	-	111	-	-
Total	238,063	85,410	100,279	37,985	9,534	1,761	3,094

1/ Includes Alaskan Native.

2/ Data includes participation reported on Indian Reservations under a separate agreement with FNS.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-40.-- Ethnic Composition of Children in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC) by State, June 1977

State	Children by Ethnic Group						
	:Not of Hispanic Origin:				:American Indian 1/	:Asian/ Pacific Islander:	:All Other
	: Total	: White	: Black	: Hispanic			
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Alabama	:11,069	3,020	8,001	18	-	28	2
Alaska	: 253	77	12	4	39	3	118
Arizona	:15,614	1,873	1,127	4,900	7,679	20	15
Arkansas	: 7,603	2,900	4,638	16	8	41	-
California	:38,523	6,991	7,792	20,141	2,615	560	424
Colorado 2/	: 3,212	1,483	98	1,510	44	37	40
Connecticut	:13,820	3,194	5,759	4,605	14	23	225
Delaware	: 1,062	239	734	66	-	3	20
Florida 2/	:11,211	1,517	7,511	1,798	120	42	223
Georgia	:13,705	5,480	8,154	15	3	45	8
Hawaii	: 1,488	96	13	40	-	360	979
Idaho	: 3,277	2,249	20	462	527	10	9
Illinois	:20,640	5,276	13,636	1,630	-	37	61
Indiana	: 2,153	915	1,163	46	2	13	14
Iowa	: 6,437	5,391	671	196	109	43	27
Kansas	: 4,012	1,625	1,618	348	221	30	170
Kentucky	:12,002	9,672	2,302	1	1	24	2
Louisiana	:21,617	3,243	18,374	-	-	-	-
Maine	: 4,042	3,954	6	-	77	5	-
Maryland	: 8,500	2,188	5,979	169	11	48	105
Massachusetts	: 9,850	6,365	1,526	1,538	34	114	273
Michigan	:21,426	10,301	8,378	1,909	358	41	439
Minnesota	: 5,459	3,072	941	141	1,186	77	42
Mississippi 2/	:11,448	721	10,350	-	374	1	2
Missouri	:10,222	5,764	4,343	60	5	38	12
Montana	: 4,681	2,276	15	121	2,211	19	39
Nebraska	: 694	338	6	50	300	-	-
Nevada	: 2,486	1,323	771	250	98	33	11
New Hampshire	: 407	406	-	-	-	1	-
New Jersey	:14,230	2,315	7,349	4,287	32	52	195
New Mexico 2/	: 2,860	261	50	1,095	1,439	15	-
New York 2/	:31,126	7,800	13,171	8,148	469	1,239	299
North Carolina 2/	:18,373	8,332	9,513	48	453	27	-
North Dakota	: 1,190	479	8	4	688	1	10
Ohio	:24,158	10,714	12,417	850	11	84	82
Oklahoma 2/	: 3,504	1,685	778	227	803	5	6
Oregon	: 5,570	3,826	534	784	273	111	42
Pennsylvania	:25,949	11,896	12,101	1,195	12	241	504
Rhode Island	: 1,047	600	233	73	2	27	112
South Carolina	:16,539	4,353	12,126	4	13	31	12
South Dakota 2/	: 2,550	389	14	2	2,140	5	-
Tennessee	:15,243	12,157	2,999	19	8	32	28
Texas	:33,312	1,915	5,260	25,865	10	233	29
Utah	: 5,068	3,466	141	1,018	355	59	29

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-40.-- Ethnic Composition of Children in Special Supplemental Food Program (WIC) by State, June 1977 (continued)

State	Children by Ethnic Group						
	:Not of Hispanic Origin:				American	Asian/	All
	Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Indian 1/	Pacific Islander:	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Vermont	11,031	10,861	15	26	54	22	53
Virginia	599	214	354	8	-	20	3
Washington	10,432	5,738	1,258	1,541	1,431	324	140
West Virginia:	4,650	4,243	392	3	-	4	8
Wisconsin	6,295	2,516	1,277	884	1,508	66	44
Wyoming 2/	80	-	-	-	80	-	-
Total	500,719	185,709	193,928	86,115	25,817	4,294	4,856

1/ Includes Alaskan Native.

2/ Data includes participation reported on Indian Reservations under a separate agreement with FNS.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

FOREST SERVICE

Ethnic participation is reported by the Forest Service (FS) in four programs (1) free use timber in which individuals are allowed to obtain wood for their own use from specified forest areas, (2) cooperative forest management in which technical assistance is given to owners of woodlots, (3) recreation in which the public is allowed to participate at FS developed sites, and (4) range management in which grazing permits are issued to ranchers and farmers to graze cattle, horses, and sheep on the national grasslands.

Eligible population for free use timber would be all persons who have transportation facilities and want the wood. For cooperative forest management, eligible populations are derived from special tabulations of the Census of Agriculture. For recreation, no valid measure of eligible populations can be defined, although any individual may use the facilities. For range management grazing, the eligible populations are those individual property owners, or associations, who have livestock to graze and reside near national grasslands.

Targets for minority participation in the free use timber program, where permits were issued, were set to increase participation to 11,000 during 1977. No targets were set for free use areas where no permits were required. Targets for minority participation in the recreation program were set to increase participation to 7,010 thousand visitor days during 1977. Targets for the cooperative forest management program were set to increase minority participation assists to 4,374 during Fiscal Year 1977. Targets were set for minority participation in the range management grazing program at 3,300 in 1977.

FREE USE TIMBER PROGRAM

The Free Use Timber Program currently operates as a method of protection and salvage of timber susceptible to, or damaged by fire, insects and disease. When general economic conditions favor the cutting of free timber, permits for material may be obtained from authorized Forest Officials for any one fiscal year. In some designated free-use areas, specified timber previously marked for cutting may be cut without a permit.

Table 3-41.--Total Users of Free Use Timber, Fiscal Years 1976 and 1977

Ethnic Group	Permits Issued				No Permits Required 1/			
	1976	1977	1976	1977	1976	1977	1976	1977
	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.
White 2/	269,763	265,481	93	93	128,118	123,825	79	82
Black 2/	1,956	2,753	1	1	2,392	1,569	1	1
American Indian 3/	4,680	3,988	2	1	4,701	4,796	3	3
Hispanic	11,680	10,822	4	4	25,278	19,801	16	13
Asian 4/	557	480	5/	5/	756	834	5/	1
All Other	615	679	5/	5/	411	279	5/	5/
Total	289,251	284,203	100	100	161,656	151,104	100	100

1/ Estimated number of participants.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

5/ Less than 0.5 percent.

Table 3-42.--Timber Taken on the National Forests by Free Use Permit and from Designated Free Use Areas, by FS Region, and Number of Users by Ethnic Group, Fiscal Year 1977

FS Regions 1/	Timber Taken		Users by Ethnic Group										
	Volume	Value	Not of Hispanic Origin:			Hispanic			Asian 3/			Other	Total
	MBF	Dollars	White	Black	Indian 2/	Hispanic	Asian	Other					
	No.		No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	
Northern	99,013	70,239	26,747	45	313	96	37	21			27,259		
Rocky Mountain	45,735	64,871	53,305	760	745	5,969	313	138			61,230		
Southwestern	124,997	401,699	44,767	559	4,373	21,287	173	173			71,332		
Intermountain	156,657	344,847	74,905	73	669	887	85	143			76,762		
California	164,517	717,953	51,051	583	1,620	1,673	478	126			55,531		
Pacific Northwest	244,601	493,223	78,663	299	669	559	202	335			80,727		
Southern	114,049	174,080	38,900	1,901	103	141	14	3			41,062		
Eastern	77,566	124,044	20,536	101	126	9	6	17			20,795		
Alaska	834	9,326	432	1	166	2	6	2			609		
Total	1,027,969	2,400,282	389,306	4,322	8,784	30,623	1,314	958			435,307		
1/ Region 1 - Northern - Idaho (Northern), Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota (Northwestern), Washington (Northeastern), Wyoming (Northwestern).													
Region 2 - Rocky Mountain - Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota (except Northwestern), Wyoming (except Western).													
Region 3 - Southwestern - Arizona, New Mexico.													
Region 4 - Intermountain - Idaho (Southern), Nevada, Utah, Wyoming (Western).													
Region 5 - California - California, Hawaii.													
Region 6 - Pacific Northwest - Oregon, Washington (except Northeastern).													
Region 8 - Southern - Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia.													
Region 9 - Eastern - Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin.													
Region 10 - Alaska - Alaska.													
2/ Includes Alaskan Native.													
3/ Includes Pacific Islander.													

NOTE: (1) There is no Region 7.
(2) A dash "-" signifies zero.

GRAZING PROGRAM

The Forest Service's Division of Range Management maintains and manages the national grasslands of the United States that are used by the public for grazing domestic livestock. ^{1/} To use national grasslands, individual property owners and Grazing Associations are required to obtain a permit or lease of which there are two kinds: (1) paid (for a period of 10 years), (2) free (for one year).

Table 3-43.--Paid Use Participants in the Forest Service Grazing Program by Ethnic Group, 1976

Ethnic Group	Range Management							
	Users		Livestock Grazed					
			Cattle		Horses		Sheep	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black ^{1/}	40	0.3	1,647	0.1	85	0.5	30	^{4/}
Hispanic	1,097	7.2	27,694	2.0	49	.3	130,288	9.9
American Indian ^{2/}	64	.4	5,788	.4	61	.3	-	-
Asian ^{3/}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Minorities	31	.2	2,404	.2	3	^{4/}	29,483	2.2
Minorities	1,232	8.0	37,533	2.7	198	1.1	159,801	12.1
White ^{1/} ^{5/}	14,104	92.0	1,332,589	97.3	18,093	98.9	1,160,817	87.9
Total	15,336	100.0	1,370,122	100.0	18,291	100.0	1,320,618	100.0

^{1/} Not of Hispanic Origin.

^{2/} Includes Alaskan Native.

^{3/} Includes Pacific Islander.

^{4/} Less than 0.05 percent.

^{5/} Includes institutions and other groups where racial composition cannot be determined.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-44.--Animal-Unit-Months for Paid Use Participants in the Forest Service Grazing Program by Ethnic Group, 1976

Ethnic Group	Range Management							
	Users		Animal-Unit-Months ^{1/}					
			Cattle		Horses		Sheep	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black ^{2/}	40	0.3	6,441	0.1	473	0.8	1	^{3/}
Hispanic	1,097	7.2	155,518	2.4	342	.6	72,593	10.4
American Indian ^{4/}	64	.4	25,871	.4	1,385	2.4	4,089	.6
Asian ^{5/}	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Other Minorities	31	.2	10,179	.2	43	.1	13,855	2.0
Minorities	1,232	8.0	198,009	3.1	2,243	3.9	90,538	13.0
White ^{2/} ^{6/}	14,104	92.0	6,169,293	96.9	54,981	96.1	606,167	87.0
Total	15,336	100.0	6,367,302	100.0	57,224	100.0	696,705	100.0

^{1/} An animal-unit-month (AUM) is defined as the amount of forage required by a mature cow and her calf for one month. Conversion factors of 0.2 for sheep and 1.2 for horses were used to arrive at the common AUM base.

^{2/} Not of Hispanic Origin.

^{3/} Less than 0.05 percent.

^{4/} Includes Alaskan Native.

^{5/} Includes Pacific Islander.

^{6/} Includes institutions and other groups where racial composition cannot be determined.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

^{1/} In "land utilization projects," the Department of Interior shares responsibility for managing grassland areas.

Table 3-45.--Free Use Participants in the Forest Service Grazing Program by Ethnic Group, 1976

Ethnic Group	Range Management							
	Users		Livestock Grazed					
			Cattle		Horses		Sheep	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black <u>1/</u>	568	0.6	120	14.0	590	0.4	-	-
Hispanic	3,758	3.7	404	47.1	4,647	3.1	-	-
American Indian <u>2/</u>	824	.8	33	3.8	947	.6	982	13.6
Asian <u>3/</u>	5	<u>4/</u>	-	-	5	<u>4/</u>	-	-
Other Minorities	3	<u>4/</u>	-	-	90	.1	-	-
Minorities	5,158	5.1	557	65.0	6,279	4.2	982	13.6
White <u>1/</u> <u>5/</u>	95,998	94.9	300	35.0	142,856	95.8	6,210	86.4
Total	101,156	100.0	857	100.0	149,135	100.0	7,192	100.0

1/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

2/ Includes Alaskan Native.

3/ Includes Pacific Islander.

4/ Less than 0.05 percent.

5/ Includes institutions and other groups where racial composition cannot be determined.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-46.--Animal-Unit-Months for Free Use Participants in the Forest Service Grazing Program by Ethnic Group, 1976

Ethnic Group	Range Management							
	Users		Animal-Unit-Months <u>1/</u>					
			Cattle		Horses		Sheep	
	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.	No.	Pct.
Black <u>2/</u>	568	0.6	764	17.0	833	1.0	-	-
Hispanic	3,758	3.7	1,098	24.5	4,044	4.8	-	-
American Indian <u>3/</u>	824	.8	168	3.7	440	.5	707	19.5
Asian <u>4/</u>	5	<u>5/</u>	-	-	1	<u>5/</u>	-	-
Other Minorities	3	<u>5/</u>	-	-	267	.3	-	-
Minorities	5,158	5.1	2,030	45.2	5,585	6.7	707	19.5
White <u>2/</u> <u>6/</u>	95,998	94.9	2,458	54.8	77,864	93.3	2,915	80.5
Total	101,156	100.0	4,488	100.0	83,449	100.0	3,622	100.0

1/ An animal-unit-month (AUM) is defined as the amount of forage required by a mature cow and her calf for one month. Conversion factors of 0.2 for sheep and 1.2 for horses were used to arrive at the common AUM base.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native.

4/ Includes Pacific Islander.

5/ Less than 0.05 percent.

6/ Includes institutions and other groups where racial composition cannot be determined.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

RECREATION PROGRAM

The Forest Service maintains almost 12,000 developed recreational sites in the United States. These sites will accommodate more than 1.4 million persons at one time, and are designated as: Observation (playground, parks, sports), Boating/Swimming, Camp Ground, Picnic Ground, Winter Sports, Documentary Sites, Visitor Sites, Hotel/Resort, Recreation Residence, Organization, and Other Concessions. All sites are not found in every National Forest.

At a developed recreation site, visitors may participate in a variety of 57 activities if the facilities are available. Such activities may include: ice skating, nature study, swimming, resort lodging, team sports, hunting, viewing interpretive exhibits and outstanding scenery, picnicking, camping, etc. The use of these sites for such activities is measured by visitor days which is an aggregate of 12 person hours.

In 1977 in the United States (not including Region 31 -- Puerto Rico), participation by minority groups was 8.2 percent of a total 73.8 million visitor days compared with 8.6 percent of 73.1 million visitor days in 1976. White participation in 1977 was 91.8 percent of total visitor days. The greatest number of visitor days recorded was in the California Region, while the smallest number of visitor days was in the Alaska Region.

Table 3-47.-- Total Visitor Days at FS Developed Recreation Sites and Percentage Use by Ethnic Group, by Regions, 1977

Regions 1/	: Total : Visitor : Days 2/	Ethnic Group					
		Not of					
		Hispanic Origin American : Hispanic : Asian 4/ : Other					
		: White	: Black	: Indian 3/	:	:	:
	: Thou.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Northern	: 3,212.5	95.6	0.8	1.7	1.0	0.5	0.3
Rocky Mountain	: 8,966.4	92.6	1.5	.9	4.0	.8	.3
Southwestern	: 7,154.0	77.8	2.2	2.8	16.0	.7	.6
Intermountain	: 8,267.9	96.0	.9	.7	1.3	.6	.4
California	: 18,574.9	90.2	2.4	1.0	4.5	1.6	.3
Pacific Northwest	: 11,591.2	96.0	.8	.8	.9	1.1	.4
Southern	: 7,617.9	90.1	5.6	.4	3.3	.2	.4
Eastern	: 7,706.4	97.3	1.4	.5	.3	.3	.3
Alaska	: 684.8	92.3	.8	3.0	.7	1.7	1.4
Total	: 73,776.0	91.8	2.0	1.0	3.9	.9	.4

1/ Region 1 - Northern — Idaho (Northern), Montana, North Dakota, South Dakota (Northwestern), Washington (Northeastern), Wyoming (Northwestern).

Region 2 - Rocky Mountain — Colorado, Kansas, Nebraska, South Dakota (except Northwestern), Wyoming (except Western).

Region 3 - Southwestern — Arizona, New Mexico.

Region 4 - Intermountain — Idaho (Southern), Nevada, Utah, Wyoming (Western).

Region 5 - California — California, Hawaii.

Region 6 - Pacific Northwest — Oregon, Washington (except Northeastern).

Region 8 - Southern — Alabama, Arkansas, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Louisiana, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oklahoma, South Carolina, Tennessee, Texas, Virginia.

Region 9 - Eastern — Connecticut, Delaware, Illinois, Iowa, Indiana, Maine, Maryland, Massachusetts, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New York, Ohio, Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Vermont, West Virginia, Wisconsin.

Region 10 - Alaska — Alaska.

2/ A visitor day is an aggregate of 12 person hours.

3/ Includes Alaskan Native

4/ Includes Pacific Islander

NOTE: There is no Region 7.

Table 3-48.--Total Visitor Days and Percentage Use of Developed Recreation Sites by Ethnic Group in the United States, 1977 1/

[illegible]

1/ Region 31 (Puerto Rico) is not included.

2/ A visitor day is an aggregate of 12 person hours.

3/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

4/ Includes Alaskan Native.

5/ Includes Pacific Islander.

COOPERATIVE FOREST MANAGEMENT PROGRAM

The Cooperative Forest Management Program (CFM) provides technical assistance to owners of woodlands who request assistance in managing their timber resources. The Forest Service cooperates with State and local governments, agencies and organizations, forest industries and private landowners in the protection, reforestation, management and utilization of 577 million acres of forested land and associated lands vital for watershed protection. Major services include: (1) preparing a forest land management plan, (2) making timber products for harvesting, (3) improving timber stands, (4) planting and seeding trees, (5) preparing sites for natural regeneration, and (6) forest fire control.

Table 3-49.--Participation in FS Cooperative Forest Management Program, Black and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Not of Hispanic Origin									
	Black					White				
	Eligible for CFM	Number Assisted	Percentage of Eligible	Eligible for CFM	Number Assisted	Percentage of Eligible	Eligible for CFM	Number Assisted	Percentage of Eligible	Eligible for CFM
	Program 1/ No.	2/ No.	3/ Pct.	Program 1/ No.	2/ No.	3/ Pct.	Program 1/ No.	2/ No.	3/ Pct.	Program 1/ No.
Alabama	4,671	105	2	42,864	1,995	5	42,864	1,995	5	42,864
Arkansas	1,619	19	1	31,475	1,505	5	31,475	1,505	5	31,475
Delaware	34	-	4/	1,981	371	19	1,981	371	19	1,981
Florida	693	27	2	12,239	2,221	18	12,239	2,221	18	12,239
Georgia	3,363	951	28	44,835	32,644	73	44,835	32,644	73	44,835
Kentucky	731	11	2	70,895	742	1	70,895	742	1	70,895
Louisiana	1,801	18	1	14,313	1,349	9	14,313	1,349	9	14,313
Maryland	318	23	7	10,384	1,496	14	10,384	1,496	14	10,384
Mississippi	9,579	107	1	38,554	5,216	14	38,554	5,216	14	38,554
North Carolina	7,219	83	1	71,644	7,624	11	71,644	7,624	11	71,644
Oklahoma	928	-	4/	21,380	145	1	21,380	145	1	21,380
South Carolina	4,959	132	3	21,736	4,463	21	21,736	4,463	21	21,736
Tennessee	2,139	17	1	75,031	2,680	4	75,031	2,680	4	75,031
Texas	2,274	15	1	54,859	1,068	2	54,859	1,068	2	54,859
Virginia	3,497	485	14	41,989	21,003	50	41,989	21,003	50	41,989
West Virginia	52	22	42	17,327	4,003	23	17,327	4,003	23	17,327
Total	43,877	2,015	5	571,506	88,525	15	571,506	88,525	15	571,506

1/ The number of eligible Black Woodland Owners was derived from special tabulations of the 1969 Census of Agriculture.

2/ The total number assisted includes new, repeat, and continued repeat assists for Fiscal Year 1977.

3/ The number of eligible White Woodland Owners was derived by subtracting the number of minority woodland owners from the total number of woodland owners reported in the Census of Agriculture 1969.

4/ Not applicable.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-50.--Participation in FS Cooperative Forest Management Program, Hispanic and White,
Fiscal Year 1977

State	Hispanic				White (Not of Hispanic Origin)			
	Eligible for CFM Program 1/	Number Assisted 2/	Percentage of Eligible Assisted	Pct.	Eligible for CFM Program 1/	Number Assisted 2/	Percentage of Eligible Assisted	Pct.
	No.	No.			No.	No.		
Arizona	222	46	21		7,078	552	8	
California	1,381	51	4		71,094	4,871	7	
Colorado	748	118	16		33,573	8,417	25	
Michigan	198	-	3/		112,256	1,283	1	
New Mexico	6,542	244	4		13,550	281	2	
Texas	5,975	1	4/		275,210	1,068	4/	
Washington	114	8	7		77,143	1,851	2	
Total	15,180	468	3		589,904	18,323	3	

1/ The number of Hispanic and White operating units serves as the number of those eligible; 1976 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

2/ The total number assisted includes new, repeat, and continued repeat assists for Fiscal Year 1977.

3/ Not applicable.

4/ Less than 0.5 percent.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-51.--Participation in FS Cooperative Forest Management Program,
American Indian and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	American Indian/Alaskan Native				White (Not of Hispanic Origin)			
	Eligible	Number	Percentage	Eligible	Number	Percentage		
	CFM	Assisted 2/	of Eligible	for CFM	Assisted 2/	of Eligible		
	Program 1/ No.	No.	Assisted	Program 1/ No.	No.	Assisted	Pct.	
Arizona	56	47	84	7,078	552	8		
California	398	18	5	71,094	4,871	7		
Kansas	373	1	3/	134,001	1,235	1		
Michigan	155	-	4/	112,256	1,283	1		
Minnesota	243	12	5	131,638	3,479	3		
Mississippi	104	-	4/	111,470	5,216	5		
Montana	673	6	1	24,784	1,563	6		
New Mexico	1,640	6	3/	13,550	281	2		
North Carolina	819	10	1	157,163	7,624	5		
North Dakota	141	3	2	50,103	406	1		
Oklahoma	2,238	5	3/	129,819	145	3/		
South Dakota	614	3	3/	47,874	1,300	3		
Washington	331	19	6	77,143	1,851	2		
Total	7,785	130	2	1,067,973	29,806	3		

1/ The number of American Indian and White operating units serves as the number of those eligible; 1976 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

2/ The total number assisted includes new, repeat, and continued repeat assists for Fiscal Year 1977.

3/ Less than 0.5 percent.

4/ Not applicable.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-52.-- Participation in FS Cooperative Forest Management Program, Asian and White, Fiscal Year 1977

State	Asian/Pacific Islander				White (Not of Hispanic Origin)			
	Eligible for CFM	Number Assisted	Percentage of Eligible Assisted	Eligible for CFM	Number Assisted	Percentage of Eligible Assisted		
	Program 1/	2/		Program 1/	2/			
	No.	No.	Pct.	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.	
California	1,937	16	1	71,094	4,871	7		
Colorado	169	-	3/	33,573	8,417	25		
Idaho	246	-	3/	36,551	356	1		
Oregon	301	6	2	41,322	4,304	10		
Utah	139	1	1	20,362	360	2		
Washington	429	-	3/	77,143	1,851	2		
Total	3,221	23	1	280,045	20,159	7		

1/ The number of Asian and White operating units serves as the number of those eligible; 1976 data from the Soil Conservation Service.

2/ The total number assisted includes new, repeat, and continued repeat assists for Fiscal Year 1977.

3/ Not applicable.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

RURAL ELECTRIFICATION ADMINISTRATION

Due to new scheduling for the Rural Electrification Administration's (REA) collecting and processing, participation statistics of REA Borrowers for 1977 will be included in the 1978 Equal Opportunity Report of USDA Programs.

SCIENCE AND EDUCATION ADMINISTRATION - EXTENSION

The Cooperative Extension Service (CES) works with farmers, ranchers, homemakers, public and private groups and organizations, elected officials and leaders in government, adult volunteer leaders, and community groups to help identify and solve individual and/or community problems and to teach new skills and methods of achieving desired goals. Extension educational work is carried out through County, District/Area, and State Extension Offices in each State, Puerto Rico, Guam, the Virgin Islands, and the District of Columbia. The programs are supported and coordinated by a Science and Education Administration-Extension (SEA-Extension) professional staff in Washington, D.C.

Program participation data by ethnic groups were reported from the State Extension Management Information System (SEMIS) for Educational Contacts made by both Extension Professional and paraprofessional staff in each State. Other data included information relative to the Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program, Homemakers Clubs, Program Aides, 4-H Membership, 4-H Sponsored Camps and State and County Professional Personnel.

Eligible populations for Extension programs vary widely among programs and are not readily available through census or other published data. Extension personnel, particularly at the county level, through knowledge of their contacts and working experience in the field, are developing eligibility data by ethnic group for each of their programs.

Targets for the delivery of information and services to minority group members have not been provided by Extension.

Highlights of Extension Service Contacts, Fiscal Year 1977

Ethnic Group/Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage
	Total	With Ethnic Group	of Total
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Black (Not of Hispanic Origin)(15 States)</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources	14,964,870	1,524,178	10.2
Community Resource Development	2,854,565	512,821	18.0
Home Economics	9,887,557	1,960,747	19.8
4-H Youth	17,421,149	3,467,561	19.9
Total Activities	45,128,141	7,465,307	16.5
<u>Hispanic (5 States)</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources	4,451,181	189,751	4.3
Community Resource Development	625,047	55,007	8.8
Home Economics	3,749,198	336,082	9.0
4-H Youth	4,035,002	241,120	6.0
Total Activities	12,860,428	821,960	6.4
<u>American Indian/Alaskan Native (13 States)</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources	4,919,776	90,427	1.8
Community Resource Development	815,239	21,712	2.7
Home Economics	3,671,275	132,486	3.6
4-H Youth	6,079,747	139,934	2.3
Total Activities	15,486,037	384,559	2.5
<u>Asian/Pacific Islander (6 States)</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources	3,585,558	74,388	2.1
Community Resource Development	358,992	2,864	.8
Home Economics	2,315,356	27,987	1.2
4-H Youth	3,418,505	32,057	.9
Total Activities	9,678,411	137,296	1.4

1/ The number of contacts reflect the extent of program involvement as one individual may be contacted several times through one or all of the four programs.

NOTE: The difference between the percentage shown and 100 percent is the percentage of contacts to White and minorities other than the minority group designated.

Table 3-53.-- Number and Percentage of Black (Not of Hispanic Origin) Contacts in Extension Programs, Fiscal Year 1977

State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage Black 2/
	Total	Black 2/	
	No.	No.	
<u>Alabama</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	779,216	93,751	12.0
Community Resource Development	: 116,242	18,766	16.1
Home Economics	: 1,152,892	279,358	24.2
4-H Youth	: 1,208,328	361,224	29.9
Total	: 3,256,678	753,099	23.1
<u>Arkansas</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	775,650	76,682	9.9
Community Resource Development	: 242,616	30,942	12.8
Home Economics	: 541,174	83,181	15.4
4-H Youth	: 650,021	140,664	21.6
Total	: 2,209,461	331,469	15.0
<u>Delaware</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	85,824	6,265	7.3
Community Resource Development	: 18,203	5,017	27.6
Home Economics	: 46,640	20,751	44.5
4-H Youth	: 60,752	15,419	25.4
Total	: 211,419	47,452	22.4
<u>Florida</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,044,885	56,636	5.4
Community Resource Development	: 127,722	11,269	8.8
Home Economics	: 456,102	75,031	16.4
4-H Youth	: 532,432	80,600	15.1
Total	: 2,161,141	223,536	10.3
<u>Georgia</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	908,987	93,475	10.3
Community Resource Development	: 393,953	77,655	19.7
Home Economics	: 553,921	127,059	22.9
4-H Youth	: 1,988,622	563,610	28.3
Total	: 3,845,483	861,799	22.4
<u>Kentucky</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	759,126	12,963	1.7
Community Resource Development	: 171,719	4,158	2.4
Home Economics	: 941,661	86,585	9.2
4-H Youth	: 1,659,457	140,883	8.5
Total	: 3,531,463	244,589	6.9

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-53.-- Number and Percentage of Black (Not of Hispanic Origin) Contacts in Extension Programs, Fiscal Year 1977 (continued)

State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage
	Total	Black 2/	Black 2/
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Louisiana</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,954,250	325,173	16.6
Community Resource Development	255,026	90,169	35.4
Home Economics	1,002,007	284,134	28.4
4-H Youth	2,314,049	696,774	30.1
Total	5,525,332	1,396,250	25.3
<u>Maryland</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	472,728	17,896	3.8
Community Resource Development	63,785	13,072	20.5
Home Economics	286,192	30,632	10.7
4-H Youth	21,514	2,223	10.3
Total	844,219	63,823	7.6
<u>Mississippi</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	817,055	246,880	30.2
Community Resource Development	109,517	36,461	33.3
Home Economics	1,033,125	372,141	36.0
4-H Youth	757,295	318,138	42.0
Total	2,716,992	973,620	35.8
<u>Oklahoma</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	258,535	12,670	49.0
Community Resource Development	33,861	4,205	12.4
Home Economics	304,836	48,476	15.9
4-H Youth	560,699	40,113	7.2
Total	1,157,931	105,464	9.1
<u>South Carolina</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	649,923	107,217	16.5
Community Resource Development	54,752	13,780	25.2
Home Economics	234,278	72,188	30.8
4-H Youth	684,515	320,242	46.8
Total	1,623,468	513,427	31.6
<u>Tennessee</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,441,728	120,837	8.4
Community Resource Development	132,639	24,095	18.2
Home Economics	842,209	67,882	8.1
4-H Youth	2,695,539	234,047	8.7
Total	5,112,115	446,861	8.7

See footnotes at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-53.-- Number and Percentage of Black (Not of Hispanic Origin) Contacts in Extension Programs, Fiscal Year 1977 (continued)

State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage Black 2/ Pct.
	Total	Black 2/	
	No.	No.	
<u>Texas</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	3,417,034	211,616	6.2
Community Resource Development :	489,719	87,608	17.9
Home Economics :	1,448,346	204,806	14.1
4-H Youth :	2,356,585	190,702	8.1
Total :	7,711,684	694,732	9.0
<u>Virginia</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,194,510	132,201	11.1
Community Resource Development :	462,294	90,925	19.7
Home Economics :	783,509	198,993	25.4
4-H Youth :	1,512,156	349,944	23.1
Total :	3,952,469	772,063	19.5
<u>West Virginia</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	405,419	9,916	2.4
Community Resource Development :	182,517	4,699	2.6
Home Economics :	260,665	9,530	3.7
4-H Youth :	419,185	12,978	3.1
Total :	1,267,786	37,123	2.9

1/ The number of contacts reflect the extent of program involvement as one individual may be contacted several times through one or all of the four programs.

2/ Not of Hispanic Origin.

NOTE: Data from North Carolina not reported.

Table 3-54.-- Number and Percentage of Hispanic Contacts in Extension-Sponsored Educational Programs, Fiscal Year 1977

State/ Program Area	Contact 1/		Percentage Hispanic
	Total	Hispanic	
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Arizona</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	189,754	10,009	5.3
Community Resource Development	49,914	5,483	11.0
Home Economics	124,300	14,622	11.8
4-H Youth	183,865	21,673	11.8
Total	547,833	51,787	9.5
<u>California</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,852,126	85,034	4.6
Community Resource Development	84,953	5,315	6.3
Home Economics	949,564	167,234	17.6
4-H Youth	1,481,088	96,727	6.5
Total	4,367,731	354,310	8.1
<u>Colorado</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	327,065	8,277	2.5
Community Resource Development	102,688	6,294	6.1
Home Economics	441,001	40,457	9.2
4-H Youth	611,914	34,939	5.7
Total	1,482,668	89,967	6.1
<u>Kansas</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,686,959	4,440	.3
Community Resource Development	239,386	5,438	2.3
Home Economics	1,796,632	9,478	.5
4-H Youth	1,323,671	5,088	.4
Total	5,046,648	24,444	.5
<u>New Mexico</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	395,277	81,991	20.7
Community Resource Development	148,106	32,477	21.9
Home Economics	437,701	104,291	25.8
4-H Youth	434,464	82,693	19.0
Total	1,415,548	301,452	21.3

1/ The number of contacts reflect the extent of program involvement as one individual may be contacted several times through one or all of the four programs.

Table 3-55.-- Number and Percentage of American Indian/Alaskan Native Contacts in Extension-Sponsored Educational Program, Fiscal Year 1977

State/ Program Area	Contact 1/		Percentage
	Total	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	American Indian/ Alaskan Native
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Arizona</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	189,754	11,926	6.3
Community Resource Development	49,914	2,104	4.2
Home Economics	124,300	10,591	8.5
4-H Youth	183,865	7,457	4.1
Total	547,833	32,078	5.9
<u>California</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,852,126	3,371	.2
Community Resource Development	84,953	802	.9
Home Economics	949,564	5,758	.6
4-H Youth	1,481,088	10,132	.7
Total	4,367,731	20,063	.5
<u>Idaho</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	268,827	1,014	.4
Community Resource Development	41,465	145	.3
Home Economics	125,897	3,673	.3
4-H Youth	242,969	4,649	1.9
Total	679,158	9,481	1.4
<u>Minnesota</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	81,309	528	.6
Community Resource Development	120,897	1,284	1.1
Home Economics	332,814	2,648	.8
4-H Youth	941,574	5,648	.6
Total	1,476,594	10,108	.7
<u>Montana</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	222,095	2,509	1.1
Community Resource Development	90,371	7,652	8.5
Home Economics	179,635	4,984	2.8
4-H Youth	299,736	8,263	2.8
Total	791,837	23,408	3.0

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-55.-- Number and Percentage of American Indian/Alaskan Native Contacts in Extension-Sponsored Educational Program, Fiscal Year 1977 (continued)

State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage : American Indian/ : Alaskan Native
	Total	: American Indian/ : Alaskan Native	
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Nevada</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	105,331	2,528	2.4
Community Resource Development :	44,781	1,670	3.7
Home Economics :	151,840	3,121	2.1
4-H Youth :	286,679	9,669	3.4
Total :	588,631	16,988	2.9
<u>New Mexico</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	395,277	41,480	10.5
Community Resource Development :	148,106	2,825	1.9
Home Economics :	437,701	48,801	11.2
4-H Youth :	434,464	25,793	5.9
Total :	1,415,548	118,899	8.4
<u>Oklahoma</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	258,535	12,176	4.7
Community Resource Development :	33,861	2,025	6.0
Home Economics :	304,836	26,390	8.7
4-H Youth :	560,699	40,242	7.2
Total :	1,157,931	80,833	7.0
<u>Oregon</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	368,014	2,558	.7
Community Resource Development :	44,276	254	.6
Home Economics :	178,052	3,506	2.0
4-H Youth :	303,896	4,537	1.5
Total :	894,238	10,855	1.2
<u>South Dakota</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	351,505	6,271	1.8
Community Resource Development :	57,412	1,684	2.9
Home Economics :	178,101	9,494	5.3
4-H Youth :	368,552	10,409	2.8
Total :	955,570	27,858	2.9

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-55-- Number and Percentage of American Indian/Alaskan Native Contacts in Extension-Sponsored Educational Program, Fiscal Year 1977 (continued)

State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage
	Total	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	American Indian/ Alaskan Native
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>Utah</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	181,050	1,487	0.8
Community Resource Development	27,456	147	.5
Home Economics	193,581	3,681	1.9
4-H Youth	252,066	5,152	2.0
Total	654,153	10,477	1.6
<u>Washington</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	588,476	4,461	.8
Community Resource Development	58,154	948	1.6
Home Economics	427,261	7,222	1.7
4-H Youth	526,572	7,255	1.4
Total	1,600,463	19,886	1.2
<u>Wyoming</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	57,477	108	.2
Community Resources Development	13,593	172	1.3
Home Economics	87,693	2,617	3.0
4-H Youth	197,587	728	.4
Total	356,350	3,625	1.0

1/ The number of contacts reflect the extent of program involvement as one individual may be contacted several times through one or all of the four programs.

NOTE: Data from North Carolina not reported.

Table 3-56.-- Number and Percentage of Asian/Pacific Islander Contacts in Extension-Sponsored Educational Programs, Fiscal Year 1977


State/ Program Area	Contacts 1/		Percentage
	Total	Asian/Pacific Islander	Asian/Pacific Islander
	No.	No.	Pct.
<u>California</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	1,852,126	61,521	3.3
Community Resource Development :	84,953	1,541	1.8
Home Economics :	949,564	19,925	2.1
4-H Youth :	1,481,088	19,720	1.3
Total :	4,367,731	102,707	2.4
<u>Colorado</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	327,065	2,140	.7
Community Resource Development :	102,688	611	.6
Home Economics :	441,001	2,423	.5
4-H Youth :	611,914	4,221	.7
Total :	1,482,668	9,395	.6
<u>Idaho</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	268,827	1,708	.6
Community Resource Development :	41,465	119	.3
Home Economics :	125,897	801	.6
4-H Youth :	242,969	1,930	.8
Total :	679,158	4,558	.7
<u>Oregon</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	368,014	4,828	1.3
Community Resource Development :	44,276	170	.4
Home Economics :	178,052	1,531	.9
4-H Youth :	303,896	2,192	.7
Total :	894,238	8,721	1.0
<u>Utah</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	181,050	680	.4
Community Resource Development :	27,456	82	.3
Home Economics :	193,581	1,141	.6
4-H Youth :	252,066	2,030	.8
Total :	654,153	3,933	.6
<u>Washington</u>			
Agriculture and Natural Resources:	588,476	3,511	.6
Community Resource Development :	58,154	341	.6
Home Economics :	427,261	2,166	.5
4-H Youth :	526,572	1,964	.4
Total :	1,600,463	7,982	.5

1/ The number of contacts reflect the extent of program involvement as one individual may be contacted several times through one or all of the four programs.


Figure 3-5

PERCENTAGE OF CONTACTS TO RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP MEMBERS IN EXTENSION SPONSORED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, 1977

BLACK (Not of Hispanic Origin) CONTACTS



HISPANIC CONTACTS




1/ Not Reported

PERCENT


Figure 3-5 (Cont'd)

PERCENTAGE OF CONTACTS TO RACIAL/ETHNIC GROUP MEMBERS IN EXTENSION SPONSORED EDUCATIONAL PROGRAMS, 1977

AMERICAN INDIAN/ALASKAN NATIVE CONTACTS



ASIAN/PACIFIC ISLANDER CONTACTS



1/ Not Reported

PERCENT

EXPANDED FOOD AND NUTRITION EDUCATION PROGRAM

The Expanded Food and Nutrition Education Program utilizes paraprofessional program aides, trained and supervised by Extension Home Economists, to teach low-income homemakers and youth how to improve their diets. The program aides teach the family the importance of nutrition; how to select and buy food; plan, prepare and serve appetizing meals; improve food safety and sanitation practices; plan and plant gardens; preserve food and money management as it relates to food. In 1977 there were 5,503 program aides compared to 6,329 in 1976. Aides worked with approximately 201,429 homemakers in 1977 compared to approximately 244,129 in 1976.

Highlights of Program Aides

Region	Total	Percentage of Program Aides Participating in Nutrition Program, 1977					
		Not of	Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other	
		Hispanic		Indian/	Pacific		
		Origin		Alaskan	Islander		
		White:Black:		Native			
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	243	77.4	13.6	9.0	-	-	-
Middle Atlantic	696	56.8	31.8	10.9	0.4	-	0.1
East North Central	692	52.7	40.5	5.8	.9	0.1	-
West North Central	461	67.0	24.1	2.2	6.5	.2	-
South Atlantic	1,118	43.5	55.0	.8	.5	.1	.1
East South Central	1,023	53.0	47.0	-	-	-	-
West South Central	662	26.6	55.1	16.0	2.1	-	.2
Mountain	287	42.2	11.5	40.4	5.6	-	.3
Pacific	321	33.9	24.3	34.3	4.4	2.8	.3
Total	5,503	48.9	40.3	8.9	1.6	.2	.1

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Highlights of Homemakers

Region	Total	Percentage of Homemakers Participating in Nutrition Programs, 1977					
		Not of	Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other	
		Hispanic		Indian/	Pacific		
		Origin		Alaskan	Islander		
		White:Black:		Native			
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	7,580	71.2	13.6	12.9	0.9	0.4	1.0
Middle Atlantic	21,536	52.7	30.6	16.0	.2	.2	.3
East North Central	23,527	48.5	42.3	7.7	1.1	.2	.2
West North Central	14,460	66.9	21.7	2.9	7.7	.6	.2
South Atlantic	49,792	31.7	66.4	1.3	.4	.2	.1
East South Central	35,161	46.2	53.6	1/	.1	.1	1/
West South Central	29,692	17.0	57.8	23.8	1.2	.1	.1
Mountain	7,652	35.2	8.7	46.4	7.9	.8	1.0
Pacific	12,029	30.7	21.2	43.7	2.0	2.3	.1
Total	201,429	40.4	46.2	11.5	1.4	.3	.2

1/ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3-57.--Number of Program Aides in Nutrition Program, by Region and Ethnic Group, September 30, 1977

Region/State	Total	Program Aides Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other
		Hispanic	Indian/		Pacific		
		Origin	Alaskan	Islander			
		White	Black		Native		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
New England							
Maine	81	81	-	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	20	20	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	26	26	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	78	42	19	17	-	-	-
Rhode Island	24	16	6	2	-	-	-
Connecticut	14	3	8	3	-	-	-
Total	243	188	33	22	-	-	-
Middle Atlantic							
New York	352	215	93	41	2	-	1
New Jersey	88	6	60	22	-	-	-
Pennsylvania	256	174	68	13	1	-	-
Total	696	395	221	76	3	-	1
East North Central							
Ohio	151	65	78	7	1	-	-
Indiana	137	92	40	5	-	-	-
Illinois	168	58	96	14	-	-	-
Michigan	164	95	55	13	-	1	-
Wisconsin	72	55	11	1	5	-	-
Total	692	365	280	40	6	1	-
West North Central							
Minnesota	80	62	9	2	7	-	-
Iowa	76	60	12	1	3	-	-
Missouri	133	71	60	1	1	-	-
North Dakota	35	23	-	-	12	-	-
South Dakota	35	30	-	2	3	-	-
Nebraska	57	40	12	2	3	-	-
Kansas	45	23	18	2	1	1	-
Total	461	309	111	10	30	1	-
South Atlantic							
Delaware	22	3	17	2	-	-	-
Maryland	69	34	34	1	-	-	-
Virginia	159	53	104	1	-	-	1
West Virginia	105	76	28	-	-	1	-
North Carolina	229	119	105	-	5	-	-
South Carolina	130	44	86	-	-	-	-
Georgia	198	87	111	-	-	-	-
Florida	206	70	130	5	1	-	-
Total	1,118	486	615	9	6	1	1

See note at end of table.

Table 3-57.--Number of Program Aides in Nutrition Program, by Region and Ethnic Group, September 30, 1977 (continued)

Region/State	Total	Program Aides Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other
		Hispanic			Indian/	Pacific	
		Origin			Alaskan	Islander	
		White	Black		Native		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
East South Central:							
Kentucky	206	170	36	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	232	176	56	-	-	-	-
Alabama	347	159	188	-	-	-	-
Mississippi	238	37	201	-	-	-	-
Total	1,023	542	481	-	-	-	-
West South Central:							
Arkansas	130	52	77	-	-	-	1
Louisiana	199	39	160	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	96	58	23	1	14	-	-
Texas	237	27	105	105	-	-	-
Total	662	176	365	106	14	-	1
Mountain							
Montana	20	16	-	1	3	-	-
Idaho	43	37	3	-	3	-	-
Wyoming	20	11	3	6	-	-	-
Colorado	36	9	4	23	-	-	-
New Mexico	68	12	4	47	5	-	-
Arizona	51	5	13	29	4	-	-
Utah	34	23	1	8	1	-	1
Nevada	15	8	5	2	-	-	-
Total	287	121	33	116	16	-	1
Pacific							
Washington	78	45	15	10	7	-	1
Oregon	38	23	7	3	5	-	-
California	205	41	56	97	2	9	-
Total	321	109	78	110	14	9	1
Grand Total	5,503	2,691	2,217	489	89	12	5

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-58.--Number of Homemakers in Nutrition Programs, by Region and Ethnic Group, September 30, 1977

Region/State	Total	Homemakers Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other
		Hispanic	Indian/		Pacific		
						Origin	
		White	Black		Native		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
New England							
Maine	2,284	2,233	16	4	16	3	12
New Hampshire	630	613	2	6	5	2	2
Vermont	861	777	13	5	37	8	21
Massachusetts	2,370	1,034	590	715	3	7	21
Rhode Island	722	499	124	84	6	4	5
Connecticut	713	242	288	165	-	-	18
Total	7,580	5,398	1,033	979	67	24	79
Middle Atlantic							
New York	9,895	5,220	2,551	2,035	21	14	54
New Jersey	3,234	445	1,975	804	4	3	3
Pennsylvania	8,407	5,688	2,058	616	12	24	9
Total	21,536	11,353	6,584	3,455	37	41	66
East North Central							
Ohio	5,317	2,526	2,526	249	2	5	9
Indiana	4,140	2,260	1,621	251	1	3	4
Illinois	7,064	2,191	4,011	837	9	8	8
Michigan	5,160	3,162	1,489	400	84	9	16
Wisconsin	1,846	1,271	309	83	153	23	7
Total	23,527	11,410	9,956	1,820	249	48	44
West North Central							
Minnesota	1,660	1,282	123	45	186	21	3
Iowa	2,062	1,648	242	118	29	23	2
Missouri	5,437	3,678	1,689	29	26	8	7
North Dakota	870	486	-	2	374	3	5
South Dakota	1,121	753	8	14	342	3	1
Nebraska	1,274	820	276	76	92	7	3
Kansas	2,036	1,002	803	140	62	25	4
Total	14,460	9,669	3,141	424	1,111	90	25
South Atlantic							
Delaware	1,072	329	623	120	-	-	-
Maryland	2,587	1,376	1,150	36	1	14	10
Virginia	9,187	2,871	6,153	123	6	20	14
West Virginia	3,008	2,402	599	2	1	4	-
North Carolina	9,109	3,386	5,525	13	176	3	6
South Carolina	5,229	741	4,483	-	1	2	2
Georgia	12,171	2,776	9,354	11	3	17	10
Florida	7,429	1,925	5,162	321	3	16	2
Total	49,792	15,806	33,049	626	191	76	44

See note at end of table.

Table 3-58.--Number of Homemakers in Nutrition Program, by Region and Ethnic Group, September 30, 1977 (continued)

Region/State	Total	Homemakers Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American	Asian/	Other
		Hispanic			Indian/	Pacific	
		Origin			Alaskan	Islander	
		White	Black		Native		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
East South Central							
Kentucky	6,930	5,413	1,498	6	7	5	1
Tennessee	10,890	7,280	3,588	6	2	11	3
Alabama	8,076	2,472	5,581	3	13	6	1
Mississippi	9,265	1,095	8,164	2	3	1	-
Total	35,161	16,260	18,831	17	25	23	5
West South Central							
Arkansas	5,635	1,645	3,972	14	1	1	2
Louisiana	7,296	1,070	6,221	-	-	-	5
Oklahoma	2,184	1,165	599	43	357	14	6
Texas	14,577	1,156	6,366	7,022	8	18	7
Total	29,692	5,036	17,158	7,079	366	33	20
Mountain							
Montana	640	459	5	51	115	10	-
Idaho	993	670	59	142	92	19	11
Wyoming	193	138	14	33	6	2	-
Colorado	1,133	377	86	659	4	4	3
New Mexico	2,144	169	82	1,730	125	7	31
Arizona	1,110	103	202	592	193	-	20
Utah	972	642	11	227	60	17	15
Nevada	467	133	206	113	13	2	-
Total	7,652	2,691	665	3,547	608	61	80
Pacific							
Washington	1,890	1,207	297	224	115	33	14
Oregon	951	595	163	138	37	18	-
California	9,188	1,893	2,087	4,890	93	225	-
Total	12,029	3,695	2,547	5,252	245	276	14
Grand Total	201,429	81,318	92,964	23,199	2,899	672	377

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

4-H Membership

The Cooperative Extension Service (CES) sponsors 4-H Clubs and short-term special interest groups for youth through voluntary membership. The 4-H Clubs and special interest activities offer educational experiences that are built around specific projects for each participant. The eligible age for participation in 4-H programs generally ranges from 9-19 years, although this may vary somewhat among States.

Highlights of Youth in 4-H Clubs, 1977

Region	Total	Percentage Participating					
		Not of		American:			
		Hispanic		Indian/:			
		Origin		Hispanic:			
		White	Black	Alaskan	Native	Islander	Other
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	262,793	87.2	9.6	1.9	0.1	0.2	1.0
Middle Atlantic	620,268	88.1	8.4	3.0	.1	.3	.1
East North Central	715,134	88.7	9.4	1.2	.3	.1	.3
West North Central	336,789	91.8	5.9	.6	1.5	.2	.1
South Atlantic	653,785	68.4	30.6	.4	.3	.2	.1
East South Central	660,123	73.2	26.5	.1	.1	.1	.1
West South Central	379,821	76.0	17.1	3.7	2.9	.2	.1
Mountain	216,946	79.7	3.6	10.9	5.0	.4	.5
Pacific	183,130	85.5	3.9	6.8	1.2	1.5	1.2
Total	4,028,789	81.1	15.4	2.2	.9	.2	.2

Table 3-59.--Number of Youth in 4-H Clubs, by Region and Ethnic Group, 1977

Region/State	Total	Youth Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Other
		Hispanic Origin					
		White	Black				
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
<u>New England</u>							
Maine	12,275	12,171	36	9	50	9	-
New Hampshire	13,692	13,612	33	27	6	14	-
Vermont	16,277	16,167	41	11	36	13	9
Massachusetts	68,486	62,556	3,153	1,102	86	80	1,509
Rhode Island	14,379	12,303	1,672	206	11	58	129
Connecticut	137,684	112,266	20,226	3,735	33	371	1,053
Total	262,793	229,075	25,161	5,090	222	545	2,700
<u>Middle Atlantic</u>							
New York	472,941	415,705	38,600	16,084	562	1,827	163
New Jersey	71,418	61,611	7,488	1,955	23	228	113
Pennsylvania	75,909	69,402	5,895	470	14	108	20
Total	620,268	546,718	51,983	18,509	599	2,163	296
<u>East North Central</u>							
Ohio	216,016	189,974	23,922	982	236	152	750
Indiana	154,420	135,125	16,676	1,763	87	216	553
Illinois	97,409	88,021	6,861	1,987	65	231	244
Michigan	157,508	137,248	16,189	2,727	1,102	208	34
Wisconsin	89,781	83,809	3,641	1,117	868	112	234
Total	715,134	634,177	67,289	8,576	2,358	919	1,815
<u>West North Central</u>							
Minnesota	73,142	69,084	1,026	365	2,415	164	88
Iowa	66,443	64,601	1,308	260	137	107	30
Missouri	60,871	44,955	15,409	452	18	18	19
North Dakota	22,096	21,416	144	15	433	36	52
South Dakota	22,277	20,669	18	54	1,511	25	-
Nebraska	45,828	44,025	1,029	435	223	100	16
Kansas	46,132	44,407	1,055	397	155	91	27
Total	336,789	309,157	19,989	1,978	4,892	541	232
<u>South Atlantic</u>							
Delaware	8,975	6,366	2,477	30	-	32	70
Maryland	34,047	24,479	8,693	239	113	157	366
Virginia	146,243	104,067	41,211	410	102	292	161
West Virginia	36,258	34,370	1,807	19	7	41	14
North Carolina	97,954	62,120	34,687	64	977	68	38
South Carolina	65,403	31,385	33,929	-	44	35	10
Georgia	157,233	108,763	47,814	150	208	243	55
Florida	107,672	75,909	29,255	2,023	210	197	78
Total	653,785	447,459	199,873	2,935	1,661	1,065	792

Table 3-59.--Number of Youth in 4-H Clubs, by Region and Ethnic Group, 1977
(continued)

Region/State	Total	Youth Participating					
		Not of		Hispanic	American:	Asian/	Other
		Hispanic			Indian/	Pacific	
		Origin	Alaskan:		Islander:		
		White	Black		Native		
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
<u>East South Central:</u>							
Kentucky	166,803	152,143	13,958	19	197	307	179
Tennessee	171,997	147,212	24,614	39	11	77	44
Alabama	113,690	75,841	37,427	51	46	23	302
Mississippi	207,663	107,753	98,927	428	449	62	14
Total	660,123	482,949	174,926	537	703	469	539
<u>West South Central:</u>							
Arkansas	47,832	34,363	13,365	17	13	28	46
Louisiana	83,250	56,546	26,192	229	141	56	86
Oklahoma	145,177	119,355	13,118	1,408	10,624	396	276
Texas	103,562	78,545	12,395	12,259	187	155	21
Total	379,821	288,809	65,070	13,913	10,965	635	429
<u>Mountain</u>							
Montana	17,397	16,349	71	223	605	23	36
Idaho	32,456	31,124	29	889	216	68	30
Wyoming	14,535	13,604	78	664	137	35	17
Colorado	58,047	45,881	3,467	7,524	395	370	410
New Mexico	20,378	10,563	535	5,241	3,873	14	152
Arizona	29,921	16,330	2,202	7,240	3,999	47	103
Utah	36,932	33,471	502	1,642	946	230	141
Nevada	7,280	5,393	868	306	582	36	95
Total	216,946	172,805	7,752	23,729	10,853	823	984
<u>Pacific</u>							
Washington	29,854	28,194	321	252	593	90	403
Oregon	49,109	45,993	1,218	469	555	874	1,720
California	104,167	82,300	5,571	11,785	966	1,825	-
Total	183,130	156,487	7,110	12,507	2,114	2,789	2,123
Grand Total	4,028,789	3,267,636	619,153	87,774	34,367	9,949	9,910

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-6Q-- Percentage of Non-Integrated 4-H Units and Youth Enrolled in Units
in Racially-Ethnically Mixed Communities by Region and State, 1977

Region/State	Total		Non-Integrated 1/	
	4-H Units	Youth	4-H Units	Youth
	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>New England</u>				
Maine	519	12,275	57.8	55.4
New Hampshire	561	13,692	74.7	72.8
Vermont	587	16,277	26.9	30.5
Massachusetts	2,300	68,486	55.5	29.4
Rhode Island	364	14,379	12.9	4.8
Connecticut	4,993	137,684	9.2	6.4
Total	9,324	262,793	28.5	19.5
<u>Middle Atlantic</u>				
New York	10,308	472,941	13.3	6.9
New Jersey	3,193	71,418	18.8	13.6
Pennsylvania	3,058	75,909	20.1	16.9
Total	16,559	620,268	15.6	8.9
<u>East North Central</u>				
Ohio	9,562	216,016	22.4	18.6
Indiana	4,728	154,420	20.8	17.4
Illinois	4,163	97,409	18.5	14.9
Michigan	6,467	157,508	13.2	10.1
Wisconsin	3,242	89,781	37.2	37.7
Total	28,162	715,134	21.1	18.4
<u>West North Central</u>				
Minnesota	2,689	73,142	30.5	30.1
Iowa	3,713	66,443	28.0	27.0
Missouri	1,676	60,871	15.6	11.8
North Dakota	1,370	22,096	7.9	7.0
South Dakota	1,415	22,277	21.1	14.6
Nebraska	6,049	45,828	63.8	40.1
Kansas	1,236	46,132	49.3	41.8
Total	18,148	336,789	38.6	16.1
<u>South Atlantic</u>				
Delaware	227	8,975	35.7	22.5
Maryland	1,186	34,047	48.6	29.4
Virginia	3,309	146,243	-	-
West Virginia	1,409	36,258	19.5	15.5
North Carolina	3,068	97,954	29.3	16.8
South Carolina	2,189	65,403	12.1	7.7
Georgia	3,903	157,233	4.5	3.1
Florida	3,275	107,672	13.4	6.8
Total	18,566	653,785	14.6	7.9

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-60-- Percentage of Non-Integrated 4-H Units and Youth Enrolled in Units in Racially-Ethnically Mixed Communities by Region and State, 1977 (continued)

Region/State	Total		Non-Integrated 1/	
	4-H Units	Youth	4-H Units	Youth
	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>East South Central:</u>				
Kentucky	5,244	166,803	10.0	7.1
Tennessee	5,684	171,997	11.6	9.3
Alabama	3,366	113,690	16.2	10.0
Mississippi	2,615	207,633	23.2	6.6
Total	16,909	660,123	13.8	8.0
<u>West South Central:</u>				
Arkansas	1,794	47,832	9.4	5.8
Lousiana	1,661	83,250	11.7	9.6
Oklahoma	3,046	145,177	10.2	5.7
Texas	2,699	103,562	8.4	5.9
Total	9,200	379,821	9.8	6.6
<u>Mountain</u>				
Montana	959	17,397	35.3	33.5
Idaho	1,933	32,456	46.5	39.8
Wyoming	927	14,535	37.1	32.0
Colorado	1,785	58,047	28.4	11.7
New Mexico	570	20,378	24.0	9.6
Arizona	1,323	29,921	37.2	16.3
Utah	3,755	36,932	64.9	43.8
Nevada	509	7,280	8.3	6.7
Total	11,761	216,946	44.2	24.8
<u>Pacific</u>				
Washington	2,016	29,854	29.9	27.6
Oregon	4,348	49,109	31.1	24.3
California	2,350	104,167	8.4	4.6
Total	8,714	183,130	24.7	13.6
<u>Grand Total</u>	<u>137,343</u>	<u>4,028,789</u>	<u>22.9</u>	<u>12.4</u>

1/ Non-Integrated units in racially/ethnically mixed communities were composed of members of one ethnic group.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

4-H Camps

In addition to the 4-H club membership and special short-term educational activities, there are summer youth camps organized and conducted by charging a nominal fee. These camp sessions vary in duration lasting from one day to a week. The summer camp sessions are supervised and led by adult volunteer leaders and Extension staff members and generally cover one or more projects or areas of interest.

Highlights of Youth Attendance at 4-H Camps, 1977

Region	Camp Sessions	Total	Percentage Attending	
			Not of Hispanic Origin	
			White	Black
	No.	No.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	301	19,569	95.0	3.2
Middle Atlantic	1,425	33,138	92.0	6.8
East North Central	1,482	70,278	73.1	24.7
West North Central	1,856	54,896	93.9	2.8
South Atlantic	2,421	99,999	66.6	32.2
East South Central	661	35,957	79.9	20.0
West South Central	783	27,543	72.7	22.0
Mountain	503	20,396	81.3	4.7
Pacific	321	13,801	80.5	9.2
Total	9,753	375,577	78.6	18.5
Percentage Attending				
	Hispanic	American Indian/ Alaskan Native	Asian/ Pacific Islander	Other
	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	0.6	0.7	0.1	0.4
Middle Atlantic	.6	.2	.3	.1
East North Central	1.6	.3	.2	.1
West North Central	.6	2.1	.5	.1
South Atlantic	.6	.5	.1	1/
East South Central	1/	1/	1/	1/
West South Central	3.2	2.0	1/	1/
Mountain	11.0	2.0	.7	.2
Pacific	6.0	1.9	1.7	.6
Total	1.7	.9	.2	.1

1/ Less than 0.05 percent.

Table 3-61.-- Number of Extension 4-H Youth Conducted Camp Sessions and Percentage of Youth Attending by Region, State and Ethnic Group, 1977

Region/State	Camp Sessions:	Youth Attending					
		: Not of Hispanic Origin:			: American Indian/Asian/Pacific :		
		White :	Black :	Hispanic :	Alaskan Native :	Islander :	Other :
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
<u>New England</u>							
Maine	98	97.6	0.1	0.1	1.6	0.1	0.5
New Hampshire	7	99.2	.6	-	.1	.1	-
Vermont	62	99.0	.4	.1	.5	1/	-
Massachusetts	98	94.4	3.3	.8	.5	.2	.8
Rhode Island	3	40.5	50.4	9.1	-	-	-
Connecticut	33	90.4	8.3	1.0	.2	.1	-
Total	301	95.0	3.2	.6	.7	.1	.4
<u>Middle Atlantic</u>							
New York	1,109	93.4	5.6	.3	.3	.3	.1
New Jersey	102	86.6	11.3	1.2	1/	.5	.3
Pennsylvania	214	92.5	6.3	.9	.1	.1	.1
Total	1,425	92.0	6.8	.6	.2	.3	.1
<u>East North Central</u>							
Ohio	294	66.5	31.0	2.0	1/	.3	.1
Indiana	340	59.4	38.3	1.9	.1	.2	.1
Illinois	350	92.6	6.5	.2	1/	.1	.6
Michigan	286	75.3	20.7	2.9	.8	.2	.1
Wisconsin	212	97.1	1.8	.2	.8	1/	.1
Total	1,482	73.1	24.7	1.6	.3	.2	.1

Continued

Table 3-61.-- Number of Extension 4-H Youth Conducted Camp Sessions and Percentage of Youth Attending by Region, State and Ethnic Group, 1977 (continued)

Region/State	Camp Sessions	Youth Attending											
		Total			Not of Hispanic Origin:		Hispanic		American Indian/Alaskan Native		Asian/Pacific Islander		Other
		No.	White	Pct.	Black	Pct.	Hispanic	Pct.	Alaskan Native	Pct.	Islander	Pct.	
West North Central													
Minnesota	179	12,730	94.9	0.4		0.3		3.5		0.8		0.1	
Iowa	333	12,277	94.9	3.9		.5		.2		.3		.2	
Missouri	252	8,301	93.6	5.9		.3		.2		-		1/	
North Dakota	207	3,477	93.8	.1		1/		3.3		2.6		1/	
South Dakota	79	3,112	88.0	.2		1.4		10.1		.3		.2	
Nebraska	508	6,469	92.3	3.7		1.2		2.7		.1		1/	
Kansas	298	8,530	95.2	2.9		1.2		.4		.2		1/	
Total	1,856	54,896	93.9	2.8		.6		2.1		.5		.1	
South Atlantic													
Delaware	57	3,596	14.4	85.5		.1		1/		-		-	
Maryland	270	11,397	49.8	48.2		1.5		1/		.4		.1	
Virginia	144	14,376	82.2	17.6		-		-		-		.2	
West Virginia	134	14,607	92.5	7.1		.2		.1		.1		1/	
North Carolina	571	18,427	58.2	40.0		1/		1.7		1/		1/	
South Carolina	250	7,229	53.0	46.9		-		1/		.1		-	
Georgia	878	23,060	71.1	28.2		.2		.5		1/		1/	
Florida	117	7,307	56.7	38.7		4.2		.4		-		-	
Total	2,421	99,999	66.6	32.2		.6		.5		.1		1/	

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-61.-- Number of Extension 4-H Youth Conducted Camp Sessions and Percentage of Youth Attending by Region, State and Ethnic Group, 1977 (continued)

Region/State	Camp Sessions	Youth Attending									
		:Not of Hispanic Origin:					:American Indian/:Asian/Pacific :				
		Total	White	Black	Hispanic		Alaskan Native	Islander	Other		
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.		
East South Central:											
Kentucky	453	20,267	82.4	17.5	1/	1/	.1	1/	1/		
Tennessee	163	10,872	90.3	9.7	1/	-	-	-	-		
Alabama	18	1,074	41.9	58.1	-	-	-	-	-		
Mississippi	27	3,744	47.7	52.3	-	-	-	-	-		
Total	661	35,957	79.9	20.0	1/	1/	1/	1/	1/		
West South Central:											
Arkansas	136	5,139	38.2	61.7	.1	1/	-	-	-		
Louisiana	155	5,680	78.4	21.6	-	-	-	-	-		
Oklahoma	173	6,367	84.6	5.7	.8	8.7	.1	.1	.1		
Texas	319	10,357	79.3	12.5	8.0	1/	1/	1/	1/		
Total	783	27,543	72.7	22.0	3.2	2.0	1/	1/	1/		
Mountain											
Montana	47	2,329	93.2	-	.3	6.3	.1	-	-		
Idaho	94	3,954	91.2	.6	4.2	2.4	1.5	.1	.1		
Wyoming	26	1,904	97.1	.3	1.7	.8	.1	-	-		
Colorado	68	2,498	58.7	12.2	27.3	.6	1.0	.2	.2		
New Mexico	73	1,835	47.5	6.5	44.3	.9	.2	.5	.5		
Arizona	72	1,810	47.7	27.5	23.6	1.2	-	-	-		
Utah	58	4,855	95.8	.3	1.8	1.0	1.0	.1	.1		
Nevada	65	1,211	90.8	.1	3.3	4.5	.2	1.2	1.2		
Total	503	20,396	81.3	4.7	11.0	2.0	.7	.2	.2		

Continued

Table 3-6].-- Number of Extension 4-H Youth Conducted Camp Sessions and Percentage of Youth Attending by Region, State and Ethnic Group, 1977 (continued)

Region/State:	Camp Sessions:	Youth Attending					
		Not of Hispanic Origin:			American Indian/Asian/Pacific:		
		Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Alaskan Native	Islander
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
Pacific							
Washington	104	3,425	90.7	2.7	3.2	2.2	.5
Oregon	123	5,499	76.4	13.8	6.7	2.3	.8
California	94	4,877	78.1	8.7	7.1	1.1	3.6
Total	321	13,801	80.5	9.2	6.0	1.9	1.7
Grand Total:	9,753	375,577	78.6	18.5	1.7	.9	.2
1/ Less than 0.05 percent.							.1

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table -- Staff Members Assisting at 4-H Camp Sessions by Region, and Ethnic Group, 1977

Region	Total	Percentage Participating					
		Not of Hispanic Origin:			American Indian/Asian/Pacific:		
		Total	White	Black	Hispanic	Alaskan Native	Islander
	No.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.	Pct.
New England	1,749	95.1	3.1		0.2	0.6	1/
Middle Atlantic	5,174	94.0	5.3		.3	.2	1/
East North Central	7,305	81.7	16.7		1.1	.4	.1
West North Central	7,542	93.4	4.9		.2	1.2	1/
South Atlantic	12,653	76.8	21.4		.1	.6	1.0
East South Central	2,979	84.1	15.6		.1	-	.2
West South Central	3,251	77.2	15.9		4.4	2.2	1/
Mountain	2,989	88.0	3.1		7.2	1.1	.5
Pacific	2,259	86.9	5.4		3.5	1.0	2.8
Total	45,901	84.7	12.7		1.2	.8	.2
1/ Less than 0.05 percent.							.4

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

STATE AND COUNTY PROFESSIONAL EMPLOYMENT

County Extension Agents in Agriculture, Community Resource Development, Home Economics and 4-H Youth programs; Area and State Specialists and different categories of paraprofessionals are employed to conduct joint SEA-Extension, USDA and Land-grant Institutions educational programs adapted to local clientele needs and problems.

Highlights of State and County Extension Service Professional Personnel, 1978 ^{1/}

Region	Ethnic Group					
	Total	Not of Hispanic Origin	Hispanic	American Indian/Alaskan Native	Asian/Pacific Islander	
	No.	White 2/	Black			No.
New England	602	585	15	2	-	-
Middle Atlantic	1,377	1,322	34	19	-	2
South Atlantic	3,328	2,874	436	2	2	14
East South Central	2,037	1,773	260	2	-	2
West South Central	2,286	2,042	209	25	9	1
East North Central	2,576	2,537	34	2	1	2
West North Central	2,643	2,603	32	2	2	4
Mountain	1,071	1,017	7	37	4	6
Pacific	997	959	16	15	1	6
Other Areas	424	75	29	267	2	51
48 States Total ^{3/}	16,917	15,712	1,043	106	19	37
Grand Total ^{4/}	17,341	15,787	1,072	373	21	88

^{1/} As of March 1978.

^{2/} Includes "Other".

^{3/} Excludes "Other Areas" category (Alaska, Hawaii, District of Columbia, Puerto Rico, and Virgin Islands).

^{4/} Includes "Other Areas" category.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

Table 3-63.--Number of State and County Extension Service Professional Personnel by Region and Ethnic Group, as of April 1977 and March 1978

Region/State	Ethnic Group											
	Not of Hispanic Origin :				Hispanic				American Indian/ Alaskan Native			
	White 1/		Black :		1977		1978		1977		1978	
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
New England												
Connecticut	103	103	7	7	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maine	104	106	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Massachusetts	167	155	5	4	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
New Hampshire	68	75	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Rhode Island	62	54	3	3	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Vermont	83	92	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	587	585	15	15	2	2	1	1	-	-	-	-
Middle Atlantic												
New Jersey	134	138	9	10	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
New York	775	773	13	15	19	18	-	-	-	2	2	2
Pennsylvania	430	411	12	9	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,339	1,322	34	34	20	19	-	-	2	2	2	2
South Atlantic												
Delaware	42	39	11	11	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Florida	410	430	24	29	-	2	-	-	-	1	1	1
Georgia	514	473	51	47	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Maryland	232	233	29	32	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Carolina	638	628	136	130	-	-	2	2	-	12	11	11
South Carolina	289	280	87	81	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virginia	566	566	88	94	-	-	-	-	-	1	2	2
West Virginia	223	225	10	12	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	2,914	2,874	436	436	-	2	2	2	2	14	14	14

Continued

See footnote at end of table.

Table 3-63.--Number of State and County Extension Service Professional Personnel by Region and Ethnic Group, as of April 1977 and March 1978 (Continued)

Region/State	Ethnic Group											
	Not of Hispanic Origin :				Hispanic				American Indian/Alaskan Native :			
	White		Black						Alaskan Native		Asian/Pacific Islander	
	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
East South Central:												
Alabama	374	390	131	128	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Kentucky	522	530	18	18	-	-	-	-	-	-	2	2
Mississippi	387	376	78	72	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Tennessee	463	477	41	42	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	1,746	1,773	268	260	1	2	-	-	-	-	2	2
West South Central:												
Arkansas	343	349	56	53	-	-	1	2	-	-	-	-
Louisiana	393	382	45	42	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Oklahoma	346	352	21	21	-	-	8	7	-	-	-	-
Texas	934	959	92	93	27	25	-	-	1	1	1	1
Total	2,016	2,042	214	209	27	25	9	9	1	1	1	1
East North Central:												
Indiana	491	511	8	6	-	-	-	-	1	1	1	1
Illinois	556	573	12	13	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Michigan	437	413	11	10	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-
Ohio	471	444	1	1	-	-	-	-	2	2	1	1
Wisconsin	587	596	4	4	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-
Total	2,542	2,537	36	34	2	2	-	1	3	3	2	2

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-63.--Number of State and County Extension Service Professional Personnel by Region and Ethnic Group, as of April 1977 and March 1978 (Continued)

Region/State	Ethnic Group											
	Not of Hispanic Origin:				Hispanic				American Indian/ Alaskan Native			
	White 1/		Black								Asian/Pacific Islander	
	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
West North Central:												
Iowa	469	488	4	5	1	1	-	-	-	-	3	4
Kansas	470	471	2	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Minnesota	469	495	1	1	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-
Missouri	558	514	22	24	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Nebraska	308	301	-	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
North Dakota	146	135	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
South Dakota	199	199	-	-	-	-	2	2	-	-	-	-
Total	2,619	2,603	29	32	2	2	2	2	3	2	3	4
Mountain												
Arizona	145	130	2	2	4	4	2	-	-	-	-	-
Colorado	218	219	2	2	2	2	-	-	-	-	1	1
Idaho	132	133	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
Montana	142	146	-	-	1	2	1	1	1	1	-	-
Nevada	75	67	1	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	1	1
New Mexico	102	104	-	-	22	29	1	2	2	2	2	2
Utah	123	123	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	-	-
Wyoming	98	95	-	-	-	-	1	-	-	-	1	1
Total	1,035	1,017	7	7	29	37	6	4	6	6	6	6
Pacific												
California	456	452	14	11	8	11	-	-	-	-	5	5
Oregon	293	270	3	3	3	4	1	1	1	1	1	1
Washington	214	237	2	2	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	963	959	19	16	11	15	1	1	6	6	6	6

See footnote at end of table.

Continued

Table 3-63.--Number of State and County Extension Service Professional Personnel by Region and Ethnic Group, as of April 1977 and March 1978 (Continued)

Region/State	Ethnic Group											
	Not of Hispanic Origin				Hispanic				American Indian/ Alaskan Native			
	White	1/	Black		1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978	1977	1978
	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.	No.
Other Areas												
Alaska	22	28	-	-	-	1	1	2	-	-	-	-
Dist. of Columbia	3	3	28	28	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Hawaii	42	43	-	-	1	1	-	-	-	-	50	51
Puerto Rico	-	-	-	-	274	265	-	-	-	-	-	-
Virgin Islands	1	1	3	1	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Total	68	75	31	29	275	267	1	2	50	51	37	88
48 State Total	15,761	15,712	1,058	1,043	94	106	21	19	37	37	87	88
Grand Total 3/	15,829	15,787	1,089	1,072	369	373	22	21	87	87	87	88

1/ Includes "Other".

2/ Excludes "Other Areas" category.

3/ Includes "Other Areas" category.

NOTE: A dash "-" signifies zero.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

The Soil Conservation Service (SCS) provides technical assistance through 2,939 soil and water conservation districts, including 5 grazing or irrigation districts, to landowners and operators who are members of a district and request assistance. These districts cover over 2.2 billion acres with more than 2.7 million farms or ranches.

Soil and water conservation districts are organized and managed by land users through district governing bodies under State law. Purposes of SCS programs are to assist farmers in (1) adopting soil conservation practices and (2) developing land and water resources. The number of new cooperators each year is added to the body of active cooperators to arrive at total cumulative soil conservation district cooperators.

Those eligible for receipt of SCS technical assistance are the operating units within a district. An "operating unit" is defined as all land that is operated as a single management unit, regardless of the number of tracts involved and whether or not they are contiguous.

Soil Conservation Service is the first USDA Agency to provide Racial/ethnic data by county for the Equal Opportunity Report. Racial/ethnic participation data is shown by State total and then by county for 15 States having a minimum of 4000 minority operating units. County data for the remaining 34 States is available, but was not included due to publication limitations.

Targets for delivery of benefits to minority group members for Fiscal Year 1976 were established (1) to provide technical assistance to minority individuals in the same proportion as that provided to majority individuals, based on requests received, and (2) to enter into Great Plains Conservation Program contracts with minority individuals in the same proportion as entered into with majority individuals based on contract applications received.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-64.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE IN 49 STATES, MINORITY AND WHITE, FISCAL YEAR 1977

STATE	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
	MINORITY					WHITE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
	NO.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-64.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE IN 49 STATES, MINORITY AND WHITE, FISCAL YEAR 1977

STATE	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCT. PTS.
	NU.	NU.	NU.	NU.		NU.	NU.	NU.	NU.	
TENNESSEE	4,374	1,964	145,478	64,904	44.6					.3
TEXAS	13,604	9,227	275,883	182,078	66.0					1.8
UTAH	281	106	18,859	11,394	60.3					22.6-
VERMONT	63	20	18,253	12,099	66.3					34.6-
VIRGINIA	6,192	2,372	102,189	38,520	37.7					8.7-
WASHINGTON	2,110	282	61,168	22,198	36.3					22.9-
WEST VIRGINIA	259	111	90,492	44,702	49.4					6.5-
WISCONSIN	206	81	118,840	48,560	40.9					1.6-
WYOMING	151	67	10,414	6,814	65.4					21.0-
TOTAL	167,802	66,279	3,929,313	2,069,242	52.7					13.2-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-65.--TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE IN 49 STATES, MINORITY AND WHITE, FISCAL YEAR 1977

STATE	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE : : PCI. :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE : : PCI. :
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
ALABAMA	3,054	2,090	68.4	47,198	22,581	47.8	20.6			
ALASKA	9	12	133.3	630	586	93.0	40.3			
ARIZONA	192	154	80.2	4,383	2,965	67.6	12.6			
ARKANSAS	4,603	1,651	35.9	84,944	33,239	39.1	3.2-			
CALIFORNIA	1,592	757	47.6	34,293	19,986	58.3	10.7-			
COLORADO	834	557	66.8	21,930	12,889	58.8	8.0			
CONNECTICUT	3	18	600.0	3,258	2,629	80.7	519.3			
DELAWARE	59	14	23.7	3,585	1,051	29.3	5.6-			
FLORIDA	1,078	400	37.1	22,619	11,978	53.0	15.9-			
GEORGIA	3,784	2,057	54.4	83,915	31,819	37.9	16.5			
IDAHO	200	84	42.0	20,951	11,902	56.8	14.8-			
ILLINOIS	160	78	48.8	69,093	23,524	34.0	14.8			
INDIANA	99	68	68.7	66,211	27,318	41.3	27.4			
IOWA	35	117	334.3	103,027	25,528	24.8	309.5			
KANSAS	502	97	19.3	94,414	24,666	26.1	6.8-			
KENTUCKY	3,062	346	11.3	75,441	22,889	30.3	19.0-			
LOUISIANA	3,870	1,882	48.6	41,356	17,613	42.6	6.0			
MAINE	14	9	64.3	12,173	6,124	50.3	14.0			
MARYLAND	394	135	34.3	16,686	6,179	37.0	2.7-			
MASSACHUSETTS	135	10	7.4	7,834	1,400	17.9	10.5-			
MICHIGAN	392	137	34.9	60,366	23,792	39.4	4.5-			
MINNESOTA	114	21	18.4	64,530	22,700	35.2	16.8-			
MISSISSIPPI	11,573	4,417	38.2	67,342	28,829	42.8	4.6-			
MISSOURI	1,465	73	5.0	54,333	28,578	52.6	47.6-			
MONTANA	254	167	65.7	14,091	8,487	60.2	5.5			
NEBRASKA	82	17	20.7	71,375	23,720	33.2	12.5-			
NEVADA	27	23	85.2	2,150	1,550	72.1	13.1			
NEW HAMPSHIRE	20	10	50.0	6,250	5,753	92.0	42.0-			
NEW JERSEY	64	24	37.5	6,422	2,985	46.5	9.0-			
NEW MEXICO	3,493	1,506	43.1	8,633	4,583	53.1	10.0-			
NEW YORK	149	53	35.6	44,822	16,933	37.8	2.2-			
NORTH CAROLINA	4,406	1,952	44.3	71,458	28,727	40.2	4.1			
NORTH DAKOTA	76	70	92.1	37,542	21,694	57.8	34.3			
OHIO	287	158	55.1	80,370	34,714	43.2	11.9			
OKLAHOMA	2,838	1,208	42.6	95,589	37,571	39.3	3.3			
OREGON	196	133	67.9	17,905	7,733	43.2	24.7			
PENNSYLVANIA	100	56	56.0	53,955	18,405	34.1	21.9			
RHODE ISLAND	8	3	37.5	1,427	723	50.7	13.2-			
SOUTH CAROLINA	2,521	1,315	52.2	30,799	14,659	47.6	4.6			
SOUTH DAKOTA	305	248	81.3	34,673	16,169	46.6	34.7			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-65.--TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE IN 49 STATES, MINORITY AND WHITE, FISCAL YEAR 1977

STATE	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY		WHITE		RECIPIENTS		PERCENTAGE POINT			
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: DIFFERENCE :	
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PTS.
TENNESSEE	1,564	34.5	678	34.5	24,591	37.9	3.4-			
TEXAS	9,227	64.0	5,907	64.0	107,106	58.8	5.2			
UTAH	106	45.3	48	45.3	5,879	51.6	6.3-			
VERMONT	20	10.0	2	10.0	3,830	31.7	21.7-			
VIRGINIA	2,372	29.3	695	29.3	15,802	41.0	11.7-			
WASHINGTON	282	72.3	204	72.3	15,010	67.6	4.7			
WEST VIRGINIA	111	71.2	79	71.2	19,737	44.2	27.0			
WISCONSIN	81	35.8	29	35.8	14,729	30.3	5.5			
WYOMING	6.	50.7	34	50.7	4,171	61.2	10.5-			
TOTAL	66,279	45.0	29,803	45.0	866,026	41.9	3.1			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-66.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ALABAMA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING : UNITS	NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS	NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCI.	
AUTAUGA	90	74	82.2	918	743	80.9					1.3
BALDWIN	20	7	35.0	2,180	1,164	53.4					18.4-
BARBOUR	189	30	15.9	1,512	459	30.4					14.5-
BIBB	86	26	30.2	882	575	65.2					35.0-
BLOUNT	5	3	60.0	2,211	1,027	46.4					13.6
BULLOCK	160	117	73.1	813	618	76.0					2.9-
BUTLER	300	49	16.3	1,183	603	51.0					34.7-
CALHOUN	129	32	24.8	1,887	1,419	75.2					50.4-
CHAMBERS	162	27	16.7	778	625	80.3					63.6-
CHEROKEE	60	28	46.7	1,285	852	66.3					19.6-
CHILTON	50	34	68.0	1,280	772	60.3					7.7
CHOCTAW	370	52	14.1	700	271	38.7					24.6-
CLARKE	300	85	28.3	1,400	700	50.0					21.7-
CLAY	24	11	45.8	1,378	906	65.7					19.9-
CLEBURNE	10	1	10.0	1,202	612	50.9					40.9-
COFFEE	80	11	13.8	1,264	514	40.7					26.9-
CULBERT	57	19	33.3	1,285	368	28.6					4.7
CUNECUH	200	80	40.0	1,200	757	63.1					23.1-
COUSA	45	26	57.8	817	494	60.5					2.7-
CUVINGTON	30	16	53.3	2,100	515	24.5					28.8
CRENSHAW	82	36	43.9	1,429	615	43.0					.9
CULLMAN	24	9	37.5	3,500	1,309	37.4					.1
DALE	27	12	44.4	810	505	62.3					17.9-
DALLAS	275	97	35.3	905	638	70.5					35.2-
DE KALB	5	1	20.0	3,975	1,458	36.7					16.7-
ELMORE	155	82	52.9	1,417	829	58.5					5.6-
ESCAMBIA	76	33	43.4	1,142	752	65.8					22.4-
ETOWAH	10	3	30.0	1,865	879	47.1					17.1-
FAYETTE	60	11	18.3	1,500	226	15.1					3.2
FRANKLIN	23	15	65.2	1,180	706	59.8					5.4
GENEVA	60	11	18.3	2,140	703	32.9					14.6-
GREENE	382	184	48.2	749	509	68.0					19.8-
HALE	295	129	43.7	955	594	62.2					18.5-
HENRY	80	37	46.3	930	737	79.2					32.9-
HOUSTON	57	23	40.4	1,628	816	50.1					9.7-
JACKSON	52	5	9.6	2,503	959	38.3					28.7-
JEFFERSON	20	13	65.0	1,170	680	58.1					6.9
LAMAR	75	16	21.3	1,295	457	35.3					14.0-
LAUDERDALE	81	12	14.8	1,752	447	25.5					10.7-
LAWRENCE	105	29	27.6	1,652	1,171	70.9					43.3-

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-66--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ALABAMA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCI.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	UNITS	NO.	COOPERATORS	NO.		UNITS	NO.	COOPERATORS	NO.	
LEE	74	57	77.0	1,120		766	68.4	8.6		
LIMESTONE	154	37	24.0	2,075		979	47.2	23.2-		
LUMDES	225	160	71.1	608		474	76.0	6.9-		
MACON	176	108	61.4	708		381	53.8	7.6		
MAUISON	0	63	*	1,600		728	45.5	.0		
MARENGO	546	130	23.6	1,046		634	60.6	36.8-		
MARION	27	15	55.6	1,344		758	56.4	.8-		
MARSHALL	15	5	33.3	3,429		774	22.6	10.7		
MOBILE	73	17	23.3	987		600	60.8	37.5-		
MUNROE	300	64	21.3	1,350		800	59.3	38.0-		
MONTGOMERY	215	114	53.0	827		780	94.3	41.3-		
MORGAN	129	15	11.6	2,216		741	33.4	21.8-		
PERRY	245	48	19.6	687		653	95.1	75.5-		
PICKENS	227	76	33.5	1,505		629	41.8	8.3-		
PIKE	28	14	50.0	1,050		712	67.8	17.8-		
RANDOLPH	224	49	21.9	1,273		845	66.4	44.5-		
RUSSELL	180	99	55.0	530		431	81.3	26.3-		
ST CLAIR	78	9	11.5	1,978		721	36.5	25.0-		
SHELBY	12	6	50.0	875		509	58.2	8.2-		
SUMTER	464	170	36.6	496		351	78.8	42.2-		
TALLADEGA	300	90	30.0	1,543		1,174	76.1	46.1-		
TALLAPOOSA	75	42	56.0	1,100		642	58.4	2.4-		
TUSCALOOSA	80	19	23.8	1,684		894	53.1	29.3-		
WALKER	2	1	50.0	900		663	73.7	23.7-		
WASHINGTON	100	6	6.0	600		360	60.0	54.0-		
WILCOX	357	154	43.1	843		465	55.2	12.1-		
WINSTON	0	0	*	1,100		710	64.5	.0		
TOTAL	8,617	3,054	35.4	92,246		47,198	51.2	15.8-		

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-67.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		
ARKANSAS	85	63	74.1	1,315	1,019	77.5				3.4-	
ASHLEY	101	74	73.3	1,086	1,006	92.6				19.3-	
BAXTER	0	0	*	1,044	519	49.7				.0	
BENTON	2	2	100.0	3,748	1,337	35.7				64.3	
BOONE	0	0	*	2,230	1,401	62.8				.0	
BRADLEY	142	91	64.1	1,152	869	75.4				11.3-	
CALHOUN	80	72	90.0	1,112	894	80.4				9.6	
CARROLL	15	2	13.3	1,565	913	58.3				45.0-	
CHICOT	181	131	72.4	874	778	89.0				16.6-	
CLARK	74	50	67.6	1,010	717	71.0				3.4-	
CLAY	0	0	*	1,967	1,785	90.7				.0	
CLEBURNE	0	0	*	1,390	915	65.8				.0	
CLEVELAND	56	47	83.9	1,103	846	76.7				7.2	
COLUMBIA	180	138	76.7	1,808	1,653	91.4				14.7-	
CUNWAY	400	330	82.5	1,785	1,550	86.8				4.3-	
CRAIGHEAD	3	0	.0	2,458	2,036	82.8				82.6-	
CRAWFORD	50	5	10.0	1,729	1,182	68.4				58.4-	
CRITTENDEN	390	242	62.1	478	421	88.1				26.0-	
CROSS	80	68	85.0	1,029	869	84.5				.5	
DALLAS	93	87	93.5	985	934	94.8				1.3-	
DESHA	148	112	75.7	857	652	76.1				.4-	
UREW	275	152	55.3	1,375	1,144	83.2				27.9-	
FAULKNER	82	55	67.1	2,338	1,796	76.8				9.7-	
FRANKLIN	4	4	100.0	1,481	1,229	83.0				17.0	
FULTON	0	0	*	1,796	1,312	73.1				.0	
GARLAND	7	3	42.9	776	643	82.9				40.0-	
GRANT	30	26	86.7	1,153	895	77.6				9.1	
GREENE	0	0	*	1,965	1,746	88.9				.0	
HEMPSTEAD	265	222	83.8	1,930	1,230	63.7				20.1	
HOT SPRING	9	10	111.1	1,173	997	85.0				26.1	
HOWARD	125	81	64.8	1,097	828	75.5				10.7-	
INDEPENDENCE	8	4	50.0	2,075	1,358	65.4				15.4-	
IZARD	2	0	.0	2,000	905	45.3				45.3-	
JACKSON	46	33	71.7	1,154	931	80.7				9.0-	
JEFFERSON	375	268	71.5	1,050	756	72.0				.5-	
JOHNSON	1	1	100.0	1,774	1,434	80.8				19.2	
LAFAYETTE	100	63	63.0	1,140	621	54.5				8.5	
LAURENCE	0	0	*	2,123	1,803	84.9				.0	
LEE	579	459	79.3	961	776	80.7				1.4-	
LINCOLN	146	127	87.0	933	821	88.0				1.0-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-67.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :	MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PIS.
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :		
LITTLE RIVER	50	64	71.1	1,101	58.1	640	640	58.1	13.0	
LOGAN	40	28	70.0	2,554	82.6	2,110	2,110	82.6	12.6-	
LUNKE	86	48	55.8	2,301	67.6	1,555	1,555	67.6	11.8-	
MADISON	0	0	*	2,350	64.3	1,512	1,512	64.3	.0	
MARION	0	0	*	1,235	64.8	800	800	64.8	.0	
MILLER	75	42	56.0	1,380	74.1	1,022	1,022	74.1	18.1-	
MISSISSIPPI	25	20	80.0	2,375	90.2	2,143	2,143	90.2	10.2-	
MUNROE	229	176	76.9	1,114	63.8	711	711	63.8	13.1	
MONTGOMERY	0	0	*	1,200	53.9	647	647	53.9	.0	
NEVADA	87	52	59.8	1,388	81.3	1,129	1,129	81.3	21.5-	
NEWTON	0	0	*	1,248	62.2	776	776	62.2	.0	
OUACHITA	166	126	75.9	1,454	62.4	908	908	62.4	13.5	
PERRY	19	13	68.4	951	66.9	636	636	66.9	1.5	
PHILLIPS	564	462	81.9	768	86.2	662	662	86.2	4.3-	
PIKE	6	5	83.3	845	96.2	813	813	96.2	12.9-	
POINSETT	8	2	25.0	1,269	72.8	1,014	1,014	72.8	54.9-	
PULK	0	0	*	1,733	90.3	1,262	1,262	90.3	.0	
PUPE	26	16	61.5	1,994	89.9	1,800	1,800	89.9	8.1-	
PRAIRIE	22	18	81.8	1,078	65.0	969	969	65.0	.4	
PULASKI	78	51	65.4	1,437	94.1	934	934	94.1	5.9	
RANDOLPH	6	6	100.0	1,785	95.5	1,680	1,680	95.5	6.3-	
ST FRANCIS	231	206	89.2	838	79.2	800	800	79.2	4.2-	
SALINE	4	3	75.0	1,196	76.1	947	947	76.1	.0	
SCOTT	0	0	*	1,584	52.2	1,206	1,206	52.2	.0	
SEARCY	0	0	*	1,850	74.6	965	965	74.6	25.4	
SEBASTIAN	4	4	100.0	1,546	84.9	1,154	1,154	84.9	16.6-	
SEVIER	60	41	68.3	1,000	88.7	849	849	88.7	.0	
SHARP	0	0	*	1,084	77.6	962	962	77.6	77.6-	
STONE	1	0	.0	1,246	78.9	967	967	78.9	14.9-	
UNION	75	48	64.0	1,450	79.6	1,144	1,144	79.6	29.6-	
VAN BUREN	4	2	50.0	1,350	51.2	1,075	1,075	51.2	4.5-	
WASHINGTON	15	7	46.7	4,854	80.2	2,483	2,483	80.2	55.5-	
WHITE	12	3	25.0	3,239	92.9	2,608	2,608	92.9	10.5-	
WOODRUFF	159	131	82.4	1,901	86.1	1,837	1,837	86.1	8.3-	
YELL	9	7	77.8	1,977		1,703	1,703			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-67.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING	CUMULATIVE	OPERATING	CUMULATIVE	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING	CUMULATIVE	OPERATING	CUMULATIVE	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS	COOPERATORS	UNITS	COOPERATORS	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS	COOPERATORS	UNITS	COOPERATORS	MINORITY-WHITE
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	PCT. PIS.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	PCT. PIS.
TOTAL	6,235	4,603	73.8	114,694	84,944	74.1				.3-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-68.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN CALIFORNIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE										
	MINORITY					WHITE															
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :											
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :											
	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.
ALAMEDA	162	55	34.0	542	268	49.4	15.4-														
ALPINE	0	0	*	20	13	65.0	.0														
AMADOR	24	3	12.5	586	258	44.0	31.5-														
BUTTE	4	0	.0	95	3	3.2	3.2-														
CALAVERAS	0	0	*	0	0	*	.0														
CULUSA	10	6	60.0	481	304	63.2	3.2-														
CONTRA COSTA	60	78	130.0	748	420	56.1	73.9														
DEL NORTE	0	0	*	0	0	*	.0														
EL DORADO	5	5	100.0	899	674	75.0	25.0														
FRESNO	153	120	78.4	1,321	1,587	120.1	41.7-														
GLENN	17	0	.0	308	72	23.4	23.4-														
HUMBOLDT	0	0	*	0	0	*	.0														
IMPERIAL	173	50	28.9	2,432	1,154	47.5	18.6-														
INYO	20	3	15.0	71	32	45.1	30.1-														
KERN	71	17	23.9	1,958	403	20.6	3.3														
KINGS	62	37	59.7	1,045	672	64.3	4.6-														
LAKE	66	26	39.4	962	542	56.3	16.9-														
LASSEN	6	3	50.0	680	351	51.6	1.6-														
LUS ANGELES	57	24	42.1	1,751	1,151	65.7	23.6-														
MADERA	59	49	83.1	1,447	1,032	71.3	11.8														
MARIN	0	0	*	355	227	63.9	.0														
MARIPOSA	498	10	2.0	10,420	412	4.0	2.0-														
MENDOCINO	55	5	9.1	1,157	657	56.8	47.7-														
MERCED	108	44	40.7	2,123	727	34.2	6.5														
MODOC	20	14	70.0	1,662	406	24.4	45.6														
MONO	19	1	5.3	128	83	64.8	59.5-														
MONTEREY	114	46	40.4	1,211	998	82.4	42.0-														
NAPA	11	5	45.5	850	615	72.4	26.9-														
NEVADA	19	10	52.6	1,600	817	51.1	1.5														
OKANGE	9	10	111.1	297	47	15.8	95.3														
PLACER	137	109	79.6	1,640	948	57.8	21.8														
PLUMAS	70	2	2.9	290	144	49.7	46.8-														
RIVERSIDE	241	111	46.1	4,676	2,359	50.4	4.3-														
SACRAMENTO	100	71	71.0	2,114	701	33.2	37.8														
SAN BENITO	33	25	75.8	587	401	68.3	7.5														
SAN BERNARDINO	107	62	57.9	1,822	1,613	88.5	30.6-														
SAN DIEGO	260	43	16.5	8,684	2,702	31.1	14.6-														
SAN JUAQUIN	47	36	76.6	1,440	909	63.1	13.5														
SAN LUIS OBISPO	70	22	31.4	3,049	648	21.3	10.1														
SAN MATEO	55	35	63.6	365	288	78.9	15.3-														

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-68.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN CALIFORNIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	PCT.	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.
SANTA BARBARA	:	96	34	1,359	35.4	:	620	45.6	:	10.2-
SANTA CLARA	:	286	102	2,046	35.7	:	957	46.8	:	11.1-
SANTA CRUZ	:	55	26	630	47.3	:	572	90.8	:	43.5-
SHASTA	:	22	9	1,014	40.9	:	430	42.4	:	1.5-
SIERRA	:	14	2	14.3	14.3	:	73	37.1	:	22.8-
SISKIYOU	:	4	3	1,253	75.0	:	640	51.1	:	23.9
SOLANO	:	36	81	764	225.0	:	754	103.9	:	121.1
SUNOMA	:	24	19	3,472	79.2	:	1,566	45.1	:	34.1
STANISLAUS	:	64	35	1,392	54.7	:	704	50.6	:	4.1
SUTTER	:	30	8	1,225	26.7	:	290	23.7	:	3.0
TEHAMA	:	4	5	1,999	125.0	:	1,226	61.3	:	63.7
TRINITY	:	6	4	152	66.7	:	104	68.4	:	1.7-
TULARE	:	439	37	5,073	8.4	:	467	9.2	:	.8-
TUOLUMNE	:	0	0	0	*	:	0	*	:	.0
VENTURA	:	71	19	703	26.8	:	290	41.3	:	14.5-
YOLO	:	103	67	958	65.0	:	720	75.2	:	10.2-
YUBA	:	20	4	470	20.0	:	202	43.0	:	23.0-
TOTAL	:	4,196	1,592	82,523	37.9	:	34,293	41.6	:	3.7-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-69.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		
APPLING	55	15	27.3		1,286	656	51.0		23.7-		
ATKINSON	6	4	66.7		444	282	63.5		3.2		
BACON	9	0	.0		778	542	69.7		69.7-		
BAKER	98	45	45.9		320	172	53.8		7.9-		
BALDWIN	70	34	48.6		375	257	68.5		19.9-		
BANKS	12	5	41.7		1,204	771	64.0		22.3-		
BARROW	75	21	28.0		1,078	818	75.9		47.9-		
BARTOW	11	9	81.8		1,446	611	42.3		39.5		
BEN HILL	44	15	34.1		606	419	69.1		35.0-		
BERRIEN	10	1	10.0		840	646	76.9		66.9-		
BIBB	18	14	77.8		380	348	91.6		13.8-		
BLECKLEY	10	4	40.0		545	380	69.7		29.7-		
BRANTLEY	20	3	15.0		782	389	49.7		34.7-		
BROOKS	192	62	32.3		1,098	458	41.7		9.4-		
BRYAN	65	45	69.2		495	381	77.0		7.8-		
BULLOCH	151	70	46.4		1,999	974	48.7		2.3-		
BURKE	150	74	49.3		740	374	50.5		1.2-		
BUTTS	25	10	40.0		1,720	389	22.6		17.4		
CALHOUN	30	25	83.3		520	388	74.6		8.7		
CAMDEN	50	14	28.0		700	130	18.6		9.4		
CANULER	32	33	103.1		509	528	103.7		.6-		
CARROLL	65	59	90.8		3,750	2,390	63.7		27.1		
CATOOSA	7	4	57.1		811	493	60.8		3.7-		
CHARLTON	30	13	43.3		600	232	38.7		4.6		
CHATAM	28	21	75.0		300	239	79.7		4.7-		
CHATTAHOCHEE	8	0	.0		347	40	11.5		11.5-		
CHATTOUGA	31	21	67.7		843	477	56.6		11.1		
CHEROKEE	11	8	72.7		1,943	1,273	65.5		7.2		
CLARKE	62	25	40.3		506	352	69.6		29.3-		
CLAY	25	16	64.0		240	147	61.3		2.7		
CLAYTON	22	6	27.3		250	355	142.0		114.7-		
CLINCH	9	5	55.6		220	200	90.9		35.3-		
COBB	72	4	5.6		680	541	79.6		74.0-		
COFFEE	18	7	38.9		1,600	662	41.4		2.5-		
COLQUITT	15	12	80.0		1,480	1,003	67.8		12.2		
COLUMBIA	65	33	50.8		1,500	923	61.5		10.7-		
COOK	17	18	105.9		743	490	65.9		40.0		
COWETA	99	29	29.3		3,770	585	15.5		13.8		
CRAWFORD	97	66	68.0		295	235	79.7		11.7-		
CRISP	8	4	50.0		575	590	102.6		52.6-		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-69.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING : UNITS	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCT.	NO.	OPERATING : UNITS	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCT.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
DADE	2	2	100.0		698	449	64.3	35.7		
DAWSON	0	0	*		2,532	409	16.2	.0		
DECATUR	104	25	24.0		603	285	47.3	23.3-		
DE KALB	15	3	20.0		600	326	54.3	34.3-		
DOUG	35	17	48.6		1,214	525	43.2	5.4		
DOOLY	50	32	64.0		445	257	57.8	6.2		
DOUGHERTY	68	43	63.2		982	270	27.5	35.7		
DOUGLAS	39	14	35.9		2,961	455	15.4	20.5		
EARLY	230	79	34.3		782	609	77.9	43.6-		
ECHOLS	3	3	100.0		175	175	100.0	.0		
EFFINGHAM	72	5	6.9		985	558	56.6	49.7-		
ELBERT	140	27	19.3		1,389	788	56.7	37.4-		
EMANUEL	40	36	90.0		1,750	591	33.8	56.2		
EVANS	35	18	51.4		520	270	51.9	.5-		
FANNIN	0	0	*		1,950	701	35.9	.0		
FAYETTE	20	12	60.0		5,480	599	10.9	49.1		
FLOYD	35	13	37.1		1,025	694	67.7	30.6-		
FORSYTH	1	1	100.0		1,566	1,021	65.2	34.8		
FRANKLIN	25	15	60.0		1,744	1,200	68.8	8.8-		
FULTON	97	7	7.2		1,223	822	67.2	60.0-		
GILMER	0	0	*		964	799	82.9	.0		
GLASCOCK	4	4	100.0		530	367	69.2	30.8		
GLYNN	71	45	69.0		396	116	29.3	39.7		
GORDUN	16	7	43.8		1,240	954	76.9	33.1-		
GRADY	118	24	20.3		1,177	686	58.3	38.0-		
GREENE	169	40	23.7		520	366	70.4	46.7-		
GWINNETT	18	3	16.7		1,794	721	40.2	23.5-		
HABERSHAM	0	0	*		2,258	985	43.6	.0		
HALL	22	17	77.3		1,903	1,382	72.6	4.7		
HANCOCK	328	55	16.8		528	225	42.6	25.8-		
HARALSON	40	23	57.5		2,060	853	41.4	16.1		
HARRIS	70	36	51.4		2,390	781	32.7	18.7		
HART	76	36	47.4		2,030	1,172	57.7	10.3-		
HEARD	43	34	79.1		1,254	568	45.3	33.8		
HENRY	50	9	18.0		1,200	586	48.8	30.8-		
HOUSTON	60	46	76.7		300	251	83.7	7.0-		
IRWIN	39	11	28.2		707	618	87.4	59.2-		
JACKSON	110	27	24.5		2,220	952	42.9	18.4-		
JASPER	50	33	66.0		580	484	83.4	17.4-		
JEFF DAVIS	12	5	41.7		583	255	43.7	2.0-		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-69.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE MINORITY-WHITE PCI. PTS.
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCI.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCI.		
JEFFERSON	64	54	84.4		1,470		614	41.8		42.6	
JENKINS	146	21	14.4		753		320	42.5		28.1-	
JOHNSON	60	16	26.7		725		418	57.7		31.0-	
JONES	10	5	50.0		450		366	81.3		31.3-	
LAMAR	270	79	29.3		1,988		505	25.4		3.9	
LANIER	25	22	88.0		295		278	94.2		6.2-	
LAURENS	265	144	54.3		1,765		549	31.1		23.2	
LEE	100	26	26.0		300		250	83.3		57.3-	
LIBERTY	130	85	65.4		400		138	34.5		30.9	
LINCOLN	48	12	25.0		1,012		383	37.8		12.8-	
LONG	45	34	75.6		250		160	64.0		11.6	
LOWNDES	100	83	83.0		600		397	66.2		16.8	
LUMPKIN	2	2	100.0		2,766		810	29.3		70.7	
MCDOUFFIE	75	48	64.0		925		673	72.8		8.8-	
MCINTOSH	125	89	71.2		145		85	58.6		12.6	
MACON	140	125	89.3		495		374	75.6		13.7	
MADISON	88	59	67.0		2,209		1,440	65.2		1.8	
MARION	63	19	30.2		567		395	69.7		39.5-	
MERIWETHER	136	24	17.6		3,364		336	10.0		7.6	
MILLER	34	3	8.8		522		222	42.5		33.7-	
MITCHELL	60	35	58.3		1,065		566	53.1		5.2	
MUNROE	150	35	23.3		2,500		729	29.2		5.9-	
MONTGOMERY	60	20	33.3		599		598	99.8		66.5-	
MORGAN	56	29	51.8		606		495	81.7		29.9-	
MURKAY	0	0	*		814		443	54.4		.0	
MUSCUGEE	140	16	11.4		3,610		273	7.6		3.8	
NEWTON	25	12	48.0		810		464	57.3		9.3-	
OCONEE	39	15	38.5		582		576	99.0		60.5-	
OGLETHORPE	191	24	12.6		2,061		938	45.5		32.9-	
PAULDING	56	22	39.3		1,365		786	57.6		18.3-	
PEACH	35	24	68.6		275		240	87.3		18.7-	
PICKENS	4	0	.0		880		544	61.8		61.8-	
PIERCE	30	17	56.7		586		392	66.9		10.2-	
PIKE	43	13	30.2		1,605		602	37.5		7.3-	
PULK	78	26	33.3		990		751	75.9		42.6-	
PULASKI	60	29	48.3		80		241	301.3		253.0-	
PUTNAM	60	28	46.7		454		317	69.8		23.1-	
QUITMAN	14	16	114.3		94		82	87.2		27.1	
RABUN	1	1	100.0		1,019		687	67.4		32.6	
RANDOLPH	29	13	44.8		575		392	68.2		23.4-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-69.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS												PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY				WHITE								
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		COOPERATORS :		COOPERATORS :		
	UNITS	NO.	COOPERATORS	PCT.	UNITS	NO.	COOPERATORS	PCT.	UNITS	NO.	COOPERATORS	PCT.	
RICHMOND	53	10	18.9		588	346	58.8		39.9-				
ROCKDALE	10	4	40.0		370	206	55.7		15.7-				
SCHLEY	20	11	55.0		320	272	85.0		30.0-				
SCREVEN	178	50	28.1		1,108	667	60.2		32.1-				
SEMINOLE	45	23	51.1		295	211	71.5		20.4-				
SPALDING	30	8	26.7		1,985	325	16.4		10.3				
STEPHENS	8	9	112.5		2,244	821	36.6		75.9				
STEWART	72	40	55.6		318	312	98.1		42.5-				
SUMTER	50	36	72.0		795	617	77.6		5.6-				
TALBOT	80	40	50.0		1,070	534	49.9		.1				
TALIAFERRO	90	31	34.4		235	156	66.4		32.0-				
TATTINALL	58	8	8.2		1,208	132	10.9		2.7-				
TAYLOR	45	35	77.8		460	414	90.0		12.2-				
TELFAIR	64	43	67.2		657	405	61.6		5.6				
TERRELL	50	11	22.0		500	344	68.8		46.8-				
THOMAS	200	49	24.5		2,600	1,032	39.7		15.2-				
TIFT	43	9	20.9		707	558	78.9		58.0-				
TOOMBS	60	23	38.3		1,140	745	65.4		27.1-				
TOWNS	0	0	*		808	581	71.9		.0				
TREUTLEN	50	37	74.0		493	521	105.7		31.7-				
TRKUP	61	30	49.2		5,480	646	11.8		37.4				
TURNER	8	5	62.5		658	476	72.3		9.8-				
TWIGGS	119	14	11.8		315	251	79.7		67.9-				
UNION	0	0	*		1,400	761	54.4		.0				
UPSON	140	37	26.4		2,770	800	28.9		2.5-				
WALKER	73	25	34.2		1,552	1,350	87.0		52.8-				
WALTON	26	18	69.2		986	746	75.7		6.5-				
WARE	30	7	23.3		534	351	65.7		42.4-				
WARREN	86	55	64.0		692	478	69.1		5.1-				
WASHINGTON	60	13	21.7		925	550	59.5		37.8-				
WAYNE	9	3	33.3		1,140	532	46.7		13.4-				
WEBSTER	60	26	43.3		345	309	89.6		46.3-				
WHEELER	70	24	34.3		637	569	89.3		55.0-				
WHITE	18	2	11.1		1,990	708	35.6		24.5-				
WHITFIELD	7	3	42.9		1,354	549	40.5		2.4				
WILCOX	40	9	22.5		535	255	47.7		25.2-				
WILKES	131	13	9.9		975	425	43.6		33.7-				
WILKINSON	25	3	12.0		275	271	98.5		86.5-				
WORTH	63	30	47.6		887	595	67.1		19.5-				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-69. ---CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCT. PTS.
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
TOTAL	9,458	3,784	40.0	174,498	83,915	48.1	8.1-			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-70.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCI.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCI.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		
AUDAIR	57	17	29.8			2,129		736		34.6	4.8-
ALLEN	15	5	33.3			2,189		930		42.5	9.2-
ANDERSON	0	0	*			941		448		47.6	.0
BALLARD	35	22	62.9			1,007		511		50.7	12.2
BARREN	59	26	44.1			3,033		1,296		42.7	1.4
BATH	8	7	87.5			1,868		672		36.0	51.5
BELL	0	0	*			1,855		261		14.1	.0
BOONE	5	2	40.0			1,300		580		44.6	4.6-
BOURBON	10	5	90.0			1,026		593		57.8	32.2
BOYD	0	0	*			952		360		37.8	.0
BOYLE	10	4	40.0			720		380		52.8	12.8-
BRACKEN	3	2	66.7			1,027		661		64.4	2.3
BREATHITT	0	0	*			2,113		708		33.5	.0
BRECKINRIDGE	16	13	81.3			1,815		797		43.9	37.4
BULLITT	2	2	100.0			1,000		486		48.6	51.4
BUTLER	7	5	71.4			1,726		756		43.8	27.6
CALDWELL	13	9	69.2			1,200		728		60.7	8.5
CALLOWAY	8	6	75.0			1,931		900		46.6	28.4
CAMPBELL	0	0	*			838		564		67.3	.0
CARLISLE	0	0	*			941		720		76.5	.0
CARRULL	0	0	.0			558		319		57.2	57.2-
CARTER	0	0	*			1,850		532		28.8	.0
CASEY	7	3	42.9			2,620		997		38.1	4.8
CHRISTIAN	109	88	80.7			2,059		1,516		73.6	7.1
CLARK	6	5	83.3			1,465		647		44.2	39.1
CLAY	2	2	100.0			1,975		613		31.0	69.0
CLINTON	6	2	33.3			1,254		523		41.7	8.4-
CRITTENDEN	0	0	*			1,100		534		48.5	.0
CUMBERLAND	27	12	44.4			1,152		529		45.9	1.5-
DAVIESS	29	13	44.8			2,166		919		42.4	2.4
EDMONSON	6	5	83.3			1,169		1,112		95.1	11.8-
ELLIOTT	0	0	*			1,303		506		38.8	.0
ESTILL	0	0	*			1,743		669		38.4	.0
FAYETTE	29	9	31.0			859		484		56.3	25.3-
FLEMING	0	0	*			1,493		695		46.6	.0
FLOYD	0	1	*			1,875		780		41.6	.0
FRANKLIN	8	3	37.5			905		448		49.5	12.0-
FULTON	3	6	200.0			651		418		64.2	135.8
GALLATIN	1	1	100.0			470		320		68.1	31.9
GARRARD	20	11	55.0			1,090		514		47.2	7.8

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-70.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING : UNITS	NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS	NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS	NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	PCI.
GRANT	5	3	60.0		1,208	6	.5				59.5	
GRAVES	3,126	1,480	47.3		39	109	279.5				232.2-	
GRAYSON	0	0	*		2,001	976	48.8				.0	
GREEN	31	26	83.9		1,790	827	46.2				37.7	
GREENUP	0	0	*		1,690	619	36.6				.0	
HANCOCK	0	0	*		855	486	56.8				.0	
HARDIN	17	11	64.7		2,178	1,193	54.8				9.9	
HARLAN	6	0	.0		1,925	358	18.6				18.6-	
HARRISON	7	5	71.4		1,450	665	45.9				25.5	
HART	58	26	44.8		2,162	793	36.7				8.1	
HENDERSUN	25	7	28.0		1,144	599	52.4				24.4-	
HENRY	22	0	.0		1,225	627	51.2				51.2-	
HICKMAN	8	7	87.5		892	610	68.4				19.1	
HUPKINS	8	3	37.5		2,000	823	41.2				3.7-	
JACKSON	0	0	*		1,974	904	45.8				.0	
JEFFERSON	8	7	87.5		994	727	73.1				14.4	
JESSAMINE	25	16	64.0		789	513	65.0				1.0-	
JOHNSON	0	0	*		1,564	519	33.2				.0	
KENTON	4	4	100.0		825	670	81.2				18.8	
KNOTT	4	1	25.0		1,290	586	45.4				20.4-	
KNOX	2	0	.0		1,873	577	30.8				30.8-	
LARUE	12	7	58.3		1,091	563	51.6				6.7	
LAUREL	6	2	33.3		3,211	623	19.4				13.9	
LAWRENCE	0	0	*		990	708	71.5				.0	
LEE	3	2	66.7		1,630	460	28.2				38.5	
LESLIE	0	0	*		1,340	430	32.1				.0	
LETCHER	10	1	10.0		1,859	453	24.4				14.4-	
LEWIS	2	2	100.0		1,410	486	34.5				65.5	
LINCOLN	35	5	14.3		2,017	1,113	55.2				40.9-	
LIVINGSTON	2	1	50.0		941	543	57.7				7.7-	
LUGAN	40	25	62.5		2,245	1,509	67.2				4.7-	
LYCN	5	0	.0		380	293	77.1				77.1-	
MCCRACKEN	30	6	20.0		1,437	682	47.5				27.5-	
MCCRERY	0	0	*		1,729	155	9.0				.0	
MCLEAN	0	0	*		1,163	537	46.2				.0	
MADISON	48	18	37.5		1,885	807	42.8				5.3-	
MAGOFFIN	0	0	*		1,250	642	51.4				.0	
MARION	33	12	36.4		1,409	653	46.3				9.9-	
MARSHALL	0	0	*		1,874	732	39.1				.0	
MARTIN	0	0	*		967	229	23.7				.0	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-70.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :		
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :		
	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	PGI. PTS.
MASON	17	15	88.2	1,018	622	61.1	27.1					
MEADE	27	16	59.3	1,120	388	34.6	24.7					
MENIFEE	0	0	*	724	253	34.9	.0					
MERCER	10	5	50.0	1,232	620	50.3	.3-					
METCALFE	30	30	100.0	1,480	681	46.0	54.0					
MONROE	13	13	100.0	1,957	674	34.4	65.6					
MONTGOMERY	24	9	37.5	1,214	432	35.6	1.9					
MORGAN	0	0	*	1,500	463	30.9	.0					
MUHLENBERG	11	8	72.7	1,572	1,032	65.6	7.1					
NELSON	18	10	55.6	1,521	786	51.7	3.9					
NICHOLAS	0	0	*	1,042	605	58.1	.0					
OHIO	3	3	100.0	2,511	695	27.7	72.3					
OLDHAM	9	1	11.1	520	372	71.5	60.4-					
OWEN	27	10	37.0	1,351	1,081	80.0	43.0-					
OWSLEY	0	0	*	1,604	542	33.8	.0					
PENDLETON	0	0	*	1,390	922	66.3	.0					
PERRY	10	3	30.0	1,990	628	31.6	1.6-					
PIKE	0	0	*	2,790	313	11.2	.0					
POWELL	16	8	50.0	814	469	57.6	7.6-					
PULASKI	6	3	50.0	4,101	956	23.3	26.7					
RUBERTSON	4	2	50.0	596	425	71.3	21.3-					
RUICKCASTLE	0	0	*	1,510	468	31.0	.0					
RUWAN	0	0	*	1,089	596	54.7	.0					
RUSSELL	9	3	33.3	1,722	761	44.2	10.9-					
SCOTT	15	14	93.3	1,245	586	47.1	46.2					
SHELBY	20	10	50.0	1,700	757	44.5	5.5					
SIMPSON	18	10	55.5	1,219	540	44.3	99.8-					
SPENCER	8	0	.0	900	436	48.4	48.4-					
TAYLOR	33	0	.0	1,224	782	63.9	63.9-					
TUDD	25	24	96.0	1,381	1,144	82.8	13.2					
TRIGG	64	31	48.4	750	485	64.7	16.3-					
TRIMBLE	1	1	100.0	706	351	49.7	50.3					
UNION	1	1	100.0	766	584	76.2	23.8					
WARREN	73	43	58.9	2,083	1,098	52.7	6.2					
WASHINGTON	20	798	990.0	1,297	24	1.9	988.1					
WAYNE	27	9	33.3	1,743	595	34.1	.8-					
WEBSTER	7	6	85.7	1,313	714	54.4	31.3					
WHITLEY	2	2	100.0	3,048	449	14.7	85.3					
WOLFE	0	0	*	1,296	621	47.9	.0					
WOODFORD	24	7	29.2	729	524	71.9	42.7-					

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

CONTINUED

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE-

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-70.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCI, PIS,
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
TOTAL	4,020	3,062	66.3	173,832	75,441	43.4	22.9			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-7].--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN LOUISIANA

PARISH (COUNTY)	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : OF OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : OF CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : DIFFERENCE : MINORITY-WHITE : PCT. PIS.	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : OF OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : OF CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : DIFFERENCE : MINORITY-WHITE : PCT. PIS.
ACADIA	43	43	100.0	100.0	2,495	2,001	80.2	19.8		
ALLEN	131	51	38.9	38.9	1,610	823	51.1	12.2-		
ASCENSION	107	36	33.6	33.6	1,768	373	21.1	12.5		
ASSUMPTION	22	11	50.0	50.0	810	230	28.4	21.6		
AVOUELLES	83	33	39.8	39.8	2,628	576	21.9	17.9		
BEAUREGARD	12	6	50.0	50.0	2,092	1,060	50.7	.7-		
BIENVILLE	593	129	21.8	21.8	2,900	908	31.3	9.5-		
BUSSIER	415	186	44.8	44.8	1,545	739	47.8	3.0-		
CAJODO	257	41	16.0	16.0	2,851	610	21.4	5.4-		
CALCASIEU	40	17	42.5	42.5	2,967	865	29.2	13.3		
CALDWELL	231	51	22.1	22.1	1,216	559	46.0	23.9-		
CAMERON	10	3	30.0	30.0	1,407	580	41.2	11.2-		
CATAHOULA	44	25	56.8	56.8	1,792	485	27.1	29.7		
CLAIBORNE	604	199	32.9	32.9	2,700	860	31.9	1.0		
CUNCORDIA	88	36	40.9	40.9	907	334	36.8	4.1		
DE SOTO	659	108	16.4	16.4	3,657	581	15.9	.5		
EAST BATON ROUGE	133	57	42.9	42.9	1,940	545	28.1	14.8		
EAST CARROLL	172	100	58.1	58.1	536	465	86.8	28.7-		
EAST FELICIANA	242	145	59.9	59.9	1,514	566	37.4	22.5		
EVANGELINE	100	66	66.0	66.0	1,912	1,606	84.0	18.0-		
FRANKLIN	223	24	10.8	10.8	2,620	872	33.3	22.5-		
GRANT	40	19	47.5	47.5	690	480	69.6	22.1-		
IBERIA	139	94	67.6	67.6	1,543	843	54.6	13.0		
IBERVILLE	42	33	78.6	78.6	946	358	37.8	40.8		
JACKSON	484	78	16.1	16.1	2,118	741	35.0	18.9-		
JEFFERSON	0	0	*	*	22	13	59.1	.0		
JEFFERSON DAVIS	23	7	30.4	30.4	2,481	873	35.2	4.8-		
LAFAYETTE	48	24	50.0	50.0	1,917	672	35.1	14.9		
LAFOURCHE	4	4	100.0	100.0	1,048	590	56.3	43.7		
LA SALLE	7	1	14.3	14.3	720	529	73.5	59.2-		
LINCOLN	406	227	55.9	55.9	2,890	1,369	47.4	8.5		
LIVINGSTON	47	17	36.2	36.2	2,911	461	15.8	20.4		
MADISON	125	90	72.0	72.0	949	429	45.2	26.8		
MOREHOUSE	313	61	19.5	19.5	1,641	531	32.4	12.9-		
NATCHITOCHES	201	126	62.7	62.7	2,004	1,045	52.1	10.6		
ORLEANS	0	0	*	*	12	1	8.3	.0		
OUACHITA	94	50	53.2	53.2	1,477	676	45.8	7.4		
PLAQUEMINES	0	0	*	*	0	0	*	.0		
POINTE COUPEE	129	57	44.2	44.2	1,217	518	42.6	1.6		
RAPIDES	60	23	38.3	38.3	2,974	578	19.4	18.9		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-71.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN LOUISIANA

PARISH (COUNTY)	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING UNITS	COOPERATORS	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS	OPERATING UNITS	NO.	OPERATING UNITS	COOPERATORS	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS	NO.	PGT.	
	NO.	NO.	PGT.	NO.		NO.	PGT.	NO.		PGT.	
RED RIVER	61	30	49.2	1,266	493	38.9				10.3	
RICHLAND	162	37	22.8	1,799	540	30.0				7.2-	
SABINE	144	18	12.5	2,693	494	18.3				5.8-	
ST BERNARD	0	0	*	36	24	66.7				.0	
ST CHARLES	4	2	50.0	64	45	70.3				20.3-	
ST HELENA	187	146	78.1	1,832	559	30.5				47.6	
ST JAMES	47	12	25.5	552	120	21.7				3.8	
ST JOHN THE BAPTIST	17	13	76.5	85	51	60.0				16.5	
ST LANORY	1,096	404	36.9	4,018	1,133	28.2				8.7	
ST MARTIN	165	43	26.1	2,379	745	31.3				5.2-	
ST MARY	93	79	84.9	320	304	95.0				10.1-	
ST TAMMANY	99	25	25.3	3,407	870	25.5				.2-	
TANGIPAHOA	165	48	29.1	4,078	878	21.5				7.6	
TENSAS	105	54	51.4	595	349	58.7				7.3-	
TERREBONNE	77	23	29.9	1,667	357	21.4				8.5	
UNION	4,671	147	3.1	0	1,471	*				.0	
VERMILIGN	114	47	41.2	3,956	735	18.6				22.6	
VERNON	22	7	31.8	2,610	836	32.0				.2-	
WASHINGTON	337	211	62.6	3,081	1,667	54.1				8.5	
WEBSTER	288	128	44.4	2,678	1,257	46.9				2.5-	
WEST BATON ROUGE	44	18	40.9	292	113	38.7				2.2	
WEST CARROLL	65	26	40.0	1,487	1,006	67.7				27.7-	
WEST FELICIANA	165	44	26.7	648	304	46.9				20.2-	
WINN	167	30	18.0	2,462	660	26.8				8.8-	
TOTAL	14,666	3,870	26.4	111,432	41,356	37.1				10.7-	

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-72.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
	MINORITY					WHITE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																						
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																		
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																			
																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																												</

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-72.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT :
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	DIFFERENCE	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	
LEE	155	95	61.3	2,006	78.2	1,569	16.9-			
LEFLORE	50	79	158.0	652	86.2	562	71.8			
LINCOLN	179	80	44.7	1,621	87.9	879	9.5-			
LOWNDES	468	135	28.8	1,192	620	52.0	23.2-			
MADISON	958	585	61.1	1,178	923	78.4	17.3-			
MARION	688	104	15.1	1,656	550	33.2	18.1-			
MARSHALL	3,532	764	21.6	5,846	1,398	23.9	2.3-			
MGNRUE	753	91	12.1	1,774	409	23.1	11.0-			
MONTGOMERY	201	147	73.1	1,372	942	68.7	4.4			
NESHUBA	326	64	19.6	2,539	796	31.4				
NEWTON	365	132	36.2	1,865	55.1	1,028	11.8-			
NOXUBEE	424	230	54.2	1,044	566	54.2	18.9-			
OKTIBBEHA	488	137	28.1	785	501	63.8	.0			
PAROLA	638	456	71.5	2,756	1,927	69.9	35.7-			
PEARL RIVER	38	17	44.7	1,722	859	49.9	1.6			
PERRY	121	78	64.5	1,100	872	79.3	5.2-			
PIKE	782	111	14.2	1,173	690	58.8	14.8-			
PONTOTOC	156	71	36.2	2,022	1,521	75.2	44.6-			
PRENTISS	66	33	50.0	1,801	999	55.5	39.0-			
QUITMAN	228	97	42.5	780	584	74.9	5.5-			
RANKIN	382	291	76.2	1,744	855	49.0	32.4-			
SCOTT	701	196	28.0	2,940	1,342	45.6	27.2			
SHARKEY	32	23	71.9	235	235	100.0	17.6-			
SIMPSON	721	178	24.7	1,604	930	58.0	28.1-			
SMITH	139	58	41.7	1,334	631	47.3	33.3-			
STONE	49	31	63.3	831	555	66.8	5.6-			
SUNFLOWER	91	34	37.4	1,115	781	70.0	3.5-			
TALLAHATCHIE	182	182	100.0	1,413	1,413	100.0	32.6-			
TATE	260	157	60.4	1,267	1,122	88.6	.8-			
TIPPAH	161	135	83.9	2,161	1,823	84.4	28.2-			
TISHOMINGO	55	12	21.8	1,475	540	36.6	.5-			
TUNICA	64	52	81.3	338	214	63.3	14.8-			
UNION	144	84	58.3	2,109	1,768	83.8	18.0			
WALTHALL	558	154	25.8	1,538	773	50.3	25.5-			
WARREN	186	110	59.1	464	470	101.3	24.5-			
WASHINGTON	134	70	52.2	673	368	54.7	42.2-			
WAYNE	325	81	24.9	1,150	757	65.8	2.5-			
WEBSTER	70	38	54.3	1,217	945	77.6	40.9-			
WILKINSON	343	58	16.9	499	313	62.7	23.3-			
WINSTON	409	139	34.0	1,633	655	40.1	45.8-			
							6.1-			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-72.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCI. PIS.
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
YALOBUSHA	285	195	68.4	1,298	1,019	78.5				10.1-
YAZOU	269	155	57.6	1,134	965	85.1				27.5-
"ECM PROJECTS"	0	1	*	0	0	*				.0
TOTAL	28,894	11,573	40.1	125,046	67,342	53.9				13.8-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-73.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NEW MEXICO

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :		COOPERATORS :	PCI.	MINORITY-WHITE PCI. PIS.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.				
BERNALILLO	960	198	20.6	1,009	187	18.5	2.1				
CATRON	71	50	70.4	245	180	73.5	3.1-				
CHAVES	26	19	73.1	744	624	83.9	10.8-				
CULFAX	128	58	45.3	582	269	46.2	.9-				
CURRY	0	0	*	1,040	739	71.1	.0				
DE BACA	13	13	100.0	292	219	75.0	25.0				
DONA ANA	345	200	58.0	721	436	60.5	2.5-				
EDDY	120	66	55.0	772	519	67.2	12.2-				
GRANT	33	20	60.6	190	150	78.9	18.3-				
GUADALUPE	220	184	83.6	115	91	79.1	4.5				
HARDING	32	24	75.0	166	93	56.0	19.0				
HUALGO	8	12	150.0	200	184	92.0	58.0				
LEA	8	5	62.5	737	393	53.3	9.2				
LINCOLN	86	68	79.1	263	220	83.7	4.6-				
LUS ALAMOS	0	0	*	0	0	*	.0				
LUNA	38	29	76.3	296	227	76.7	.4-				
MCKINLEY	1,559	40	2.6	119	80	67.2	64.6-				
MORA	350	251	71.7	143	112	78.3	6.6-				
OTERO	36	18	50.0	513	238	46.4	3.6				
QUAY	21	16	76.2	757	492	65.0	11.2				
RIO ARRIBA	1,236	397	32.1	521	234	44.9	12.8-				
ROOSEVELT	6	5	83.3	1,200	735	61.3	22.0				
SANDOVAL	387	215	55.6	216	97	44.9	10.7				
SAN JUAN	86	38	44.2	411	219	53.3	9.1-				
SAN MIGUEL	419	334	79.7	266	194	72.9	6.8				
SANTA FE	502	125	24.9	321	169	52.6	27.7-				
SIERRA	77	56	72.7	271	195	72.0	.7				
SOCORRO	189	160	84.7	202	181	89.6	4.9-				
TAOS	787	315	40.0	215	127	59.1	19.1-				
TURRANCE	52	43	46.7	307	218	71.0	24.3-				
UNION	24	21	87.5	428	385	90.0	2.5-				
VALENCIA	803	513	63.9	519	426	82.1	18.2-				
TOTAL	8,662	3,493	40.3	13,781	8,633	62.6	22.3-				

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-74.---CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	MINORITY-WHITE
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :		
			NO.	NO.				NO.		PCT. PTS.
ALAMANCE	165	79	47.9	2,393				815	34.1	13.8
ALEXANDER	11	8	72.7	1,089				438	40.2	32.5
ALLEGHANY	19	8	42.1	1,265				620	49.0	6.9-
ANSON	125	111	88.8	815				587	72.0	16.8
ASHE	21	4	19.0	2,168				668	30.8	11.8-
AVERY	0	0	*	818				424	51.8	.0
BEAUFORT	260	71	27.3	1,966				1,051	53.5	26.2-
BERTIE	350	70	20.0	1,560				562	36.0	16.0-
BLADEN	666	139	20.9	1,947				643	33.0	12.1-
BRUNSWICK	225	47	20.9	1,015				421	41.5	20.6-
BUNCOMBE	5	0	.0	3,667				777	21.2	21.2-
BURKE	30	5	16.7	1,635				525	32.1	15.4-
CABARRUS	35	17	48.6	872				797	91.4	42.8-
CALDWELL	19	3	15.8	1,331				532	40.0	24.2-
CAMDEN	40	24	60.0	360				254	70.6	10.6-
CARTERET	15	9	60.0	550				458	83.3	23.3-
CASWELL	316	134	42.4	1,594				854	53.6	11.2-
CATAWBA	25	9	36.0	1,875				578	30.8	5.2
CHATHAM	60	20	33.3	1,314				571	43.5	10.2-
CHEROKEE	3	1	33.3	1,830				425	23.2	10.1
CHowan	59	35	59.3	551				418	75.9	16.6-
CLAY	3	1	33.3	808				273	33.8	.5-
CLEVELAND	83	46	55.4	2,282				1,179	51.7	3.7
CLUMBUS	925	242	26.2	3,388				648	19.1	7.1
Craven	235	117	49.8	1,615				826	51.1	1.3-
CUMBERLAND	257	196	76.3	1,597				878	55.0	21.3
CURRITUCK	50	12	24.0	500				235	47.0	23.0-
DARE	70	0	.0	500				20	4.0	4.0-
DAVIDSON	15	6	40.0	1,728				1,020	59.0	19.0-
DAVIE	50	24	48.0	1,271				998	78.5	30.5-
DUPLIN	369	104	28.2	2,405				1,466	61.0	32.8-
DURHAM	130	37	28.5	660				322	48.8	20.3-
EDGEcombe	180	49	27.2	1,520				670	44.1	16.9-
FORSYTH	37	14	37.8	1,451				903	62.2	24.4-
FRANKLIN	270	80	29.6	1,800				845	46.9	17.3-
GASTON	0	12	*	1,102				616	55.9	.0
GATES	305	51	16.7	545				522	95.8	79.1-
GRAHAM	15	4	26.7	745				375	50.3	23.6-
GRANVILLE	461	69	15.0	1,754				755	43.0	28.0-
GREENE	104	48	46.2	1,695				1,031	60.8	14.6-

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE.

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-74.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																									
	MINORITY		WHITE		CUMULATIVE		CUMULATIVE		PERCENTAGE POINT																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
	OPERATING		OPERATING		COOPERATORS		COOPERATORS		DIFFERENCE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																											
	UNITS	NO.	UNITS	NO.	UNITS	NO.	UNITS	NO.	MINORITY-WHITE	PCI. PTS.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-74.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE :
	UNITS :	NO.	UNITS :	NO.	PTS.	UNITS :	NO.	UNITS :	NO.	PTS.
RUTHERFORD	130	13	3,183	1,096	34.4	1,096	34.4	24.4-		
SAMPSON	649	105	4,831	968	20.0	968	20.0	3.8-		
SCOTLAND	70	18	184	250	135.9	250	135.9	110.2-		
STANLY	7	4	1,040	645	62.0	645	62.0	4.9-		
STOKES	29	19	1,887	1,132	60.0	1,132	60.0	5.5		
SURRY	30	21	2,372	1,992	84.0	1,992	84.0	14.0-		
SWAIN	126	26	690	420	60.9	420	60.9	40.3-		
TRANSYLVANIA	4	0	875	274	31.3	274	31.3	31.3-		
TYRRELL	80	47	350	263	75.1	263	75.1	16.3-		
UNION	50	16	2,355	699	29.7	699	29.7	2.3		
VANCE	170	87	1,230	713	58.0	713	58.0	6.8-		
WAKE	375	98	3,893	1,215	31.2	1,215	31.2	5.1-		
WARKEN	540	256	971	611	62.9	611	62.9	15.5-		
WASHINGTON	90	23	650	540	83.1	540	83.1	57.5-		
WATAUGA	4	0	1,796	563	31.3	563	31.3	31.3-		
WAYNE	141	57	3,041	1,549	50.9	1,549	50.9	10.5-		
WILKES	5	5	1,782	933	52.4	933	52.4	47.6		
WILSON	110	34	2,046	823	40.2	823	40.2	9.3-		
YADKIN	6	13	2,539	1,252	49.3	1,252	49.3	167.4		
YANCEY	0	0	1,401	444	31.7	444	31.7	.0		
TOTAL	13,731	4,406	156,298	71,458	45.7	71,458	45.7	13.6-		

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-75.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		
ADAIR	96	64	66.7	1,159	843	72.7				6.0-	
ALFALFA	0	0	*	2,034	1,397	68.7				.0	
ATOKA	30	24	80.0	1,461	1,188	81.3				1.3-	
BEAVER	0	0	*	1,193	998	83.7				.0	
BECKHAM	0	0	*	1,443	1,221	84.6				.0	
BLAINE	22	31	140.9	2,168	1,717	79.2				61.7	
BRYAN	23	20	87.0	2,334	1,899	81.4				5.6	
CAUDO	7	5	71.4	3,587	2,784	77.6				6.2-	
CANADIAN	5	6	120.0	2,714	2,410	88.8				31.2	
CARTER	133	87	65.4	1,850	1,294	69.9				4.5-	
CHEROKEE	58	28	48.3	1,434	871	60.7				12.4-	
CHOCTAW	128	90	70.3	1,720	1,116	64.9				5.4	
CIMARRON	0	0	*	860	746	86.7				.0	
CLEVELAND	112	14	12.5	1,623	1,262	77.8				65.3-	
COAL	23	9	39.1	731	667	91.2				52.1-	
COMANCHE	45	7	15.6	1,379	858	62.2				46.6-	
COTTON	3	1	33.3	812	545	67.1				33.8-	
CRAIG	25	7	28.0	1,219	650	53.3				25.3-	
CREEK	161	115	71.4	1,634	1,583	96.9				25.5-	
CUSTER	4	2	50.0	2,417	1,828	75.6				25.6-	
DELAWARE	36	22	61.1	1,589	1,254	78.9				17.8-	
DEWEY	0	0	*	1,380	1,057	76.6				.0	
ELLIS	0	0	*	1,500	1,045	69.7				.0	
GARFIELD	9	2	22.2	2,929	1,838	62.8				40.6-	
GARVIN	46	40	87.0	2,219	1,908	86.0				1.0	
GRADY	6	5	83.3	3,076	2,230	72.5				10.8	
GRANT	2	2	100.0	2,372	1,324	55.8				44.2	
GREER	0	0	*	1,065	902	84.7				.0	
HARMON	0	0	*	1,199	936	78.1				.0	
HARPER	0	0	*	794	744	93.7				.0	
HASKELL	37	30	81.1	964	837	86.8				5.7-	
HUGHES	84	38	45.2	1,355	1,031	76.1				30.9-	
JACKSON	0	0	*	2,248	1,385	61.6				.0	
JEFFERSON	0	0	*	1,050	631	60.1				.0	
JOHNSTON	82	19	23.2	990	832	84.0				60.8-	
KAY	3	3	100.0	2,044	1,559	76.3				23.7	
KINGFISHER	78	41	52.6	2,136	1,548	72.5				19.9-	
KIOWA	1	1	100.0	2,230	1,618	72.6				27.4	
LATIMER	20	13	65.0	1,308	1,222	93.4				28.4-	
LE FLORE	106	78	73.6	2,323	1,575	67.8				5.8	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING UNITS	COOPERATORS	NO.	PCT.	OPERATING UNITS	COOPERATORS	NO.	PCT.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.		MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PIS.
LINCOLN	57	25	43.9	2,446	1,713	70.0	26.1-			
LUGAN	116	98	84.5	2,202	1,748	79.4	5.1			
LOVE	20	14	70.0	946	910	96.2	26.2-			
MCCLAIN	7	6	85.7	1,627	1,268	77.9	7.8			
MCCURTAIN	194	143	73.7	2,403	1,717	71.5	2.2			
MCINTOSH	224	140	62.5	1,453	1,237	85.1	22.6-			
MAJOR	1	1	100.0	1,745	1,260	72.2	27.8			
MARSHALL	14	14	100.0	786	675	85.9	14.1			
MAYES	42	19	45.2	1,532	1,072	70.0	24.8-			
MURRAY	10	10	100.0	675	643	95.3	4.7			
MUSKOGEE	427	271	63.5	2,068	1,352	65.4	1.9-			
NOBLE	6	3	50.0	2,052	1,395	68.0	18.0-			
NOWATA	36	33	91.7	1,118	920	82.3	9.4			
OKFUSKEE	216	139	64.4	1,083	929	85.8	21.4-			
OKLAHOMA	70	37	52.9	1,810	914	50.5	2.4			
OKMULGEE	253	180	71.1	1,639	1,179	71.9	-8-			
OSAGE	84	53	63.1	1,100	919	83.5	20.4-			
OTTAWA	475	357	75.2	1,193	655	54.9	20.3			
PAYNEE	3	2	66.7	1,166	679	58.2	8.5			
PAYNE	6	3	50.0	1,456	1,101	75.6	25.6-			
PITTSBURG	37	30	81.1	1,397	1,273	91.1	10.0-			
PONTOTOC	17	17	100.0	1,366	1,261	92.3	7.7			
PUTTAWATOMIE	150	44	29.3	2,532	1,884	74.4	45.1-			
PUSHMATAHA	20	18	90.0	1,402	1,135	81.0	9.0			
RUGER MILLS	0	0	*	1,288	1,197	92.9	.0			
RUGERS	112	78	69.6	2,046	1,498	73.2	3.6-			
SEMINOLE	479	115	24.0	2,120	1,670	78.8	54.8-			
SEQUOYAH	82	37	45.1	1,233	800	64.9	19.8-			
STEPHENS	1	1	100.0	1,751	1,014	57.9	42.1			
TEXAS	0	0	*	1,240	1,138	91.8	.0			
TILLMAN	2	1	50.0	1,718	1,145	66.6	16.6-			
TULSA	27	21	77.8	1,358	1,068	78.6	.8-			
WAGONER	140	94	67.1	1,387	865	62.4	4.7			
WASHINGTON	42	25	59.5	962	836	86.9	27.4-			
WASHITA	4	4	100.0	3,310	2,610	78.9	21.1			
WOODS	1	1	100.0	1,639	1,400	85.4	14.6			
WOODWARD	0	0	*	1,360	1,156	85.0	.0			

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3- .--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE :
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCI. PIS.
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
TOTAL	4,760	2,838	59.6	127,152	95,589	75.2	15.6-			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SGIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-76.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :		
ADAMS	3	0	0	0		2,005	1,210	60.3		60.3-
ALLEGHENY	15	4	30.8			580	453	78.1		47.3-
ARMSTRONG	0	1	*			1,400	567	40.5		.0
BEAVER	8	2	25.0			800	407	50.9		25.9-
BEDFORD	2	1	50.0			1,470	776	52.8		2.8-
BERKS	50	4	8.0			3,143	2,421	77.0		69.0-
BLAIR	1	0	.0			1,106	418	37.8		37.8-
BRADFORD	9	3	33.3			3,400	1,768	52.0		18.7-
BUCKS	7	2	28.6			1,504	1,223	81.3		52.7-
BUTLER	25	2	8.0			2,000	528	26.4		18.4-
CAMBRIA	0	1	*			710	525	73.9		.0
CAMERON	0	0	*			75	65	86.7		.0
CARBON	1	1	100.0			695	305	43.9		56.1
CENTRE	0	1	*			1,022	599	58.6		.0
CHESTER	30	7	23.3			2,405	2,077	86.4		63.1-
CLARION	0	1	*			1,350	670	49.6		.0
CLEARFIELD	0	0	*			880	468	53.2		.0
CLINTON	0	0	*			475	468	98.5		.0
COLUMBIA	1	1	100.0			1,424	1,221	85.7		14.3
CRAWFORD	35	5	14.3			3,740	1,133	30.3		16.0-
CUMBERLAND	1	1	100.0			3,000	889	29.6		70.4
DAUPHIN	5	3	60.0			1,260	848	67.3		7.3-
DELAWARE	5	0	.0			50	85	170.0		170.0-
ELK	0	0	*			252	187	74.2		.0
ERIE	5	2	40.0			2,195	1,084	49.4		9.4-
FAYETTE	28	2	7.1			1,795	734	40.9		33.8-
FUREST	0	0	*			94	16	17.0		.0
FRANKLIN	3	2	66.7			2,000	713	35.7		31.0
FULTON	3	2	66.7			1,804	752	41.7		25.0
GREENE	13	2	15.4			1,800	930	51.7		36.3-
HUNTINGDON	2	1	50.0			1,198	499	41.7		8.3
INDIANA	5	1	20.0			1,900	1,380	72.6		52.6-
JEFFERSON	0	0	*			1,024	623	60.8		.0
JUNIATA	0	0	*			0	34	*		.0
LANCASTER	2	1	50.0			825	433	52.5		2.5-
LANCASHIRE	1	1	100.0			5,407	2,122	39.2		60.8
LAWRENCE	0	0	*			1,640	531	32.4		.0
LEBANON	0	0	*			2,300	924	40.2		.0
LEHIGH	10	2	20.0			1,300	975	75.0		55.0-
LUZERNE	3	1	33.3			2,515	612	24.3		9.0

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-76.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.	
LYCOMING	9	3	33.3			2,344	1,151	49.1		15.8-
MCKEAN	1	1	100.0			450	262	53.5		46.5
MERCER	4,064	7	.2			90	1,218	353.3		353.1-
MIFFLIN	0	0	*			1,810	928	51.3		.0
MUNROE	5	4	80.0			531	758	142.7		62.7-
MONTGOMERY	0	0	*			924	513	55.5		.0
MONTGOMERY	0	0	*			465	394	84.7		.0
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	*			1,228	638	52.0		.0
NORTHUMBERLAND	0	1	*			1,580	964	61.0		.0
PERRY	0	0	*			1,257	929	73.9		.0
PHILADELPHIA	0	0	*			0	0	*		.0
PIKE	0	0	*			1,770	202	11.4		.0
POTTER	2	2	100.0			1,200	678	56.5		43.5
SCHUYLKILL	0	0	*			1,730	1,106	63.9		.0
SNYDER	0	0	*			1,459	673	46.1		.0
SUMMERSET	0	0	*			1,829	717	39.2		.0
SULLIVAN	5	0	.0			1,000	193	19.3		19.3-
SUSQUEHANNA	7	1	14.3			2,235	1,145	51.2		36.9-
TIOGA	13	6	46.2			1,896	1,421	74.9		28.7-
UNION	0	1	*			856	596	69.6		.0
VENANGO	0	2	*			944	410	43.4		.0
WARREN	1	1	100.0			693	599	86.4		13.6
WASHINGTON	15	6	40.0			2,523	1,516	60.1		20.1-
WAYNE	1	1	100.0			1,783	1,160	65.1		34.9
WESTMORELAND	3	1	33.3			2,478	1,323	53.4		20.1-
WYOMING	5	5	100.0			1,073	905	84.3		15.7
YORK	5	1	20.0			4,000	1,883	47.1		27.1-
TOTAL	4,407	100	2.3			100,731	53,955	53.6		51.3-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-77.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCI.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCI.		
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :			
	NO.		NO.			NO.					MINORITY-WHITE	PCI. PTS.
ABBEVILLE	182	47	25.8		1,063	764	71.9		46.1-			
AIKEN	325	192	59.1		1,400	463	33.1		26.0			
ALLENDALE	140	38	27.1		445	290	65.2		38.1-			
ANDERSON	255	50	19.6		4,019	1,461	36.4		16.8-			
BAMBERG	145	24	16.6		550	377	68.5		51.9-			
BAKSWELL	164	45	27.4		800	570	71.3		43.9-			
BEAUFORT	230	15	6.5		400	78	19.5		13.0-			
BERKELEY	800	53	6.6		700	283	40.4		33.8-			
CALHOUN	150	14	9.3		450	349	77.6		68.3-			
CHARLESTON	215	36	16.7		500	266	53.2		36.5-			
CHEROKEE	39	17	43.6		1,940	794	40.9		2.7			
CHESTER	200	81	40.5		1,128	740	65.6		25.1-			
CHESTERFIELD	318	96	30.2		2,350	1,640	69.8		39.6-			
CLARENDON	550	65	11.8		1,100	376	34.2		22.4-			
COLLETON	1,166	41	3.5		1,756	570	32.5		29.0-			
DARLINGTON	466	77	16.5		1,642	865	52.7		36.2-			
UILLON	197	32	16.2		1,979	522	26.4		10.2-			
DURCHESTER	420	21	5.0		760	278	36.6		31.6-			
EDGEFIELD	150	66	44.0		1,600	744	46.5		2.5-			
FAIRFIELD	185	62	33.5		883	504	57.1		23.6-			
FLORENCE	488	68	13.9		3,032	468	15.4		1.5-			
GEORGETOWN	500	32	6.4		600	212	35.3		28.9-			
GREENVILLE	194	56	28.9		3,996	1,553	38.9		10.0-			
GREENWOOD	80	35	43.8		950	384	40.4		3.4			
HAMPTON	230	53	23.0		1,000	387	38.7		15.7-			
HURRY	438	56	12.8		3,489	873	25.0		12.2-			
JASPER	245	19	7.8		380	108	28.4		20.6-			
KERSHAW	358	96	26.8		1,050	766	73.0		46.2-			
LANCASTER	250	26	10.4		1,675	733	43.8		33.4-			
LAURENS	164	76	46.3		2,489	1,547	62.2		15.9-			
LEE	360	51	14.2		1,090	537	49.3		35.1-			
LEXINGTON	57	15	26.3		1,698	683	40.2		13.9-			
MCCORMICK	125	54	43.2		532	292	54.9		11.7-			
MARION	328	75	22.9		1,230	455	37.0		14.1-			
MARLBORO	170	55	32.4		1,534	804	52.4		20.0-			
NEWBERRY		20	50.0		1,000	502	50.2		.2-			
OCONEE	45	28	62.2		2,290	1,224	53.4		8.8			
ORANGEBURG		90	7.5		2,100	893	42.5		35.0-			
PICKENS	34	12	35.3		2,690	952	35.4		.1-			
RICHLAND	520	107	20.6		2,000	865	43.3		22.7-			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-77.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	DIFFERENCE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE
	NU.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NU.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.
SALUDA	130	45	34.6	1,252		856	68.4			33.8-
SPARTANBURG	114	35	30.7	4,472		882	19.7			11.0
SUMTER	1,250	110	8.8	1,225		565	46.1			37.3-
UNION	294	25	8.5	785		421	53.6			45.1-
WILLIAMSBURG	1,964	153	7.8	1,838		405	22.0			14.2-
YORK	86	57	66.3	2,232		1,498	67.1			.8-
TOTAL	15,961	2,521	15.8	72,094		30,799	42.7			26.9-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-78.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : PCT.	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : PCT.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : PCT.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.	
ANDERSON	12	0	662	.0		239	36.1		36.1-	
BEDFORD	26	16	1,820	61.5		644	35.4		26.1	
BENTON	9	7	1,191	77.8		575	48.3		29.5	
BLEDSoE	2	2	100.0	100.0		420	44.2		55.8	
BLOUNT	28	14	0	50.0		972	*		.0	
BRADELEY	12	10	1,439	83.3		418	29.0		54.3	
CAMPBELL	0	0	625	*		373	59.7		.0	
CANNON	6	5	1,329	83.3		742	55.8		27.5	
CARROLL	140	55	2,709	39.3		971	35.8		3.5	
CARTER	6	0	1,380	.0		711	51.5		51.5-	
CHEATHAM	28	14	1,172	50.0		501	42.7		7.3	
CHESTER	48	24	880	50.0		550	62.5		12.5-	
CLAIBORNE	24	10	1,871	41.7		517	27.6		14.1	
CLAY	9	5	1,163	55.6		543	46.7		8.9	
COCKE	14	13	1,288	92.9		753	58.5		34.4	
CUFFEE	7	0	1,499	.0		558	37.2		37.2-	
CROCKETT	70	53	1,805	75.7		911	50.5		25.2	
CUMBERLAND	0	0	1,540	*		640	41.6		.0	
DAVIDSON	50	30	1,084	60.0		653	60.2		.2-	
DECATUR	8	5	634	62.5		426	67.2		4.7-	
DE KALB	0	0	1,228	*		1,016	82.7		.0	
DICKSON	36	6	1,487	16.7		564	37.9		21.2-	
DYER	7	4	2,290	57.1		851	37.2		19.9	
FAYETTE	652	80	790	12.3		723	91.5		79.2-	
FENTRESS	0	0	607	*		392	64.6		.0	
FRANKLIN	30	24	1,755	80.0		749	42.7		37.3	
GIBSON	76	71	2,674	93.4		1,635	61.1		32.3	
GILES	70	54	2,000	77.1		902	45.1		32.0	
GRAINGER	23	11	6,416	47.8		1,956	30.5		17.3	
GRUNDY	0	0	630	*		422	67.0		.0	
HAMBLÉN	35	11	1,209	31.4		564	46.7		15.3-	
HAMILTON	16	13	1,467	81.3		990	67.5		13.8	
HANCUCK	15	7	1,385	46.7		444	32.1		14.6	
HARDEMAN	330	165	1,546	50.0		1,172	75.8		25.8-	
HARDIN	14	7	2,075	50.0		1,107	53.3		3.3-	
HAWKINS	12	7	2,988	58.3		756	25.3		33.0	
HAYWOOD	254	115	1,556	45.3		886	56.9		11.6-	
HENDERSON	71	22	1,424	31.0		486	34.1		3.1-	
HENRY	90	29	1,785	32.2		990	55.5		23.3-	
HICKMAN	25	6	1,250	24.0		400	32.0		8.0-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-78.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCI.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCI.	
HOUSTON	8	5	62.5		650		314	48.3		14.2
HUMPHREYS	5	5	100.0		660		428	64.8		35.2
JACKSON	1	0	.0		1,679		1,031	61.4		61.4-
JEFFERSON	14	7	50.0		2,350		679	28.9		21.1
JOHNSON	7	3	42.9		2,293		689	30.0		12.9
KNOX	12	5	41.7		1,693		652	38.5		3.2
LAKE	0	0	*		181		41	22.7		.0
LAUDERDALE	82	71	86.6		1,838		1,049	57.1		29.5
LAWRENCE	12	10	83.3		3,240		812	25.1		58.2
LEWIS	5	4	80.0		645		303	47.0		33.0
LINCOLN	39	9	23.1		2,156		301	14.0		9.1
LOUDON	4	3	75.0		1,052		492	46.8		28.2
MCMINN	41	30	73.2		2,440		466	19.1		54.1
MCMURRY	44	11	25.0		1,667		1,002	60.1		35.1-
MACON	13	7	53.8		1,883		990	52.6		1.2
MADISON	368	307	83.4		1,328		1,201	90.4		7.0-
MARION	8	3	37.5		733		305	41.6		4.1-
MARSHALL	20	10	50.0		1,300		536	41.2		8.8
MAURY	133	70	52.6		2,656		1,213	45.7		6.9
MEIGS	20	17	85.0		805		493	61.2		23.8
MONROE	23	7	30.4		2,499		685	27.4		3.0
MONTGOMERY	145	20	13.8		1,703		741	43.5		29.7-
MOORE	17	10	58.8		512		204	39.8		19.0
MURGAN	0	0	*		400		602	150.5		.0
OBION	0	6	*		2,132		1,145	53.7		.0
OVERTON	5	2	40.0		1,760		1,152	65.5		25.5-
PERRY	5	3	60.0		527		259	49.1		10.9
PICKETT	0	0	*		778		518	66.6		.0
PULK	2	0	.0		1,094		295	27.0		27.0-
PUTNAM	25	12	48.0		1,955		1,072	54.8		6.8-
RHEA	0	0	*		1,135		454	40.0		.0
RGANE	7	5	71.4		1,506		469	31.1		40.3
RUBERTSON	85	14	16.5		2,050		600	29.3		12.8-
RUTHERFORD	52	43	82.7		2,002		772	38.6		44.1
SCOTT	0	0	*		645		330	51.2		.0
SEQUITACHIE	0	0	*		350		216	61.7		.0
SEVIER	5	4	80.0		1,900		595	31.3		48.7
SHELBY	287	75	26.1		1,483		836	56.4		30.3-
SMITH	35	25	71.4		1,670		1,345	80.5		9.1-
STEWART	3	3	100.0		791		360	45.5		54.5

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-78.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE POINT	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	MINORITY-WHITE	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	PCT. PTS.
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	
SULLIVAN	1	1	100.0	3,075	644	20.9	79.1			
SUMNER	53	36	67.9	2,254	1,255	55.7	12.2			
TIPTON	308	119	38.6	1,902	1,150	60.5	21.9-			
TROUSDALE	35	23	65.7	543	370	68.1	2.4-			
UNICOI	0	0	*	1,028	343	33.4	.0			
UNION	0	0	*	850	384	45.2	.0			
VAN BUREN	0	0	*	534	372	69.7	.0			
WARREN	23	8	34.8	1,762	1,091	61.9	27.1-			
WASHINGTON	8	0	.0	3,216	769	23.9	23.9-			
WAYNE	4	3	75.0	1,801	344	19.1	55.9			
WEAKLEY	18	11	61.1	1,803	1,233	68.4	7.3-			
WHITE	7	4	57.1	1,616	654	40.5	16.6			
WILLIAMSON	75	18	24.0	2,350	684	29.1	5.1-			
WILSON	55	40	72.7	3,000	842	28.1	44.6			
TOTAL	4,374	1,964	44.9	145,478	64,904	44.6	.3			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-79.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	OPERATING :	CUMULATIVE :	PERCENTAGE :		
	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :		UNITS :	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :	COOPERATORS :			UNITS :
	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	
ANDERSON	379	256	67.5	2,289	1,550	67.7		1,550	67.7			2-
ANDREWS	0	0	*	295	81	27.5		81	27.5			.0
ANGELINA	10	6	60.0	783	582	74.3		582	74.3			14.3-
ARANSAS	1	0	.0	92	23	25.0		23	25.0			25.0-
ARCHER	1	1	100.0	611	541	88.5		541	88.5			11.5
ARMSTRONG	0	0	*	458	311	67.9		311	67.9			.0
ATASCOSA	128	86	67.2	1,738	1,221	70.3		1,221	70.3			3.1-
AUSTIN	40	14	35.0	1,689	960	56.8		960	56.8			21.8-
BAILEY	5	4	80.0	1,384	528	38.2		528	38.2			41.8
BANDERA	10	6	60.0	488	364	74.6		364	74.6			14.6-
BASTROP	175	94	53.7	1,250	992	79.4		992	79.4			25.7-
BAYLOR	0	0	*	638	542	85.0		542	85.0			.0
BEE	57	33	57.9	876	673	76.8		673	76.8			18.9-
BELL	23	17	73.9	2,732	1,961	71.8		1,961	71.8			2.1
BEXAR	222	87	39.2	2,091	1,215	58.1		1,215	58.1			18.9-
BLANCO	13	10	76.9	604	533	88.2		533	88.2			11.3-
BURDEN	1	1	100.0	275	157	57.1		157	57.1			42.9
BUSQUE	10	5	50.0	1,417	812	57.3		812	57.3			7.3-
BOWIE	65	34	52.3	1,673	881	52.7		881	52.7			.4-
BRAZORIA	25	21	84.0	860	670	77.9		670	77.9			6.1
BRAZOS	66	41	62.1	1,176	746	63.4		746	63.4			1.3-
BREWSTER	0	0	*	189	113	59.8		113	59.8			.0
BRISQUE	0	0	*	590	481	81.5		481	81.5			.0
BROOKS	198	181	91.4	120	100	83.3		100	83.3			8.1
BROWN	6	2	33.3	1,192	915	76.8		915	76.8			43.5-
BURLESON	207	160	77.3	1,310	974	74.4		974	74.4			2.9
BURNET	0	0	*	990	704	71.1		704	71.1			.0
CALDWELL	80	38	47.5	1,444	1,057	73.2		1,057	73.2			25.7-
CALHOUN	0	0	*	417	364	87.3		364	87.3			.0
CALLAHAN	0	0	*	1,035	779	75.3		779	75.3			.0
CAMERON	472	349	73.9	2,502	1,674	66.9		1,674	66.9			7.0
CAMP	106	67	63.2	1,200	591	49.3		591	49.3			13.9
CARSON	0	0	*	601	473	78.7		473	78.7			.0
CASS	160	104	65.0	1,459	926	63.5		926	63.5			1.5
CASTRO	0	0	*	1,030	588	57.1		588	57.1			.0
CHAMBERS	20	15	75.0	353	231	65.4		231	65.4			9.6
CHEROKEE	126	69	54.8	2,517	1,111	44.1		1,111	44.1			10.7
CHILDRESS	2	2	100.0	769	687	89.3		687	89.3			10.7
CLAY	0	0	*	1,073	798	74.4		798	74.4			.0
COCHRAN	13	4	30.8	667	433	64.9		433	64.9			34.1-

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-79.---CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE					MINORITY-WHITE	
	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS : NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS : NO.	PCI.
CUKE	1	1	100.0	480	395	82.3						17.7
COLEMAN	8	2	25.0	1,215	938	77.2						52.2-
CULLIN	14	10	71.4	2,939	2,113	71.9						.5-
CULLINGSWORTH	1	1	100.0	997	852	85.5						14.5
COLORADO	60	31	51.7	1,290	797	61.8						10.1-
COMAL	4	4	100.0	794	446	56.2						43.8
COMANCHE	2	1	50.0	2,497	1,404	56.2						15.5
CUNCHO	5	5	100.0	549	464	84.5						.0
COOKE	0	0	*	1,977	1,636	82.8						13.7
CORYELL	12	10	83.3	1,277	889	69.6						18.8-
CUTTLE	2	1	50.0	510	351	68.8						.0
CRANE	0	0	*	35	21	60.0						.0
CROCKETT	0	0	*	200	153	76.5						28.7-
CROSBY	5	3	60.0	1,060	940	88.7						.0
CULBERSON	0	0	*	139	81	58.3						.0
DALLAM	0	0	*	615	388	63.1						21.0
DALLAS	11	8	72.7	1,041	538	51.7						18.4-
DAWSON	7	1	14.3	1,500	491	32.7						7.0
DEAF SMITH	2	2	100.0	940	874	93.0						35.3
DELTA	2	2	100.0	1,090	705	64.7						4.9-
DENTON	5	4	80.0	1,754	1,489	84.9						1.6
DE WITT	75	49	65.3	2,289	1,459	63.7						58.5
DICKENS	7	8	114.3	794	443	55.8						15.8-
DIMMIT	38	29	76.3	330	304	92.1						15.7
DUNLEY	1	1	100.0	592	499	84.3						14.1-
DUVAL	550	664	69.9	281	236	84.0						47.3
EASTLAND	2	2	100.0	1,505	793	52.7						1.5-
ECTOR	8	3	37.5	200	78	39.0						86.6-
EDWARDS	1	0	.0	246	213	86.6						5.2-
ELLIS	23	16	69.6	3,216	2,406	74.8						23.3
EL PASO	91	77	84.6	341	209	61.3						67.6-
ERATH	3	0	.0	2,110	1,427	67.6						1.1-
FALLS	128	88	68.8	2,279	1,593	69.9						28.9-
FANNIN	30	12	40.0	2,572	1,771	68.9						20.6-
FAYETTE	55	21	38.2	2,750	1,616	58.8						13.3
FISHER	23	21	91.3	1,212	945	78.0						.0
FLOYD	0	0	*	1,450	1,029	71.0						15.5
FOARD	3	3	100.0	567	479	84.5						9.8
FORT BEND	147	96	65.3	1,655	919	55.5						40.8-
FRANKLIN	4	1	25.0	800	526	65.8						

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-79.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE					MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PTS.
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.		
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.			
FREESTONE	435	364	83.7	1,371	951	69.4	14.3					
FRIO	36	39	108.3	610	444	72.8	35.5					
GAINES	2	1	50.0	1,350	480	35.6	14.4					
GALVESTON	1	1	100.0	205	134	65.4	34.6					
GARZA	3	3	100.0	488	376	77.0	23.0					
GILLESPIE	2	2	100.0	1,441	992	68.8	31.2					
GLASSCOCK	1	0	.0	294	152	51.7	31.7-					
GOLIAD	32	9	28.1	711	425	59.8	4.3-					
GONZALES	74	40	54.1	1,726	1,008	58.4	.0					
GRAY	0	0	*	618	556	90.0	1.4					
GRAYSON	25	18	72.0	3,171	2,239	70.6	15.5					
GREGG	40	29	72.5	419	239	57.0	.4					
GRIMES	90	63	70.0	1,548	1,077	69.6	54.1					
GUADALUPE	81	106	130.9	2,317	1,779	76.8	.4-					
HALE	9	8	88.9	1,936	1,729	89.3	19.3					
HALL	1	1	100.0	962	776	80.7	.0					
HAMILTON	0	0	*	1,409	1,051	74.6	.0					
HANSFORD	0	0	*	650	607	93.4	22.9					
HARDEN	2	2	100.0	873	673	77.1	45.9					
HARDIN	3	4	133.3	617	539	87.4	26.2					
HARRIS	10	9	90.0	500	319	63.8	23.2					
HARRISON	295	265	89.8	1,620	1,079	66.6	44.7					
HARTLEY	1	1	100.0	450	249	55.3	23.9-					
HASKELL	2	1	50.0	1,300	961	73.9	61.2-					
HAYS	130	14	10.8	1,388	1,000	72.0	7.0					
HEMPHILL	2	2	100.0	384	357	93.0	28.8-					
HENDERSON	134	70	52.2	2,397	1,941	81.0	4.1-					
HIDALGO	841	494	58.7	4,894	3,072	62.8	2.7-					
HILL	55	29	52.7	3,595	1,993	55.4	31.2-					
HOCKLEY	4	2	50.0	1,550	1,258	81.2	.0					
HOOD	0	0	*	896	455	50.8	19.4					
HOPKINS	65	40	61.5	2,385	1,003	42.1	3.3-					
HOUSTON	285	159	55.8	1,720	1,016	59.1	58.0					
HUARD	1	1	100.0	643	270	42.0	2.5					
HUDDSPETH	14	10	71.4	238	164	68.9	48.2					
HUNT	18	18	100.0	3,387	1,753	51.8	.0					
HUTCHINSON	0	0	*	230	219	95.2	.0					
IRION	0	0	*	165	99	60.0	.0					
JACK	0	1	*	1,036	712	68.7	22.4-					
JACKSON	38	24	63.2	808	692	85.6	CONTINUED					

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

TABLE 3-79.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		UNITS :	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		UNITS :	
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		
JASPER	35	21	60.0	597	370	62.0					2.0-
JEFF DAVIS	1	1	100.0	84	72	85.7					14.3
JEFFERSON	6	3	50.0	453	349	77.0					27.0-
JIM HUGG	130	89	68.5	117	74	63.2					5.3
JIM WELLS	201	186	92.5	710	657	92.5					.0
JOHNSON	8	8	100.0	2,275	1,704	74.9					25.1
JONES	3	3	100.0	2,033	1,003	49.3					50.7
KARNES	42	21	50.0	1,726	1,158	67.1					17.1-
KAUFMAN	85	62	72.9	2,991	1,850	61.9					11.0
KENDALL	3	3	100.0	986	710	72.0					28.0
KENEDY	6	4	66.7	23	1	4.3					62.4
KENT	1	1	100.0	390	250	64.1					35.9
KERR	3	3	100.0	656	461	70.3					29.7
KIMBLE	3	1	33.3	546	206	37.7					4.4-
KING	0	0	*	136	73	53.7					.0
KINNEY	4	3	75.0	157	127	80.9					5.9-
KLEBERG	40	24	60.0	254	225	88.6					28.6-
KNOX	2	2	100.0	763	630	82.6					17.4
LAMAR	47	30	63.8	1,975	1,333	67.5					3.7-
LAMB	0	0	*	1,836	1,670	91.0					.0
LAMPASAS	0	0	*	964	549	57.0					.0
LA SALLE	30	25	83.3	319	293	91.8					8.5-
LAVACA	47	36	76.6	2,260	1,214	53.7					22.9
LEE	236	127	53.8	1,831	882	48.2					5.6
LEON	174	92	52.9	1,440	954	66.3					13.4-
LIBERTY	60	55	91.7	800	706	88.3					3.4
LIMESTONE	87	79	90.8	2,127	1,355	63.7					27.1
LIPSOMB	0	0	*	569	447	78.6					.0
LIVE OAK	29	23	79.3	942	630	66.9					12.4
LLANO	0	0	*	630	520	82.5					.0
LOVING	4	2	50.0	18	17	94.4					44.4-
LUBBOCK	8	4	50.0	1,736	1,289	74.3					24.3-
LYNN	3	2	66.7	1,370	845	61.7					5.0
MCCULLOCH	12	11	91.7	715	635	88.8					2.9
MCLENNAN	72	57	79.2	3,095	2,321	75.0					4.2
MCNULLEN	4	4	100.0	216	188	87.0					13.0
MADISON	118	106	89.8	1,098	784	71.4					18.4
MARION	80	55	68.8	550	359	65.3					3.5
MARTIN	8	8	100.0	657	397	60.4					39.6
MASON	1	1	100.0	523	415	79.3					20.7

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-79.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE					MINORITY-WHITE PCI. PTS.	PCI. PTS.
	OPERATING : UNITS	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCI.	OPERATING : UNITS	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS	NO.	PCI.				
MATAGURDA	77	26	33.8	733	610	83.2	49.4-					
MAVERICK	31	36	116.1	310	223	71.9	44.2					
MEDINA	61	55	90.2	2,049	1,364	66.6	23.6					
MENARD	0	0	*	403	242	60.0	.0					
MIDLAND	3	1	33.3	425	244	57.4	24.1-					
MILAM	118	67	56.8	2,963	1,617	54.6	2.2					
MILLS	2	1	50.0	700	576	82.3	32.3-					
MITCHELL	38	26	68.4	940	579	61.6	6.8					
MONTAGUE	0	2	*	1,647	1,220	74.1	.0					
MONTGOMERY	95	61	64.2	865	473	54.7	9.5					
MOORE	0	0	*	532	470	88.3	.0					
MURRIS	30	24	80.0	580	360	62.1	17.9					
MUTLEY	5	3	60.0	456	313	68.6	8.6-					
NACOGDOCHES	56	70	72.9	1,750	1,215	69.4	3.5					
NAVARRO	190	110	57.9	3,292	2,236	67.9	10.0-					
NEWTUN	40	19	47.5	340	221	65.0	17.5-					
NOLAN	9	8	88.9	1,057	646	61.1	27.8					
NUECES	9	8	88.9	890	518	58.2	30.7					
OCHILTREE	0	0	*	712	587	82.4	.0					
OLDHAM	0	0	*	300	198	66.0	.0					
ORANGE	2	2	100.0	228	140	61.4	38.6					
PALO PINTO	1	0	.0	836	644	77.0	77.0-					
PANOLA	89	67	75.3	1,327	863	65.0	10.3					
PARKER	13	7	53.8	4,804	1,119	23.3	30.5					
PARMER	4	3	75.0	1,349	913	67.7	7.3					
PECOS	12	10	83.3	469	315	67.2	16.1					
PULK	131	101	77.1	622	593	95.3	18.2-					
PUTTER	0	0	*	352	159	45.2	.0					
PRESIDIO	80	65	81.3	115	102	88.7	7.4-					
RAINS	28	7	25.0	752	253	33.6	8.6-					
RANDALL	0	0	*	686	470	68.5	.0					
REAGAN	2	1	50.0	145	96	66.2	16.2-					
REAL	4	1	25.0	159	122	76.7	51.7-					
RED RIVER	56	48	85.7	2,044	1,077	52.7	33.0					
REEVES	17	13	76.5	472	300	63.6	12.9					
REFUGIO	17	7	41.2	419	254	60.6	19.4-					
RUBERTS	0	0	*	167	136	81.4	.0					
ROBERTSON	74	36	48.6	1,489	860	57.8	9.2-					
ROCKWALL	0	0	*	655	473	72.2	.0					
RUNNELS	3	2	66.7	1,542	1,104	71.6	4.9-					

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-79.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	PCT.	
RUSK	337	226	67.1		1,900	839	44.2		22.9	
SABINE	40	21	52.5		666	309	46.4		6.1	
SAN AUGUSTINE	50	29	58.0		50	562	124.0		66.0-	
SAN JACINTO	103	79	76.7		694	300	43.2		33.5	
SAN PATRICIO	15	12	63.2		569	481	84.5		21.3-	
SAN SABA	0	0	*		750	635	84.7		.0	
SCHLEICHER	4	3	75.0		323	205	63.5		11.5	
SCURRY	3	3	100.0		807	505	62.6		37.4	
SHACKELFORD	2	2	100.0		376	293	77.9		22.1	
SHELBY	65	42	64.6		2,069	932	45.0		19.6	
SHERMAN	3	2	66.7		551	408	74.0		7.3-	
SMITH	350	251	71.7		2,144	1,156	53.9		17.8	
SOMERVILLE	0	0	*		386	182	47.2		.0	
STARR	733	612	83.5		67	58	86.6		3.1-	
STEPHENS	1	1	100.0		623	420	67.4		32.6	
STERLING	0	0	*		118	94	79.7		.0	
STONEWALL	1	1	100.0		505	439	86.9		13.1	
SUTTON	0	1	*		183	170	92.9		.0	
SWISHER	1	2	200.0		1,211	815	67.3		132.7	
TARRANT	13	10	76.9		1,437	866	60.3		16.6	
TAYLOR	2	1	50.0		1,542	911	59.1		9.1-	
TERRELL	1	0	.0		111	73	65.8		65.8-	
TERRY	7	2	28.6		1,000	490	49.0		20.4-	
THROCKMORTON	2	2	100.0		562	441	78.5		21.5	
TITUS	30	23	76.7		1,125	700	62.2		14.5	
TOM GREEN	7	1	14.3		1,329	917	69.0		54.7-	
TRAVIS	61	43	70.5		1,338	985	73.6		3.1-	
TRINITY	70	37	52.9		1,150	545	47.4		5.5	
TYLER	28	15	53.6		1,142	639	56.0		2.4-	
UPSHUR	66	30	45.5		1,297	860	66.3		20.8-	
UPTON	0	0	*		106	83	78.3		.0	
UVALDE	16	7	43.8		664	548	82.5		38.7-	
VAL VERDE	11	8	72.7		243	205	84.4		11.7-	
VAN ZANDT	37	28	75.7		3,210	1,892	58.9		16.8	
VICTORIA	76	52	68.4		943	809	85.8		17.4-	
WALKER	286	163	57.0		1,574	740	47.0		10.0	
WALLER	124	70	56.5		823	518	62.9		6.4-	
WARD	15	8	53.3		218	196	89.9		36.6-	
WASHINGTON	98	74	75.5		1,610	1,194	74.2		1.3	
WEBB	130	99	76.2		215	186	86.5		10.3-	

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-79.---CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE :
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	
					PCT.					PCT.
WHARTON	85	63	74.1	1,855	57.1	1,059	17.0			
WHEELER	0	0	*	1,005	76.9	773	0			
WICHITA	1	1	100.0	743	70.5	524	29.5			
WILBARGER	0	0	*	979	76.7	751	0			
WILLACY	155	115	74.2	976	90.9	887	16.7-			
WILLIAMSON	12	10	83.3	3,218	81.5	2,622	1.8			
WILSON	131	39	29.8	1,962	48.8	957	19.0-			
WINKLER	0	0	*	37	97.3	36	0			
WISE	1	1	100.0	1,917	83.5	1,601	16.5			
WOOD	43	32	74.4	2,008	51.7	1,038	22.7			
YUAKUM	2	2	100.0	722	70.4	508	29.6			
YOUNG	0	1	*	1,024	65.6	672	0			
ZAPATA	362	237	65.5	53	58.5	31	7.0			
ZAVALA	7	13	185.7	342	87.4	299	98.3			
TOTAL	13,604	9,227	67.8	275,883	66.0	182,078	1.8			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

TABLE 3-80.--CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PCT.		
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.			
												PCT. PTS.
ACCOMACK	75	5	6.7	1,750		396	22.6				15.9-	
ALBEMARLE	42	7	16.7	1,536		755	49.2				32.5-	
ALLEGHANY	0	0	*	539		198	36.7				.0	
AMELIA	275	99	36.0	475		407	85.7				49.7-	
AMHERST	86	24	27.9	1,020		308	30.2				2.3-	
APPOMATTOX	75	23	30.7	815		256	31.4				.7-	
AUGUSTA	22	5	22.7	2,340		957	40.9				18.2-	
BATH	0	0	*	345		144	41.7				.0	
BEDFORD	46	13	28.3	1,339		608	45.4				17.1-	
BLAND	0	0	*	666		248	37.2				.0	
BUTELTOUT	15	9	60.0	1,100		586	53.3				6.7	
BRUNSWICK	330	129	39.1	769		671	87.3				48.2-	
BUCHANAN	0	0	*	850		44	5.2				.0	
BUCKINGHAM	65	12	18.5	480		434	90.4				71.9-	
CAMPBELL	70	19	27.1	1,025		316	30.8				3.7-	
CAROLINE	80	8	10.0	720		43	6.0				4.0	
CARROLL	9	6	66.7	2,655		990	37.3				29.4	
CHARLES CITY	0	0	*	0		0	*				.0	
CHARLOTTE	356	114	32.0	1,237		735	59.4				27.4-	
CHESLERFIELD	54	19	35.2	160		107	66.9				31.7-	
CLARKE	41	36	87.8	607		409	67.4				20.4	
CLARKE	5	3	60.0	395		150	38.0				22.0	
CRAIG	1	1	100.0	425		288	67.8				32.2	
CULPEPER	26	16	61.5	890		797	89.6				28.1-	
CUMBERLAND	97	45	46.4	332		276	83.1				36.7-	
DICKENSON	0	0	*	800		190	23.8				.0	
DUNWIDDIE	478	213	44.6	944		626	66.3				21.7-	
ESSEX	97	32	33.0	380		224	58.9				25.9-	
FAIRFAX	3	0	.0	112		84	75.0				75.0-	
FAUQUIER	10	10	100.0	500		374	74.8				25.2	
FLOYD	14	4	28.6	2,042		666	32.6				4.0-	
FLUVANNA	60	30	50.0	540		311	57.6				7.6-	
FRANKLIN	120	68	56.7	1,860		839	45.1				11.6	
FREDERICK	2	1	50.0	798		265	33.2				16.8	
GILES	0	0	*	550		279	50.7				.0	
GLOUCESTER	100	14	14.0	1,000		203	20.3				6.3-	
GOOCHLAND	110	79	71.8	720		422	58.6				13.2	
GRAYSON	12	7	58.3	1,525		798	52.3				6.0	
GREENE	5	3	60.0	222		161	72.5				12.5-	
GREENSVILLE	150	66	44.0	384		299	77.9				33.9-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-80.—CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		NO.		
	UNITS :	PCT.	COOPERATORS :	UNITS :		COOPERATORS :	COOPERATORS :	PCT.				
	NO.		NO.				NO.					PCT. PTS.
HALIFAX	448	109	24.3	2,700	742	27.5						3.2-
HANOVER	180	8	4.4	1,285	638	49.6						45.2-
HENRICO	22	0	.0	345	124	35.9						35.9-
HENRY	53	18	19.4	730	358	49.0						29.6-
HIGHLAND	0	0	*	606	218	36.0						.0
ISLE OF WIGHT	223	47	21.1	693	551	79.5						58.4-
JAMES CITY	9	0	.0	120	49	40.8						40.8-
KING AND QUEEN	58	13	22.4	423	115	27.2						4.8-
KING GEORGE	23	0	.0	1,600	84	5.3						5.3-
KING WILLIAM	44	12	27.3	412	152	36.9						9.6-
LANCASTER	65	11	16.9	475	92	19.4						2.5-
LEE	3	0	.0	2,008	437	21.8						21.8-
LOUDOUN	6	7	116.7	980	990	101.0						15.7
LOUISA	118	74	62.7	1,394	614	44.0						18.7
LUNENBURG	283	107	37.8	1,268	526	41.5						3.7-
MADISON	18	16	88.9	476	394	82.8						6.1
MATHEWS	15	1	6.7	500	69	13.8						7.1-
MECKLENBURG	551	122	22.1	2,018	937	46.4						24.3-
MIDDLESEX	70	15	21.4	400	100	25.0						3.6-
MONTGOMERY	15	2	13.3	1,562	445	28.5						15.2-
NELSON	75	14	18.7	790	409	51.8						33.1-
NEW KENT	32	11	34.4	190	128	67.4						33.0-
NORTHAMPTON	50	0	.0	524	143	27.3						27.3-
NORTHUMBERLAND	200	12	6.0	925	156	16.9						10.9-
NOTTOWAY	112	38	33.9	493	354	71.8						37.9-
ORANGE	21	16	76.2	437	345	78.9						2.7-
PAGE	4	1	25.0	1,179	646	54.8						29.8-
PATRICK	41	19	46.3	1,579	615	38.9						7.4
PITTSYLVANIA	511	52	10.2	3,800	957	25.2						15.0-
POWHATAN	75	49	65.3	600	285	47.5						17.8
PRINCE EDWARD	384	113	29.4	742	579	78.0						48.6-
PRINCE GEORGE	106	79	74.5	500	378	75.6						1.1-
PRINCE WILLIAM	6	3	50.0	325	289	88.9						38.9-
PULASKI	1	1	100.0	1,050	475	45.2						54.8
RAPPAHANNOCK	5	1	20.0	300	241	80.3						60.3-
RICHMOND	75	6	8.0	725	155	21.4						13.4-
RUANOKE	17	1	5.9	650	303	46.6						40.7-
ROCKBRIDGE	9	1	11.1	1,607	647	40.3						29.2-
ROCKINGHAM	7	4	57.1	2,401	1,333	55.5						1.6
RUSSELL	5	0	.0	2,450	583	23.8						23.8-

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-80.---CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS AS A PERCENTAGE OF OPERATING UNITS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	CUMULATIVE COOPERATORS									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE :	OPERATING :		CUMULATIVE :		PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.		UNITS :	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	
			PCT.					PCT.		PCT. PIS.
SCOTT	0	0	*	3,242		369	11.4			.0
SHENANDOAH	0	0	*	1,050		623	59.3			.0
SMYTH	9	2	22.2	1,032		300	29.1			6.9-
SOUTHAMPTON	188	53	28.2	997		418	41.9			13.7-
SPOTSYLVANIA	87	18	20.7	5,500		300	5.5			15.2
STAFFORD	15	4	26.7	3,400		147	4.3			22.4
SURRY	228	36	15.8	444		146	32.9			17.1-
SUSSEX	220	63	28.6	650		389	59.8			31.2-
TAZEWELL	0	0	*	785		501	63.8			.0
WARREN	16	4	25.0	563		441	78.3			53.3-
WASHINGTON	0	0	*	3,500		564	16.1			.0
WESTMORELAND	50	25	50.0	875		213	24.3			25.7
WISE	0	0	*	539		99	18.4			.0
WYTHE	6	2	33.3	960		435	45.3			12.0-
YORK	12	2	16.7	90		39	43.3			26.6-
INDEPENDENT CITIES :										
CHESAPEAKE	90	12	13.3	910		440	48.4			35.1-
HAMPTON	0	0	*	0		0	*			.0
NEWPORT NEWS	0	0	*	0		0	*			.0
STAUNTON	0	0	*	0		0	*			.0
SUFFOLK	348	45	12.9	1,136		305	26.8			13.9-
VIRGINIA BEACH	12	3	25.0	400		271	67.8			42.8-
WAYNESBORO	0	0	*	0		0	*			.0
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0	*	7		0	.0			.0
TOTAL	8,192	2,372	29.0	102,189		38,520	37.7			8.7-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-8] .--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ALABAMA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	CUMULATIVE :	COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :	COOPERATORS :		CUMULATIVE :	COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :	COOPERATORS :	
	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	PCT.
AUTAUGA	74	83	112.2	743	450	60.6	51.6			
BALDWIN	7	2	28.6	1,164	391	33.6	5.0-			
BARBOUR	30	23	76.7	459	331	72.1	4.6			
BIBB	26	30	115.4	575	410	71.3	44.1			
BLOUNT	3	2	66.7	1,027	326	31.7	35.0			
BULLOCK	117	33	28.2	618	215	34.8	6.6-			
BUTLER	49	25	51.0	603	431	71.5	20.5-			
CALHOUN	32	5	15.6	1,419	466	32.8	17.2-			
CHAMBERS	27	28	103.7	625	359	57.4	46.3			
CHEROKEE	28	24	85.7	852	206	24.2	61.5			
CHILTON	34	12	35.3	772	177	22.9	12.4			
CHOCTAW	52	65	125.0	271	336	124.0	1.0			
CLARKE	85	25	29.4	700	248	35.4	6.0-			
CLAY	11	4	36.4	906	197	21.7	14.7			
CLEBURNE	1	8	800.0	612	173	28.3	771.7			
COFFEE	11	1	9.1	514	259	50.4	41.3-			
COLBERT	19	26	136.8	368	237	64.4	72.4			
CONECUH	80	21	26.3	757	276	36.5	10.2-			
COOSA	26	11	42.3	494	233	47.2	4.9-			
COVINGTON	16	2	12.5	515	343	66.6	54.1-			
CRENSHAW	36	34	94.4	615	363	59.0	35.4			
CULLMAN	9	7	77.8	1,309	722	55.2	22.6			
DALAS	12	7	58.3	505	122	24.2	34.1			
DE KALB	57	93	95.9	638	392	61.4	34.5			
ELMORE	1	0	.0	1,458	421	28.9	28.9-			
ESCAMBIA	82	87	106.1	829	385	46.4	59.7			
ETOWAH	33	22	66.7	752	321	42.7	24.0			
FAYETTE	3	1	33.3	879	339	38.6	5.3-			
FRANKLIN	11	18	163.6	226	214	94.7	68.9			
GENEVA	15	11	73.3	706	380	53.8	19.5			
GREENE	11	1	9.1	703	288	41.0	31.9-			
HALE	184	55	29.9	509	241	47.3	17.4-			
HENRY	129	45	34.9	594	258	43.4	8.5-			
HOUSTON	37	17	45.9	737	323	43.8	2.1			
JACKSON	23	13	56.5	816	357	43.8	12.7			
JEFFERSON	5	0	.0	959	280	29.2	29.2-			
LAMAR	13	6	46.2	680	457	67.2	21.0-			
LAUDERDALE	16	5	31.3	457	248	54.3	23.0-			
LAWRENCE	12	8	66.7	447	405	90.6	23.9-			
	29	12	41.4	1,171	525	44.8	3.4-			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-8].---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ALABAMA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: OF TECHNICAL :		: OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	: OF TECHNICAL :		: OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	CUMULATIVE :	PCI.	CUMULATIVE :	PCI.		CUMULATIVE :	PCI.	CUMULATIVE :	PCI.	
	NO.		NO.			NO.		NO.		
LEE	57	106	186.0	766	384	50.1	135.9			
LIMESTONE	37	16	43.2	979	444	45.4	2.2-			
LOWNDES	160	314	196.3	474	407	85.9	110.4			
MACON	108	154	142.6	381	267	70.1	72.5			
MADISON	63	18	28.6	728	384	52.7	24.1-			
MARENGO	130	57	43.8	634	387	61.0	17.2-			
MARION	15	3	20.0	758	332	43.8	23.8-			
MARSHALL	5	11	220.0	774	355	45.9	174.1			
MOBILE	17	6	35.3	600	202	33.7	1.6			
MUNROE	64	29	45.3	800	567	70.9	25.6-			
MONTGOMERY	114	32	28.1	780	171	21.9	6.2			
MORGAN	15	26	173.3	741	394	53.2	120.1			
PERRY	48	63	131.3	653	272	41.7	89.6			
PICKENS	76	23	30.3	629	231	36.7	6.4-			
PIKE	14	7	50.0	712	352	49.4	.6			
RANDOLPH	49	31	63.3	845	352	41.7	21.6			
RUSSELL	99	53	53.5	431	273	63.3	9.8-			
ST CLAIR	9	7	77.8	721	245	34.0	43.8			
SHELBY	6	3	50.0	509	678	133.2	83.2-			
SUNTER	170	88	51.8	391	285	72.9	21.1-			
TALLADEGA	50	39	43.3	1,174	459	39.1	4.2			
TALLAPOOSA	42	40	95.2	642	573	89.3	5.9			
TUSCALOOSA	19	11	57.9	894	458	51.2	6.7			
WALKER	1	0	.0	663	367	55.4	55.4-			
WASHINGTON	6	6	100.0	360	169	46.9	53.1			
WILCOX	154	75	48.7	465	330	71.0	22.3-			
WINSTON	0	0	*	710	138	19.4	.0			
TOTAL	3,054	2,090	68.4	47,198	22,581	47.8	20.6			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-82.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																															
	MINORITY					WHITE																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																				
	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		NO.	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		NO.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																	
ARKANSAS																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																																										

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-82.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS :		CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS :			
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.		NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.		
LITTLE RIVER	64	9	14.1		640	367	57.3		43.2-		
LOGAN	28	2	7.1		2,110	429	20.3		13.2-		
LUNKE	48	33	68.8		1,555	553	35.6		33.2		
MADISON	0	0	*		1,512	504	33.3		.0		
MARION	0	0	*		800	353	44.1		.0		
MILLER	42	9	21.4		1,022	346	33.9		12.5-		
MISSISSIPPI	20	3	15.0		2,143	998	46.6		31.6-		
MONROE	176	23	13.1		711	233	32.8		19.7-		
MONTGOMERY	0	0	*		647	243	37.6		.0		
NEVADA	52	15	28.8		1,129	278	24.6		4.2		
NEWTON	0	0	*		776	391	50.4		.0		
QUACHITA	126	18	14.3		908	270	29.7		15.4-		
PERRY	13	1	7.7		636	258	40.6		32.9-		
PHILLIPS	462	270	58.4		662	479	72.4		14.0-		
PIKE	5	0	.0		813	272	33.5		33.5-		
POINSETT	2	0	.0		1,014	270	26.6		26.6-		
POLK	0	0	*		1,262	571	45.2		.0		
POPE	16	19	118.8		1,800	673	37.4		81.4		
PRAIRIE	18	6	33.3		969	495	51.1		17.8-		
PULASKI	51	44	86.3		934	537	57.5		28.8		
RANDOLPH	6	6	100.0		1,680	770	45.8		54.2		
ST FRANCIS	206	62	30.1		800	388	48.5		18.4-		
SALINE	3	1	33.3		947	595	62.8		29.5-		
SCOTT	0	0	*		1,206	466	38.6		.0		
SEARCY	0	0	*		965	555	57.5		.0		
SEBASTIAN	4	1	25.0		1,154	302	26.2		1.2-		
SEVIER	41	3	7.3		849	147	17.3		10.0-		
SHARP	0	0	*		962	576	59.9		.0		
STONE	0	0	*		967	368	38.1		.0		
UNION	48	7	14.6		1,144	201	17.6		3.0-		
VAN BUREN	2	1	50.0		1,075	766	71.3		21.3-		
WASHINGTON	7	0	.0		2,483	766	30.8		30.8-		
WHITE	3	0	.0		2,608	475	18.2		18.2-		
WOODRUFF	131	32	24.4		837	361	43.1		18.7-		
YELL	7	5	71.4		1,703	782	45.9		25.5		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-82.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN ARKANSAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
TOTAL	4,603		1,651	35.9		84,944		33,239	39.1	
										3.2-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

TABLE 3-83.—TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN CALIFORNIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: PCI. :		: RECIPIENTS : CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: PCI. :	
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
ALAMEDA	55	8	14.5	268	189	70.5	56.0-			
ALPINE	0	0	*	13	10	76.9	0			
AMADOR	3	4	133.3	258	178	69.0	64.3			
BUTTE	0	7	*	3	242	66.7	0			
CALAVERAS	0	0	*	0	67	*	0			
CULUSA	6	0	0	304	129	42.4	42.4-			
CONTRA COSTA	78	19	24.4	420	245	58.3	33.9-			
DEL NORTE	0	0	*	0	1	*	0			
EL DORADO	5	2	40.0	674	346	51.3	11.3-			
FRESNO	120	192	160.0	1,587	1,001	63.1	96.9			
GLENN	0	2	*	72	255	354.2	0			
HUMBOLDT	0	0	*	0	1	*	0			
IMPERIAL	50	60	120.0	1,154	835	72.4	47.6			
INYO	3	2	66.7	32	15	46.9	19.8			
KERN	17	4	23.5	403	895	222.1	198.6-			
KINGS	37	8	21.6	672	283	42.1	20.5-			
LAKE	26	4	15.4	542	229	42.3	26.9-			
LASSSEN	3	6	200.0	351	237	67.5	132.5			
LOS ANGELES	24	12	50.0	1,151	273	23.7	26.3			
MADERA	49	12	24.5	1,032	517	50.1	25.6-			
MARIN	0	0	*	227	95	41.9	0			
MARIPOSA	10	5	50.0	412	191	46.4	3.6			
MENDOCINO	5	1	20.0	657	362	55.1	35.1-			
MERCED	44	33	75.0	727	548	75.4	4			
MUDOC	14	1	7.1	406	326	80.3	73.2-			
MUNO	1	0	0	83	19	22.9	22.9-			
MUNTEREY	46	34	73.9	998	462	46.3	27.6			
NAPA	5	1	20.0	615	56	9.1	10.9			
NEVADA	10	7	70.0	817	421	51.5	18.5			
ORANGE	10	4	40.0	47	30	63.8	23.8-			
PLACER	109	33	30.3	948	299	31.5	1.2-			
PLUMAS	2	2	100.0	144	100	69.4	30.6			
RIVERSIDE	111	46	41.4	2,359	924	39.2	2.2			
SACRAMENTO	71	10	14.1	701	361	51.5	37.4-			
SAN BENITO	25	7	28.0	401	164	40.9	12.9-			
SAN BERNARDINO	62	20	32.3	1,613	970	60.1	27.8-			
SAN DIEGO	43	13	30.2	2,702	847	31.3	1.1-			
SAN JUAQUIN	36	36	100.0	909	803	88.3	11.7			
SAN LUIS OBISPO	22	11	50.0	648	399	61.6	11.6-			
SAN MATEO	35	3	8.6	288	103	35.8	27.2-			

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-83.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN CALIFORNIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.
SANTA BARBARA	34	9	26.5		620	273	44.0		17.5-	
SANTA CLARA	102	28	27.5		957	230	24.0		3.5	
SANTA CRUZ	26	5	19.2		572	152	26.6		7.4-	
SHASTA	9	5	55.6		430	419	97.4		41.8-	
SIERRA	2	0	.0		73	39	53.4		53.4-	
SISKIYOU	3	2	66.7		640	385	60.2		6.5	
SCLANO	81	33	40.7		794	336	42.3		1.6-	
SUNOMA	19	0	.0		1,566	661	42.2		42.2-	
STANISLAUS	35	0	.0		704	649	92.2		92.2-	
SUTTER	8	16	200.0		290	258	89.0		111.0	
TEHAMA	5	2	40.0		1,226	655	53.4		13.4-	
TRINITY	4	1	25.0		104	158	151.9		126.9-	
TULARE	37	19	51.4		467	1,404	300.6		249.2-	
TUOLUMNE	0	0	*		0	33	*		.0	
VENTURA	19	4	21.1		290	93	32.1		11.0-	
YOLO	67	20	29.9		720	548	76.1		46.2-	
YUBA	4	4	100.0		202	265	131.2		31.2-	
TOTAL	1,592	757	47.6		34,293	19,986	58.3		10.7-	

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

TABLE 3-84.—TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: : : : : :		: : : : : :			: : : : : :		: : : : : :		
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
APPLING	15	0	0	.0	656	106	16.2	16.2-	16.2-	
ATKINSON	4	100.0	4	100.0	282	200	70.9	29.1	29.1	
BACON	0	*	0	*	542	122	22.5	.0	.0	
BAKER	45	88.9	40	88.9	172	105	61.0	27.9	27.9	
BALDWIN	34	5.9	2	5.9	257	72	28.0	22.1-	22.1-	
BANKS	5	.0	0	.0	771	75	9.7	9.7-	9.7-	
BARROW	21	23.8	5	23.8	818	109	13.3	10.5	10.5	
BARTOW	9	111.1	10	111.1	611	272	44.5	66.6	66.6	
BEN HILL	15	73.3	11	73.3	419	230	54.9	18.4	18.4	
BERRIEN	1	100.0	1	100.0	646	177	27.4	72.6	72.6	
BIBB	14	100.0	14	100.0	348	268	77.0	23.0	23.0	
BLECKLEY	4	.0	0	.0	380	83	21.8	21.8-	21.8-	
BRANTLEY	3	233.3	7	233.3	389	228	58.6	174.7	174.7	
BROOKS	62	64.5	40	64.5	458	379	82.8	18.3-	18.3-	
BRYAN	45	26.7	12	26.7	381	46	12.1	14.6	14.6	
BULLOCH	70	28.6	20	28.6	974	302	31.0	2.4-	2.4-	
BURKE	74	27.0	20	27.0	374	161	43.0	16.0-	16.0-	
BUTTS	10	10.0	1	10.0	389	226	58.1	48.1-	48.1-	
CALHOUN	25	24.0	6	24.0	388	78	20.1	3.9	3.9	
CAMDEN	14	.0	0	.0	130	8	6.2	6.2-	6.2-	
CANDLER	33	69.7	23	69.7	528	366	69.3	.4	.4	
CARROLL	59	59.3	35	59.3	2,390	425	17.8	41.5	41.5	
CATTOUSA	4	.0	0	.0	493	122	24.7	24.7-	24.7-	
CHARLTON	13	.0	0	.0	232	33	14.2	14.2-	14.2-	
CHAT HAM	21	14.3	3	14.3	239	35	14.6	.3-	.3-	
CHATTAHOOCHIEE	0	*	15	*	40	40	100.0	.0	.0	
CHATTOOGA	21	38.1	8	38.1	477	159	33.3	4.8	4.8	
CHEROKEE	8	100.0	8	100.0	1,273	323	25.4	74.6	74.6	
CLARKE	25	24.0	6	24.0	352	133	37.8	13.8-	13.8-	
CLAY	16	50.0	8	50.0	147	32	21.8	28.2	28.2	
CLAYTON	6	116.7	7	116.7	355	89	25.1	91.6	91.6	
CLINCH	5	.0	0	.0	200	64	32.0	32.0-	32.0-	
CUBB	4	125.0	5	125.0	541	125	23.1	101.9	101.9	
CUFFEE	7	100.0	7	100.0	662	160	24.2	75.8	75.8	
CULQUITT	12	116.7	14	116.7	1,003	319	31.8	84.9	84.9	
COLUMBIA	33	36.4	12	36.4	923	130	14.1	22.3	22.3	
CUOK	18	55.6	10	55.6	490	176	35.9	19.7	19.7	
COWETA	29	69.0	20	69.0	585	339	57.9	11.1	11.1	
CRAWFORD	66	40.9	27	40.9	235	94	40.0	.9	.9	
CRISP	4	25.0	1	25.0	590	162	27.5	2.5-	2.5-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-84.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :		: PCT. :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCT. PIS.
DADE	2	2	2	2	100.0	449	216	48.1	51.9	
DAWSON	0	0	0	0	*	409	189	46.2	0	
DECATUR	25	54	54	54	216.0	285	283	99.3	116.7	
DE KALB	3	3	5	5	166.7	326	182	55.8	110.9	
DODGE	17	17	10	10	58.8	525	315	60.0	1.2-	
DOOLY	32	32	6	6	18.8	257	133	51.8	33.0-	
DOUGHERTY	43	43	8	8	18.6	270	128	47.4	28.8-	
DOUGLAS	14	14	9	9	64.3	455	93	20.4	43.9	
EARLY	79	34	34	34	43.0	609	277	45.5	2.5-	
ECHOLS	3	3	0	0	.0	175	3	1.7	1.7-	
EFFINGHAM	5	5	0	0	.0	558	132	23.7	23.7-	
ELBERT	27	27	14	14	51.9	788	229	29.1	22.8	
EMAUUEL	36	36	13	13	36.1	591	255	43.1	7.0-	
EVANS	18	7	7	7	38.9	270	122	45.2	6.3-	
FANNIN	0	0	0	0	*	701	472	67.3	0	
FAYETTE	12	15	15	15	125.0	599	426	71.1	53.9	
FLOYD	13	13	4	4	30.8	694	240	34.6	3.8-	
FORSYTH	1	1	1	1	100.0	1,021	324	31.7	68.3	
FRANKLIN	15	15	0	0	.0	1,200	176	14.7	14.7-	
FULTON	7	14	14	14	200.0	822	205	24.9	175.1	
GILMER	0	0	0	0	*	799	61	7.6	0	
GLASCOCK	4	4	4	4	100.0	367	88	24.0	76.0	
GLYNN	49	41	41	41	83.7	116	120	103.4	19.7-	
GORDON	7	5	5	5	71.4	954	593	62.2	9.2	
GRADY	24	4	4	4	16.7	686	287	41.8	25.1-	
GREENE	40	18	18	18	45.0	366	292	79.8	34.8-	
GWINNETT	3	15	15	15	500.0	721	346	48.0	452.0	
HABERSHAM	0	0	0	0	*	985	405	41.1	0	
HALL	17	13	13	13	76.5	1,382	330	23.9	52.6	
HANCOCK	55	50	50	50	90.9	225	170	75.6	15.3	
HARALSON	23	10	10	10	43.5	853	313	36.7	6.8	
HARRIS	36	23	23	23	63.9	781	281	36.0	27.9	
HART	36	0	0	0	.0	1,172	196	16.7	16.7-	
HEARD	34	21	21	21	61.8	568	271	47.7	14.1	
HENRY	9	5	5	5	55.6	586	254	43.3	12.3	
HOUSTON	46	18	18	18	39.1	251	188	74.9	35.8-	
IRWIN	11	8	8	8	72.7	618	237	38.3	34.4	
JACKSON	27	4	4	4	14.8	952	473	49.7	34.9-	
JASPER	33	30	30	30	90.9	484	144	29.8	61.1	
JEFF DAVIS	5	0	0	0	.0	255	41	16.1	16.1-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-84.—TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: OF TECHNICAL :	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT :
	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE :		: ASSISTANCE :	: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :	: MINORITY-WHITE :	
	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	PCT. PTS.	
JEFFERSON	54	10	18.5	614	83	13.5			5.0	
JENKINS	21	38	181.0	320	310	96.9			84.1	
JOHNSON	16	4	25.0	418	125	29.9			4.9-	
JONES	5	1	20.0	366	135	36.9			16.9-	
LAMAR	79	35	44.3	505	168	33.3			11.0	
LANIER	22	5	22.7	278	66	23.7			1.0-	
LAURENS	144	29	20.1	549	206	37.5			17.4-	
LEE	26	7	26.9	250	107	42.8			15.9-	
LIBERTY	85	17	20.0	138	61	44.2			24.2-	
LINCOLN	12	26	216.7	383	148	38.6			178.1	
LONG	34	4	11.8	160	68	42.5			30.7-	
LUMKINS	83	54	65.1	397	294	74.1			9.0-	
LUMPKIN	2	1	50.0	810	88	10.9			39.1	
MCDOUFFIE	48	59	122.9	673	257	38.2			84.7	
MCINTOSH	89	2	2.2	85	6	7.1			4.9-	
MACUN	125	50	40.0	374	109	29.1			10.9	
MADISON	59	28	47.5	1,440	697	48.4			9-	
MARION	19	36	189.5	395	242	61.3			128.2	
MERIWETHER	24	18	75.0	336	191	56.8			18.2	
MILLER	3	5	166.7	222	119	53.6			113.1	
MITCHELL	35	19	54.3	566	218	38.5			15.8	
MUNROE	35	27	77.1	729	274	37.6			39.5	
MONTGOMERY	20	9	45.0	598	227	38.0			7.0	
MORGAN	29	7	24.1	495	276	55.8			31.7-	
MURRAY	0	0	*	443	84	19.0			0	
MUSCOGEE	16	20	125.0	273	250	91.6			33.4	
NEWTON	12	1	8.3	464	217	46.8			38.5-	
OCONEE	15	6	40.0	576	216	37.5			2.5	
OGLETHORPE	24	65	270.8	938	315	33.6			237.2	
PAULDING	22	7	31.8	786	206	26.2			5.6	
PEACH	24	11	45.8	240	93	38.8			7.0	
PICKENS	0	0	*	544	28	5.1			0	
PIERCE	17	6	35.3	392	207	52.8			17.5-	
PIKE	13	9	69.2	602	201	33.4			35.8	
POLK	26	43	165.4	751	398	53.0			112.4	
PULASKI	29	26	89.7	241	169	70.1			19.6	
PUTNAM	28	2	7.1	317	45	14.2			7.1-	
QUITMAN	16	13	81.3	82	10	12.2			69.1	
RABUN	1	1	100.0	687	230	33.5			66.5	
RANDOLPH	13	6	46.2	392	136	34.7			11.5	

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-84.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	: CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :		: PCT. :	: CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :		: PCT. :		
	NO.		NO.			NO.		NO.			NO.	MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.
RICHMOND	10		5	50.0		346		210	60.7		210	10.7-
ROCKDALE	4		0	.0		206		75	36.4		75	36.4-
SCHLEY	11		0	.0		272		128	47.1		128	47.1-
SCREVEN	50		26	52.0		667		238	35.7		238	16.3
SEMINOLE	23		23	100.0		211		117	55.5		117	44.5
SPALDING	8		9	112.5		325		251	77.2		251	35.3
STEPHENS	9		0	.0		821		57	6.9		57	6.9-
STEWART	40		20	50.0		312		241	77.2		241	27.2-
SUITER	36		44	122.2		617		419	67.9		419	54.3
TALBOT	40		17	42.5		534		158	29.6		158	12.9
ITALIAFERRO	31		29	93.5		156		148	94.9		148	1.4-
TATNALL	8		9	112.5		132		84	63.6		84	48.9
TAYLOR	35		15	42.9		414		89	21.5		89	21.4
TELFAIR	43		12	27.9		405		190	46.9		190	19.0-
TERRILL	11		3	27.3		344		126	36.6		126	9.3-
THOMAS	49		9	18.4		1,032		147	14.2		147	4.2
TIFT	9		4	44.4		558		204	36.6		204	7.8
TOOMBS	23		13	56.5		745		314	42.1		314	14.4
TOWNS	0		0	*		581		233	40.1		233	.0
TREUTLEN	37		2	5.4		521		221	42.4		221	37.0-
TROUP	30		20	66.7		646		308	47.7		308	19.0
TURNER	5		2	40.0		476		172	36.1		172	3.9
TWIGGS	14		21	150.0		251		184	73.3		184	76.7
UNION	0		0	*		761		412	54.1		412	.0
UPSON	37		34	91.9		800		346	43.3		346	48.6
WALKER	25		6	24.0		1,350		394	29.2		394	5.2-
WALTON	18		16	88.9		746		463	62.1		463	26.8
WARE	7		6	85.7		351		232	66.1		232	19.6
WARREN	55		17	30.9		478		145	30.3		145	.6
WASHINGTON	13		9	69.2		550		259	47.1		259	22.1
WAYNE	3		3	100.0		532		162	30.5		162	69.5
WEBSTER	26		34	130.8		309		227	73.5		227	57.3
WHEELER	24		3	12.5		569		152	26.7		152	14.2-
WHITE	2		2	100.0		708		390	55.1		390	44.9
WHITFIELD	3		0	.0		549		145	26.4		145	26.4-
WILCOX	9		6	66.7		255		104	40.8		104	25.9
WILKES	13		8	61.5		425		288	67.8		288	6.3-
WILKINSON	3		16	533.3		271		178	65.7		178	467.6
WORTH	30		36	120.0		595		265	44.5		265	75.5

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-84.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN GEORGIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :					: RECIPIENTS : : CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS : ASSISTANCE :					
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	PCI. PTS.	
TOTAL	3,784	2,057	54.4	83,915	31,819	37.9				16.5	

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-85.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY			WHITE							
	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		PCT.	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		PCT.	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		PCT.	: MINORITY-WHITE	
	NO.			NO.			NO.				
ADAIR	17	14	82.4	736	560	76.1	6.3				
ALLEN	5	5	100.0	930	208	22.4	77.6				
ANDERSON	0	0	*	448	274	61.2	.0				
BALLARD	22	5	22.7	511	137	26.8	4.1-				
BARREN	26	10	38.5	1,296	324	25.0	13.5				
BATH	7	4	57.1	672	179	26.6	30.5				
BELL	0	0	*	261	81	31.0	.0				
BONE	2	0	.0	580	66	11.4	11.4-				
BOURBON	9	2	22.2	593	172	29.0	6.8-				
BOYD	0	0	*	360	145	40.3	.0				
BUYLE	4	1	25.0	380	176	46.3	21.3-				
BRACKEN	2	0	.0	661	67	10.1	10.1-				
BREATHITT	0	0	*	708	397	56.1	.0				
BRECKINRIDGE	13	1	7.7	797	308	38.6	30.9-				
BULLITT	2	4	200.0	486	120	24.7	175.3				
BUTLER	5	4	80.0	756	263	34.8	45.2				
CALDWELL	9	6	66.7	728	214	29.4	37.3				
CALLOWAY	6	5	83.3	900	235	26.1	57.2				
CAMPBELL	0	0	*	564	45	8.0	.0				
CARLISLE	0	0	*	720	134	18.6	.0				
CARROLL	0	0	*	319	122	38.2	.0				
CARTER	0	2	*	532	191	35.9	.0				
CASEY	3	1	33.3	997	141	14.1	19.2				
CHRISTIAN	88	12	13.6	1,516	312	20.6	7.0-				
CLARK	5	2	40.0	647	419	64.8	24.8-				
CLAY	2	0	.0	613	189	30.8	30.8-				
CLINTON	2	0	.0	523	99	18.9	18.9-				
CRITTENDEN	0	0	*	534	150	28.1	.0				
CUMBERLAND	12	1	8.3	529	215	40.6	32.3-				
DAVLESS	13	0	.0	919	133	14.5	14.5-				
EDMONSON	5	5	100.0	1,112	308	27.7	72.3				
ELLIOTT	0	0	*	506	104	20.6	.0				
ESTILL	0	0	*	669	75	11.2	.0				
FAYETTE	9	2	22.2	484	162	33.5	11.3-				
FLEMING	0	0	*	695	347	49.9	.0				
FLOYD	1	0	.0	780	53	6.8	6.8-				
FRANKLIN	3	2	66.7	448	251	56.0	10.7				
FULTON	6	3	50.0	418	202	48.3	1.7				
GALLATIN	1	0	.0	320	100	31.3	31.3-				
GARRARD	11	6	54.5	514	212	41.2	13.3				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-85.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		NO.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		NO.	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
GRANT	3	0	0	.0	6	85	416.7	416.7-			416.7-
GRAVES	1,480	19	1.3	*	109	322	295.4	294.1-			294.1-
GRAYSON	0	0	0	*	976	188	19.3	.0			.0
GREEN	26	5	19.2	*	827	151	18.3	.9			.9
GREENUP	0	0	0	*	619	163	26.3	.0			.0
HANCUCK	0	1	1	*	486	151	31.1	.0			.0
HARDIN	11	6	54.5	*	1,193	203	17.0	37.5			37.5
HARLAN	0	0	0	*	358	107	29.9	.0			.0
HARRISON	5	1	20.0	*	665	144	21.7	1.7-			1.7-
HART	26	19	73.1	*	793	407	51.3	21.8			21.8
HENDERSON	7	0	.0	*	599	260	43.4	43.4-			43.4-
HENRY	0	0	0	*	627	157	25.0	.0			.0
HICKMAN	7	8	114.3	*	610	275	45.1	69.2			69.2
HUPKINS	3	6	200.0	*	823	189	23.0	177.0			177.0
JACKSON	0	0	0	*	904	238	26.3	.0			.0
JEFFERSON	7	2	28.6	*	727	143	19.7	8.9			8.9
JESSAMINE	16	4	25.0	*	513	151	29.4	4.4-			4.4-
JOHNSON	0	0	0	*	519	158	30.4	.0			.0
KENTON	4	1	25.0	*	670	82	12.2	12.8			12.8
KNOTT	1	0	.0	*	586	123	21.0	21.0-			21.0-
KNOX	0	0	0	*	577	172	29.8	.0			.0
LARUE	7	6	85.7	*	563	237	42.1	43.6			43.6
LAUREL	2	1	50.0	*	623	141	22.6	27.4			27.4
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	*	708	194	27.4	.0			.0
LEE	2	0	.0	*	460	184	40.0	40.0-			40.0-
LESLIE	0	0	0	*	430	149	34.7	.0			.0
LETCHER	1	1	100.0	*	453	148	32.7	67.3			67.3
LEWIS	2	2	100.0	*	486	230	47.3	52.7			52.7
LINCOLN	5	1	20.0	*	1,113	252	22.6	2.6-			2.6-
LIVINGSTON	1	0	.0	*	543	150	27.6	27.6-			27.6-
LUGAN	25	11	44.0	*	1,509	405	26.8	17.2			17.2
LYNN	0	0	0	*	293	163	55.6	.0			.0
MCCRACKEN	6	1	16.7	*	682	210	30.8	14.1-			14.1-
MCCRERY	0	0	0	*	155	69	44.5	.0			.0
MCLEAN	0	0	0	*	537	183	34.1	.0			.0
MADISON	18	3	16.7	*	807	261	32.3	15.6-			15.6-
MAGOFFIN	0	0	0	*	642	109	17.0	.0			.0
MARION	12	9	75.0	*	653	329	50.4	24.6			24.6
MARSHALL	0	0	0	*	732	191	26.1	.0			.0
MARTIN	0	0	0	*	229	52	22.7	.0			.0

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-85.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
MASON	15	7	46.7	622	26.1	128	20.6	128	20.6	26.1
MEADE	16	2	12.5	388	11.2-	92	23.7	92	23.7	11.2-
MENIFEE	0	1	*	253	.0	29	11.5	29	11.5	.0
MERCER	5	2	40.0	620	16.1	148	23.9	148	23.9	16.1
METCALFE	30	11	36.7	681	9.8-	317	46.5	317	46.5	9.8-
MUNROE	13	2	15.4	674	23.2-	260	38.6	260	38.6	23.2-
MONTGOMERY	9	3	33.3	432	.2	143	33.1	143	33.1	.2
MORGAN	0	0	*	463	.0	114	24.6	114	24.6	.0
MUHLENBERG	8	4	50.0	1,032	29.9	207	20.1	207	20.1	29.9
NELSON	10	4	40.0	786	2.7	293	37.3	293	37.3	2.7
NICHOLAS	0	0	*	605	.0	153	25.3	153	25.3	.0
OHIO	3	2	66.7	695	16.2	351	50.5	351	50.5	16.2
OLDHAM	1	2	200.0	372	171.8	105	28.2	105	28.2	171.8
OWEN	10	6	60.0	1,081	42.3	191	17.7	191	17.7	42.3
OWSLEY	0	0	*	542	.0	221	40.8	221	40.8	.0
PENDLETON	0	0	*	922	.0	115	12.5	115	12.5	.0
PERRY	3	0	-0	628	19.7-	124	19.7	124	19.7	19.7-
PIKE	0	0	*	313	.0	105	33.5	105	33.5	.0
POWELL	8	5	62.5	469	48.4	66	14.1	66	14.1	48.4
PULASKI	3	2	66.7	956	33.2	320	33.5	320	33.5	33.2
ROBERTSON	2	2	100.0	425	82.4	75	17.6	75	17.6	82.4
ROCKCASTLE	0	0	*	468	.0	139	29.7	139	29.7	.0
ROWAN	0	0	*	596	.0	167	28.0	167	28.0	.0
RUSSELL	3	3	100.0	761	61.1	296	38.9	296	38.9	61.1
SCOTT	14	7	50.0	586	29.7	119	20.3	119	20.3	29.7
SHELBY	10	1	10.0	757	14.6-	186	24.6	186	24.6	14.6-
SIMPSON	10	21	210.0	540	181.1	156	28.9	156	28.9	181.1
SPENCER	0	0	*	436	.0	180	41.3	180	41.3	.0
TAYLOR	0	3	*	782	.0	112	14.3	112	14.3	.0
TODD	24	8	33.3	1,144	15.0	209	18.3	209	18.3	15.0
TRIGG	31	20	64.5	485	3.5	296	61.0	296	61.0	3.5
TRIMBLE	1	0	.0	351	42.2-	148	42.2	148	42.2	42.2-
UNION	1	1	100.0	584	46.7	311	53.3	311	53.3	46.7
WARREN	43	11	25.6	1,098	1.8-	301	27.4	301	27.4	1.8-
WASHINGTON	798	3	-4	24	641.3-	154	641.7	154	641.7	641.3-
WAYNE	9	3	33.3	595	1.7-	208	35.0	208	35.0	1.7-
WEBSTER	6	1	16.7	714	54.0-	505	70.7	505	70.7	54.0-
WHITLEY	2	1	50.0	449	13.9	162	36.1	162	36.1	13.9
WOLFE	0	0	*	621	.0	95	15.3	95	15.3	.0
WOODFORD	7	4	57.1	524	24.3	172	32.8	172	32.8	24.3

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-85.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN KENTUCKY

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	:		:		:	:		:		:
	CUMULATIVE	COOPERATORS	OF TECHNICAL	ASSISTANCE		CUMULATIVE	COOPERATORS	OF TECHNICAL	ASSISTANCE	
	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
TOTAL	3,062	346	11.3	75,441	22,889	30.3	19.0-			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-86.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN LOUISIANA

PARISH (COUNTY)	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: MINORITY-WHITE : : PCI. PTS. :			
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.				
ACADIA	43	50	116.3	2,001	643	32.1	84.2				
ALLEN	51	39	76.5	823	582	70.7	5.8				
ASCENSION	36	15	41.7	373	260	69.7	28.0-				
ASSUMPTION	11	12	109.1	230	190	82.6	26.5				
AVOYELLES	33	23	69.7	576	247	42.9	26.8				
BEAUREGARD	6	0	.0	1,060	412	38.9	38.9-				
BIENVILLE	129	12	9.3	908	147	16.2	6.9-				
BOSSIER	186	77	41.4	739	296	40.1	1.3				
CADDO	41	28	68.3	610	207	33.9	34.4				
CALCASIEU	17	13	76.5	865	393	45.4	31.1				
CALDWELL	51	15	29.4	559	156	27.9	1.5				
CAMERON	3	2	66.7	580	110	19.0	47.7				
CATAHOULA	25	19	76.0	485	365	75.3	.7				
CLAIBORNE	199	95	47.7	860	312	36.3	11.4				
CONCORDIA	36	27	75.0	334	301	90.1	15.1-				
DE SOTO	108	81	75.0	581	313	53.9	21.1				
EAST BATON ROUGE	57	11	19.3	545	84	15.4	3.9				
EAST CARROLL	100	12	12.0	465	136	29.2	17.2-				
EAST FELICIANA	145	77	53.1	566	228	40.3	12.8				
EVANGELINE	66	35	53.0	1,606	646	40.2	12.8				
FRANKLIN	24	22	91.7	872	208	23.9	67.8				
GRANT	19	28	147.4	480	376	78.3	69.1				
IBERIA	54	108	114.9	843	441	52.3	62.6				
IBERVILLE	33	33	100.0	358	277	77.4	22.6				
JACKSON	78	3	3.8	741	125	16.9	13.1-				
JEFFERSON	0	4	*	13	325	500.0	.0				
JEFFERSON DAVIS	7	6	85.7	873	593	67.9	17.8				
LAFAYETTE	24	14	58.3	672	553	82.3	24.0-				
LAFORCHE	4	2	50.0	590	314	53.2	3.2-				
LA SALLE	1	2	200.0	529	79	14.9	185.1				
LINCOLN	227	106	46.7	1,369	232	16.9	29.8				
LIVINGSTON	17	9	52.9	461	75	16.3	36.6				
MADISON	90	66	73.3	429	300	69.9	3.4				
MOREHOUSE	61	20	32.8	531	148	27.9	4.9				
NATCHITUCHES	126	53	42.1	1,045	412	39.4	2.7				
ORLEANS	0	8	*	1	162	200.0	.0				
OUACHITA	50	27	54.0	676	317	46.9	7.1				
PLAQUEMINES	0	0	*	0	1	*	.0				
POINTE COUPEE	57	6	10.5	518	217	41.9	31.4-				
RAPIDES	23	29	126.1	578	500	86.5	39.6				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

TABLE 3-86.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN LOUISIANA

PARISH (COUNTY)	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	: CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
RED RIVER	30	53.3	16	53.3		493		147	29.8	23.5
RICHLAND	37	113.5	42	113.5		540		164	30.4	83.1
SABINE	18	27.8	5	27.8		494		372	75.3	47.5-
ST BERNARD	0	*	1	*		24		31	129.2	.0
ST CHARLES	2	50.0	1	50.0		45		46	102.2	52.2-
ST HELENA	146	14.4	21	14.4		559		134	24.0	9.6-
ST JAMES	12	66.7	8	66.7		120		84	70.0	3.3-
ST JOHN THE BAPTIST	13	46.2	6	46.2		51		88	172.5	126.3-
ST LANDRY	404	44.8	181	44.8		1,133		592	52.3	7.5-
ST MARTIN	43	60.5	26	60.5		745		377	50.6	9.9
ST MARY	79	87.3	69	87.3		304		178	58.6	28.7
ST TAMMANY	25	76.0	19	76.0		870		326	37.5	38.5
TANGIPAHOA	48	58.3	28	58.3		878		505	57.5	.8
TENSAS	54	57.4	31	57.4		349		215	61.6	4.2-
TERREBONNE	23	43.5	10	43.5		357		207	58.0	14.5-
UNION	147	21.1	31	21.1		1,471		425	28.9	7.8-
VERMILION	47	104.3	49	104.3		735		548	74.6	29.7
VERNON	7	128.6	9	128.6		836		204	24.4	104.2
WASHINGTON	211	12.8	27	12.8		1,667		274	16.4	3.6-
WEBSTER	128	41.4	53	41.4		1,257		289	23.0	18.4
WEST BATON ROUGE	18	55.6	10	55.6		113		77	68.1	12.5-
WEST CARROLL	26	69.2	18	69.2		1,006		224	22.3	46.9
WEST FELICIANA	44	38.6	17	38.6		304		150	49.3	10.7-
WINN	30	50.0	15	50.0		660		273	41.4	8.6
TOTAL	3,870	48.6	1,882	48.6		41,356		17,613	42.6	6.0

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-87.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL :		PCI.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL :		PCI.	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
ADAMS	99	24	24.2	342	105	30.7				6.5-	
ALCORN	42	42	100.0	997	380	38.1				61.9	
AMITE	333	39	11.7	1,043	382	36.6				24.9-	
ATTALA	164	59	36.0	854	371	43.4				7.4-	
BENTON	170	69	40.6	984	481	48.9				8.3-	
BOLIVAR	98	38	38.8	621	292	47.0				8.2-	
CALHOUN	47	34	72.3	1,599	483	30.2				42.1	
CARROLL	205	26	12.7	1,264	264	20.9				8.2-	
CHICKASAW	167	25	15.0	1,278	155	12.1				2.9	
CHOCTAW	48	20	41.7	664	270	40.7				1.0	
CLAIBORNE	171	150	87.7	324	330	101.9				14.2-	
CLARKE	217	49	22.6	898	233	25.9				3.3-	
CLAY	100	46	46.0	447	215	48.1				2.1-	
COAHOMA	36	2	5.6	276	290	105.1				99.5-	
COPIAH	147	57	38.8	865	285	32.9				5.9	
CUVINGTON	167	133	79.6	687	486	70.7				8.9	
DE SOTO	261	271	103.8	1,067	734	68.8				35.0	
FURREST	38	44	115.8	927	362	39.1				76.7	
FRANKLIN	53	55	103.8	705	362	51.3				52.5	
GEORGE	20	30	150.0	605	317	52.4				97.6	
GREENE	39	15	38.5	657	136	20.7				17.8	
GRENADA	123	17	13.8	744	254	34.1				20.3-	
HANCOCK	1	0	.0	516	71	13.8				13.8-	
HARRISON	0	0	*	657	162	24.7				.0	
HINDS	359	65	18.1	778	530	68.1				50.0-	
HOLMES	738	138	18.7	927	495	53.4				34.7-	
HUMPHREYS	77	49	63.6	530	237	44.7				18.9	
ISSAQUENA	40	34	85.0	161	54	33.5				51.5	
ITAWAMBA	25	2	6.9	1,072	268	25.0				18.1-	
JACKSON	4	1	25.0	428	114	26.6				1.6-	
JASPER	182	89	48.9	723	386	53.4				4.5-	
JEFFERSON	225	181	80.4	578	336	58.1				22.3	
JEFFERSON DAVIS	266	92	34.6	669	304	45.4				10.8-	
JONES	187	84	44.9	1,160	518	44.7				.2	
KEMPER	165	27	16.4	448	129	28.8				12.4-	
LAFAYETTE	297	166	55.9	1,357	750	55.3				.6	
LAMAR	11	24	218.2	829	647	78.0				140.2	
LAUDERDALE	109	37	33.9	924	616	66.7				32.8-	
LAWRENCE	109	68	62.4	569	344	60.5				1.9	
LEAKE	89	59	66.3	468	368	78.6				12.3-	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-87.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: CUMULATIVE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	: COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL :		: COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: ASSISTANCE :	: PCT. :	
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	PCT. PTS.
LEE	95	61.1	58	61.1	1,569	729	46.5	14.6		
LEFLORE	79	11.4	9	11.4	562	185	32.9	21.5-		
LINCOLN	80	53.8	43	53.8	879	548	62.3	8.5-		
LOWNDES	135	35.6	48	35.6	620	221	35.6	.0		
MAHON	585	4.1	24	4.1	923	102	11.1	7.0-		
MARION	104	33.7	35	33.7	550	298	54.2	20.5-		
MARSHALL	764	16.2	124	16.2	1,398	491	35.1	18.9-		
MONTGOMERY	91	8.8	8	8.8	409	238	58.2	49.4-		
MONTGOMERY	147	19.0	28	19.0	942	233	24.7	5.7-		
NESHOBA	64	81.3	52	81.3	796	647	81.3	.0		
NEWTON	132	56.8	75	56.8	1,028	427	41.5	15.3		
NOXUBEE	230	8.3	19	8.3	566	173	30.6	22.3-		
OKTIBBEHA	137	47.4	65	47.4	501	189	37.7	9.7		
PANOLA	456	25.9	118	25.9	1,927	453	23.5	2.4		
PEARL RIVER	17	64.7	11	64.7	859	571	66.5	1.8-		
PERRY	78	67.9	53	67.9	872	357	40.9	27.0		
PIKE	111	67.6	75	67.6	690	450	65.2	2.4		
PONTOTOC	71	139.4	99	139.4	1,521	599	39.4	100.0		
PRENTISS	33	51.5	17	51.5	999	628	62.9	11.4-		
QUITMAN	97	47.4	46	47.4	584	212	36.3	11.1		
RANKIN	291	16.2	47	16.2	855	309	36.1	19.9-		
SCOTT	196	27.0	53	27.0	1,342	438	32.6	5.6-		
SHARKEY	23	213.0	49	213.0	235	77	32.8	180.2		
SIMPSON	178	22.5	40	22.5	930	233	25.1	2.6-		
SMITH	58	74.1	43	74.1	631	395	62.6	11.5		
STONE	31	48.4	15	48.4	555	237	42.7	5.7		
SUNFLOWER	34	32.4	11	32.4	781	291	37.3	4.9-		
TALLAHATCHIE	182	31.9	58	31.9	1,444	539	37.3	5.4-		
TATE	157	70.1	110	70.1	1,122	628	56.0	14.1		
TIPPAH	135	38.5	52	38.5	1,823	799	43.8	5.3-		
TISHOMINGO	12	33.3	4	33.3	540	168	31.1	2.2		
TUNICA	52	86.5	45	86.5	214	126	58.9	27.6		
UNION	84	10.7	9	10.7	1,768	369	20.9	10.2-		
WALTHALL	154	59.7	92	59.7	773	350	45.3	14.4		
WARREN	110	14.5	16	14.5	470	158	33.6	19.1-		
WASHINGTON	70	34.3	24	34.3	368	214	58.2	23.9-		
WAYNE	81	160.5	130	160.5	757	450	59.4	101.1		
WEBSTER	38	50.0	19	50.0	945	314	33.2	16.8		
WILKINSON	58	36.2	21	36.2	313	201	64.2	28.0-		
WINSTON	139	54.0	75	54.0	655	390	59.5	5.5-		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-87.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN MISSISSIPPI

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :	: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :	: COOPERATORS :	
	NO.		NO.			NO.		NO.		
YALOBUSHA	195	55	28.2	1,019		241	23.7			4.5
YAZOO	155	62	40.0	965		559	57.9			17.9-
"ECM PROJECTS"	1	19	900.0	0		76	*			.0
TOTAL	11,573	4,417	38.2	67,342		28,829	42.8			4.6-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-88.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NEW MEXICO

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.		NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	
BERNALILLO	198	33.8	67	33.8		187		129	69.0	35.2-
CATRON	50	52.0	26	52.0		180		99	55.0	3.0-
CHAVES	19	68.4	13	68.4		624		273	43.8	24.6
CULFAX	58	50.0	29	50.0		269		112	41.6	8.4
CURRY	0	*	0	*		739		308	41.7	.0
DE BACA	13	84.6	11	84.6		219		152	69.4	15.2
DONA ANA	200	59.5	119	59.5		436		264	60.6	1.1-
EDDY	66	51.5	34	51.5		519		159	30.6	20.9
GRANT	20	65.0	13	65.0		150		89	59.3	5.7
GUADALUPE	184	45.7	84	45.7		91		52	57.1	11.4-
HARDING	24	58.3	14	58.3		93		72	77.4	19.1-
HIDALGO	12	66.7	8	66.7		184		80	43.5	23.2
LEA	5	80.0	4	80.0		393		316	80.4	.4-
LINCOLN	68	45.6	31	45.6		220		122	55.5	9.9-
LUS ALAMOS	0	*	0	*		0		1	*	.0
LUNA	29	86.2	25	86.2		227		177	78.0	8.2
MCKINLEY	40	100.0	40	100.0		80		24	30.0	70.0
MORA	251	36.7	92	36.7		112		53	47.3	10.6-
UTERO	18	50.0	9	50.0		238		110	46.2	3.8
QUAY	16	62.5	10	62.5		492		309	62.8	.3-
RIO ARriba	397	43.1	171	43.1		234		126	53.8	10.7-
ROOSEVELT	5	40.0	2	40.0		735		459	62.4	22.4-
SANDOVAL	215	38.1	82	38.1		97		45	46.4	8.3-
SAN JUAN	38	89.5	34	89.5		219		109	49.8	39.7
SAN MIGUEL	334	42.8	143	42.8		194		59	30.4	12.4
SANTA FE	125	77.6	97	77.6		169		140	82.8	5.2-
SIERRA	56	46.4	26	46.4		195		53	27.2	19.2
SUCURRU	160	33.8	54	33.8		181		98	54.1	20.3-
TAOS	315	32.7	103	32.7		127		53	41.7	9.0-
TURRANCE	43	41.9	18	41.9		218		137	62.8	20.9-
UNION	21	33.3	7	33.3		385		171	44.4	11.1-
VALENCIA	513	27.3	140	27.3		426		232	54.5	27.2-
TOTAL	3,493	43.1	1,506	43.1		8,633		4,583	53.1	10.0-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-89.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: ASSISTANCE : : PCI. :		NO.	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: ASSISTANCE : : PCI. :		NO.	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
ALAMANCE	79	29	36.7		815	307	37.7		307	37.7	1.0-
ALEXANDER	8	0	.0		438	193	44.1		193	44.1	44.1-
ALLEGHANY	8	5	62.5		620	226	36.5		226	36.5	26.0
ANSON	111	10	9.0		587	237	40.4		237	40.4	31.4-
ASHE	4	4	100.0		668	280	41.9		280	41.9	58.1
AVERY	0	0	*		424	161	38.0		161	38.0	.0
BEAUFORT	71	8	11.3		1,051	242	23.0		242	23.0	11.7-
BERTIE	70	29	41.4		562	263	46.8		263	46.8	5.4-
BLADEN	139	86	61.9		643	313	48.7		313	48.7	13.2
BRUNSWICK	47	58	123.4		421	367	87.2		367	87.2	36.2
BUNCOMBE	0	4	*		777	202	26.0		202	26.0	.0
BURKE	5	10	200.0		525	291	55.4		291	55.4	144.6
CABARRUS	17	7	41.2		797	302	37.9		302	37.9	3.3
CALDWELL	3	6	200.0		532	310	58.3		310	58.3	141.7
CAMDEN	24	19	79.2		254	97	38.2		97	38.2	41.0
CARTERET	9	5	55.6		458	352	76.9		352	76.9	21.3-
CASWELL	134	39	29.1		854	164	19.2		164	19.2	9.9
CATAWBA	9	8	88.9		578	263	45.5		263	45.5	43.4
CHATAM	20	20	100.0		571	290	50.8		290	50.8	49.2
CHEROKEE	1	1	100.0		425	243	57.2		243	57.2	42.8
CHOWAN	35	16	45.7		418	109	26.1		109	26.1	19.6
CLAY	1	1	100.0		273	156	57.1		156	57.1	42.9
CLEVELAND	46	33	71.7		1,179	235	19.9		235	19.9	51.8
COLUMBUS	242	75	31.0		648	265	40.9		265	40.9	9.9-
CRAVEN	117	23	19.7		826	335	40.6		335	40.6	20.9-
CUMBERLAND	196	27	13.8		878	260	29.6		260	29.6	15.8-
CURRITUCK	12	6	50.0		235	147	62.6		147	62.6	12.6-
DARE	0	3	*		20	97	485.0		97	485.0	.0
DAVISON	6	0	.0		1,020	351	34.4		351	34.4	34.4-
DAVIE	24	1	4.2		998	181	18.1		181	18.1	13.9-
DUPLIN	104	25	24.0		1,466	299	20.4		299	20.4	3.6
DURHAM	37	44	118.9		322	306	95.0		306	95.0	23.9
EDGECOMBE	49	29	59.2		670	391	58.4		391	58.4	.8
FORSYTH	14	14	100.0		903	618	68.4		618	68.4	31.6
FRANKLIN	80	37	46.3		845	453	53.6		453	53.6	7.3-
GASTON	12	3	25.0		616	319	51.8		319	51.8	26.8-
GATES	51	3	5.9		522	140	26.8		140	26.8	20.9-
GRAHAM	4	0	.0		375	278	74.1		278	74.1	74.1-
GRANVILLE	69	47	68.1		755	367	48.6		367	48.6	19.5
GREENE	48	19	39.6		1,031	352	34.1		352	34.1	5.5

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-89.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
GUILFORD	40	12	30.0	1,451	363	25.0	5.0				
HALIFAX	164	66	40.2	759	275	36.2	4.0				
HARNETT	22	5	22.7	721	299	41.5	18.8-				
HAYWOOD	0	2	*	610	254	41.6	.0				
HENDERSON	3	3	100.0	529	240	45.4	54.6				
HERTFORD	74	27	36.5	566	210	37.1	.6-				
HUKE	34	17	50.0	444	213	48.0	2.0				
HYDE	27	17	63.0	298	173	58.1	4.9				
IREDELL	27	7	25.9	1,142	317	27.8	1.9-				
JACKSON	1	3	300.0	568	418	73.6	226.4				
JOHNSTON	19	26	136.8	1,219	753	61.8	75.0				
JONES	56	23	41.1	690	291	42.2	1.1-				
LEE	11	9	81.8	384	212	55.2	26.6				
LENOIR	54	33	61.1	1,317	301	22.9	38.2				
LINCOLN	3	0	.0	781	304	38.9	38.9-				
MCDOWELL	0	0	*	619	221	35.7	.0				
MACON	2	2	100.0	722	384	53.2	46.8				
MADISON	0	0	*	342	147	43.0	.0				
MARTIN	57	6	10.5	665	365	54.9	44.4-				
MECKLENBURG	33	47	142.4	685	795	116.1	26.3				
MITCHELL	0	31	*	480	149	31.0	.0				
MONTGOMERY	62	31	50.0	1,771	272	15.4	34.6				
MOORE	0	6	*	44	249	565.9	.0				
NASH	66	9	13.6	1,162	496	42.7	29.1-				
NEW HANOVER	1	8	800.0	120	108	90.0	710.0				
NORTH HAMPTON	103	29	28.2	570	333	58.4	30.2-				
ONSLOW	52	12	23.1	761	312	41.0	17.9-				
ORANGE	82	54	65.9	961	266	27.7	38.2				
PAMLICO	23	10	43.5	525	122	23.2	20.3				
PASQUOTANK	27	16	59.3	276	115	41.7	17.6				
PENDER	64	24	37.5	643	223	34.7	2.8				
PERQUIMANS	59	32	54.2	485	150	30.9	23.3				
PERSON	112	39	34.8	866	338	39.0	4.2-				
PITT	52	48	92.3	1,428	481	33.7	58.6				
PULK	7	17	242.9	442	186	42.1	200.8				
RANDOLPH	11	4	36.4	730	190	26.0	10.4				
RICHMOND	35	7	20.0	552	315	57.1	37.1-				
ROBESON	198	94	47.5	579	494	85.3	37.8-				
ROCKINGHAM	63	21	33.3	1,317	495	37.6	4.3-				
ROWAN	14	3	21.4	852	278	32.6	11.2-				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-89.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN NORTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
RUTHERFORD	13	38.5	5	38.5	1,096	323	29.5	9.0		
SAMPSON	105	40.0	42	40.0	968	463	47.8	7.8-		
SCOTLAND	18	5.6	1	5.6	250	91	36.4	30.8-		
STANLY	4	.0	0	.0	645	197	30.5	30.5-		
STOKES	19	10.5	2	10.5	1,132	227	20.1	9.6-		
SURRY	21	9.5	2	9.5	1,992	373	18.7	9.2-		
SWAIN	26	257.7	67	257.7	420	256	61.0	196.7		
TRANSYLVANIA	0	*	0	*	274	225	82.1	.0		
TYRRELL	47	42.6	20	42.6	263	152	57.8	15.2-		
UNION	16	12.5	2	12.5	699	280	40.1	27.6-		
VANCE	87	34.5	30	34.5	713	254	35.6	1.1-		
WAKE	58	27.6	27	27.6	1,215	582	47.9	20.3-		
WARREN	256	39.1	100	39.1	611	212	34.7	4.4		
WASHINGTON	23	91.3	21	91.3	540	191	35.4	55.9		
WATAUGA	0	*	1	*	563	166	29.5	.0		
WAYNE	57	24.6	14	24.6	1,549	466	30.1	5.5-		
WILKES	5	80.0	4	80.0	933	378	40.5	39.5		
WILSON	34	161.8	55	161.8	823	432	52.5	109.3		
YADKIN	13	38.5	5	38.5	1,252	490	39.1	.6-		
YANCEY	0	*	0	*	444	100	22.5	.0		
TOTAL	4,406	44.3	1,952	44.3	71,458	28,727	40.2	4.1		

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-90.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS :		: COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
ADAIR	64	39	60.9	843	527	62.5	1.6-				
ALFALFA	0	0	*	1,397	338	24.2	.0				
ATOKA	24	8	33.3	1,188	567	47.7	14.4-				
BEAVER	0	0	*	998	290	29.1	.0				
BECKHAM	0	0	*	1,221	340	27.8	.0				
BLAINE	31	10	32.3	1,717	639	37.2	4.9-				
BRYAN	20	12	60.0	1,899	957	50.4	9.6				
CAUDO	5	7	140.0	2,784	819	29.4	110.6				
CANADIAN	6	1	16.7	2,410	579	24.0	7.3-				
CARTER	87	70	80.5	1,294	947	73.2	7.3				
CHEROKEE	28	10	35.7	871	380	43.6	7.9-				
CHOCTAW	90	52	57.8	1,116	665	59.6	1.8-				
CIMARRON	0	0	*	746	465	62.3	.0				
CLEVELAND	14	12	85.7	1,262	451	35.7	50.0				
COAL	9	5	55.6	667	382	57.3	1.7-				
COMANCHE	7	3	42.9	858	464	54.1	11.2-				
COTTON	1	3	300.0	545	361	66.2	233.8				
CRAIG	7	6	85.7	650	313	48.2	37.5				
CREEK	115	31	27.0	1,583	352	22.2	4.8				
CUSTER	2	0	.0	1,828	553	30.3	30.3-				
DELAWARE	22	13	59.1	1,254	508	40.5	18.6				
DEWEY	0	1	*	1,057	303	28.7	.0				
ELLIS	0	0	*	1,045	398	38.1	.0				
GARFIELD	2	0	.0	1,838	463	25.2	25.2-				
GARVIN	40	7	17.5	1,908	489	25.6	8.1-				
GRADY	5	3	60.0	2,230	461	20.7	39.3				
GRANT	2	1	50.0	1,324	506	38.2	11.8				
GREER	0	0	*	902	262	29.0	.0				
HARMON	0	0	*	936	405	43.3	.0				
HARPER	0	0	*	744	261	35.1	.0				
HASKELL	30	6	20.0	837	210	25.1	5.1-				
HUGHES	38	8	21.1	1,031	345	33.5	12.4-				
JACKSON	0	0	*	1,385	381	27.5	.0				
JEFFERSON	0	0	*	631	213	33.8	.0				
JOHNSTON	19	8	42.1	832	442	53.1	11.0-				
KAY	3	2	66.7	1,559	618	39.6	27.1				
KINGFISHER	41	14	34.1	1,548	565	36.5	2.4-				
KIOWA	1	0	.0	1,618	565	34.9	34.9-				
LATIMER	13	9	69.2	1,222	480	39.3	29.9				
LE FLORE	78	12	15.4	1,575	666	42.3	26.9-				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-90.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		PCT.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		RECIPIENTS : OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :		PCT.		
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PIS.
LINCOLN	25	19	76.0	1,713		768	44.8	31.2				
LUGAN	58	3	3.1	1,748		247	14.1	11.0-				
LOVE	14	11	78.6	910		246	27.0	51.6				
MCCLAINE	6	0	.0	1,268		280	22.1	22.1-				
MCCURTAIN	143	72	50.3	1,717		1,065	62.0	11.7-				
MCINTOSH	140	32	22.9	1,237		491	39.7	16.8-				
MAJOR	1	0	.0	1,260		390	31.0	31.0-				
MARSHALL	14	1	7.1	675		284	42.1	35.0-				
MAYES	19	4	21.1	1,072		429	40.0	18.9-				
MURRAY	10	10	100.0	643		301	46.8	53.2				
MUSKOGEE	271	39	14.4	1,352		408	30.2	15.8-				
NOBLE	3	4	133.3	1,395		422	30.3	103.0				
NOWATA	33	26	78.8	920		404	43.9	34.9				
OKFUSKEE	139	70	50.4	929		367	39.5	10.9				
OKLAHOMA	37	11	29.7	914		572	62.6	32.9-				
OKMULGEE	180	98	54.4	1,179		644	54.6	.2-				
OSAGE	53	26	49.1	919		240	26.1	23.0				
OTTAWA	357	113	31.7	655		412	62.9	31.2-				
PANTEE	2	0	.0	679		229	33.7	33.7-				
PAYNE	3	0	.0	1,101		281	25.5	25.5-				
PITTSBURG	30	10	33.3	1,273		524	41.2	7.9-				
PONTOTOC	17	18	105.9	1,261		401	31.8	74.1				
PUTTAWATOMIE	44	31	70.5	1,884		737	39.1	31.4				
PUSHMATAHA	18	6	33.3	1,135		580	51.1	17.8-				
ROGER MILLS	0	0	*	1,197		365	30.5	.0				
ROGERS	78	50	64.1	1,498		590	39.4	24.7				
SEMINOLE	115	56	48.7	1,670		640	38.3	10.4				
SEQUOYAH	37	24	64.9	800		482	60.3	4.6				
STEPHENS	1	1	100.0	1,014		488	48.1	51.9				
TEXAS	0	0	*	1,138		697	61.2	.0				
TILLMAN	1	0	.0	1,145		493	43.1	43.1-				
TULSA	21	13	61.9	1,068		782	73.2	11.3-				
WAGONER	94	92	97.9	865		551	63.7	34.2				
WASHINGTON	25	14	56.0	836		351	42.0	14.0				
WASHINGTON	4	1	25.0	2,610		1,042	39.9	14.9-				
WOODS	1	0	.0	1,400		606	43.3	43.3-				
WOODWARD	0	0	*	1,156		832	72.0	.0				

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-90.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN OKLAHOMA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :					: RECIPIENTS :				
	: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL :					: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL :				
	COOPERATORS :	NO.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	COOPERATORS :	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
TOTAL	:	2,838	1,208	42.6	95,589	:	37,571	39.3	3.3	

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-91.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE : COOPERATORS :		: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE : COOPERATORS :		: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE : COOPERATORS :		: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE : COOPERATORS :		: CUMULATIVE : OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE : COOPERATORS :			
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.	PCT.	PCT.
ADAMS	0	0	0	*	1,210	300	24.8	0	24.8	300	24.8	0
ALLEGHENY	4	3	3	75.0	453	247	54.5	3	54.5	247	54.5	20.5
ARMSTRONG	1	2	2	200.0	567	790	139.3	1	139.3	790	139.3	60.7
BEAVER	2	11	11	550.0	407	766	188.2	2	188.2	766	188.2	361.8
BEDFORD	1	0	0	.0	776	304	39.2	1	39.2	304	39.2	39.2
BERKS	4	2	2	50.0	2,421	531	21.9	4	21.9	531	21.9	28.1
BLAIR	0	0	0	*	418	291	69.6	0	69.6	291	69.6	0
BRADFORD	3	1	1	33.3	1,768	415	23.5	3	23.5	415	23.5	9.8
BUCKS	2	0	0	.0	1,223	689	56.3	2	56.3	689	56.3	56.3
BUTLER	2	0	0	.0	528	274	51.9	2	51.9	274	51.9	51.9
CAMBRIA	1	1	1	100.0	525	210	40.0	1	40.0	210	40.0	60.0
CAMERON	0	0	0	*	65	20	30.8	0	30.8	20	30.8	0
CARBON	1	1	1	100.0	305	103	33.8	1	33.8	103	33.8	66.2
CENTRE	1	0	0	.0	599	171	28.5	1	28.5	171	28.5	28.5
CHESTER	7	3	3	42.9	2,077	231	11.1	7	11.1	231	11.1	31.8
CLARION	1	1	1	100.0	670	165	24.6	1	24.6	165	24.6	75.4
CLEARFIELD	0	0	0	*	468	125	26.7	0	26.7	125	26.7	0
CLINTON	0	0	0	*	468	61	13.0	0	13.0	61	13.0	0
COLUMBIA	1	0	0	.0	1,221	351	28.7	1	28.7	351	28.7	28.7
CRAWFORD	5	1	1	20.0	1,133	417	36.8	5	36.8	417	36.8	16.8
CUMBERLAND	1	4	4	400.0	889	203	22.8	1	22.8	203	22.8	377.2
DAUPHIN	3	1	1	33.3	848	217	25.6	3	25.6	217	25.6	7.7
DELAWARE	0	0	0	*	85	53	62.4	0	62.4	53	62.4	0
ELK	0	0	0	*	187	94	50.3	0	50.3	94	50.3	0
ERIE	2	0	0	.0	1,084	318	29.3	2	29.3	318	29.3	29.3
FAYETTE	2	1	1	50.0	734	311	42.4	2	42.4	311	42.4	7.6
FOREST	0	0	0	*	16	18	112.5	0	112.5	18	112.5	0
FRANKLIN	2	1	1	50.0	713	387	54.3	2	54.3	387	54.3	4.3
FULTON	2	1	1	50.0	752	143	19.0	2	19.0	143	19.0	31.0
GREENE	2	0	0	.0	930	367	39.5	2	39.5	367	39.5	39.5
HUNTINGDON	1	0	0	.0	499	146	29.3	1	29.3	146	29.3	29.3
INDIANA	1	0	0	.0	1,380	434	31.4	1	31.4	434	31.4	31.4
JEFFERSON	0	0	0	*	623	436	70.0	0	70.0	436	70.0	0
JUNIATA	0	0	0	*	34	129	379.4	0	379.4	129	379.4	0
LACKAWANNA	1	0	0	.0	433	178	41.1	1	41.1	178	41.1	41.1
LANCASTER	1	0	0	.0	2,122	449	21.2	1	21.2	449	21.2	21.2
LAWRENCE	0	0	0	*	531	158	29.8	0	29.8	158	29.8	0
LEBANON	0	0	0	*	924	258	27.9	0	27.9	258	27.9	0
LEHIGH	2	0	0	.0	975	157	16.1	2	16.1	157	16.1	16.1
LUZERNE	1	0	0	.0	612	245	40.0	1	40.0	245	40.0	40.0

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-9] --TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN PENNSYLVANIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	CUMULATIVE :	OF TECHNICAL :	CUMULATIVE :	OF TECHNICAL :		CUMULATIVE :	OF TECHNICAL :	CUMULATIVE :	OF TECHNICAL :	
	COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :	COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :		COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :	COOPERATORS :	ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
LYCOMING	3	33.3	1	33.3		1,151	236	20.5		12.8
MCKEAN	1	200.0	2	200.0		262	64	24.4		175.6
MERCER	7	.0	0	.0		1,218	487	40.0		40.0-
MIFFLIN	0	*	0	*		928	272	29.3		.0
MUNRUE	4	75.0	3	75.0		758	298	39.3		35.7
MONTGOMERY	0	*	0	*		513	132	25.7		.0
MONTGOMERY	0	*	0	*		394	172	43.7		.0
NORTHAMPTON	0	*	0	*		638	139	21.8		.0
NORTHAMBERLAND	1	.0	0	.0		964	321	33.3		33.3-
PERRY	0	*	0	*		929	244	26.3		.0
PHILADELPHIA	0	*	0	*		0	1	*		.0
PIKE	0	*	0	*		202	62	30.7		.0
POTTER	2	100.0	2	100.0		678	206	30.4		69.6
SCHUYLKILL	0	*	2	*		1,106	232	21.0		.0
SNYDER	0	*	0	*		673	261	38.8		.0
SOMERSET	0	*	0	*		717	385	53.7		.0
SULLIVAN	0	*	0	*		193	34	17.6		.0
SUSQUEHANNA	1	.0	0	.0		1,145	498	43.5		43.5-
TIOGA	6	.0	0	.0		1,421	268	18.9		18.9-
UNION	1	100.0	1	100.0		596	224	37.6		62.4
VENANGO	2	.0	0	.0		410	148	36.1		36.1-
WARREN	1	.0	0	.0		599	155	25.9		25.9-
WASHINGTON	6	83.3	5	83.3		1,516	824	54.4		28.9
WAYNE	1	100.0	1	100.0		1,160	424	36.6		63.4
WESTMORELAND	1	.0	0	.0		1,323	342	25.9		25.9-
WYOMING	5	40.0	2	40.0		905	546	60.3		20.3-
YORK	1	100.0	1	100.0		1,883	268	14.2		85.8
TOTAL	100	56.0	56	56.0		53,955	18,405	34.1		21.9

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-92.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: NO. :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: NO. :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	
ABBEVILLE	47	16	34.0	764	195	25.5	8.5				
AIKEN	192	40	20.8	463	289	62.4	41.6-				
ALLENDALE	38	17	44.7	290	163	56.2	11.5-				
ANDERSON	50	10	20.0	1,461	506	34.6	14.6-				
BAMBERG	24	9	37.5	377	154	40.8	3.3-				
BARNWELL	45	20	44.4	570	223	39.1	5.3				
BLAUFORT	15	9	60.0	78	90	115.4	55.4-				
BERKELEY	53	34	64.2	283	147	51.9	12.3				
CALHOUN	14	13	92.9	349	135	38.7	54.2				
CHARLESTON	36	27	75.0	266	243	91.4	16.4-				
CHERUKEE	17	23	135.3	794	281	35.4	99.9				
CHESTER	81	26	32.1	740	286	38.6	6.5-				
CHESTERFIELD	96	43	44.8	1,640	595	36.3	8.5				
CLARENDON	65	49	75.4	376	378	100.5	25.1-				
COLLETON	41	39	95.1	570	288	50.5	44.6				
DARLINGTON	77	55	71.4	865	468	54.1	17.3				
DILLON	32	12	37.5	522	320	61.3	23.8-				
DORCHESTER	21	20	95.2	278	281	101.1	5.9-				
EDGEFIELD	66	28	42.4	744	354	47.6	5.2-				
FAIRFIELD	62	42	67.7	504	256	50.8	16.9				
FLORENCE	68	44	64.7	468	430	91.9	27.2-				
GEORGETOWN	32	20	62.5	212	264	124.5	62.0-				
GREENVILLE	56	11	19.6	1,553	409	26.3	6.7-				
GREENWOOD	35	27	77.1	384	319	83.1	6.0-				
HAMPTON	53	35	66.0	387	220	56.8	9.2				
HURRY	56	34	60.7	873	927	106.2	45.5-				
JASPER	19	11	57.9	108	65	60.2	2.3-				
KERSHAW	96	38	39.6	766	412	53.8	14.2-				
LANCASTER	26	18	69.2	733	258	35.2	34.0				
LAURENS	76	15	19.7	1,547	377	24.4	4.7-				
LEE	51	33	64.7	537	451	84.0	19.3-				
LEXINGTON	15	4	26.7	683	410	60.0	33.3-				
MCCORMICK	54	32	59.3	292	87	29.8	29.5				
MARION	75	88	117.3	455	473	104.0	13.3				
MARLBORO	55	18	32.7	804	292	36.3	3.6-				
NEWBERRY	20	7	35.0	502	190	37.8	2.8-				
OCONEE	28	4	14.3	1,224	232	19.0	4.7-				
ORANGEBURG	90	32	35.6	893	282	31.6	4.0				
PICKENS	12	14	116.7	952	426	44.7	72.0				
RICHLAND	107	42	39.3	865	387	44.7	5.4-				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-92.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN SOUTH CAROLINA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE :
	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :		: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :	: CUMULATIVE :	: OF TECHNICAL :	
	: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :	: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :		: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :	: COOPERATORS :	: ASSISTANCE :	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
SALUDA	45	22	48.9	856	334	39.0	9.9			
SPARTANBURG	35	30	85.7	882	568	64.4	21.3			
SUMTER	110	35	31.8	565	312	55.2	23.4			
UNION	25	3	12.0	421	281	66.7	54.7			
WILLIAMSBURG	153	153	100.0	405	353	87.2	12.8			
YORK	57	13	22.8	1,498	248	16.6	6.2			
TOTAL	2,521	1,315	52.2	30,799	14,659	47.6	4.6			

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-93.—TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		PCT.	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		PCT.	
	NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		NO.	NO.	NO.	NO.		
ANDERSON	0	0	0	*		239	86	36.0			.0
BEUFORD	16	14	87.5			644	451	70.0			17.5
BENTON	7	4	57.1			575	134	23.3			33.8
BLED SOE	2	0	.0			420	76	18.1			18.1-
BLOUNT	14	5	35.7			972	133	13.7			22.0
BRADLEY	10	1	10.0			418	278	66.5			56.5-
CAMPBELL	0	0	*			373	74	19.8			.0
CANNON	5	1	20.0			742	226	30.5			10.5-
CARROLL	55	7	12.7			971	172	17.7			5.0-
CARTER	0	5	*			711	196	27.6			.0
CHEATHAM	14	1	7.1			501	93	18.6			11.5-
CHESTER	24	5	20.8			550	184	33.5			12.7-
CLAIBORNE	10	2	20.0			517	156	30.2			10.2-
CLAY	5	0	.0			543	132	24.3			24.3-
COCKE	13	10	76.9			753	149	19.8			57.1
COFFEE	0	1	*			558	174	31.2			.0
CROCKETT	53	24	45.3			911	253	27.8			17.5
CUMBERLAND	0	0	*			640	345	53.9			.0
DAVIDSON	30	26	86.7			653	655	100.3			13.6-
DECATUR	5	1	20.0			426	328	77.0			57.0-
DE KALB	0	0	*			1,016	278	27.4			.0
DICKSON	6	4	66.7			564	193	34.2			32.5
DYER	4	2	50.0			851	250	29.4			20.6
FAYETTE	80	21	26.3			723	264	36.5			10.2-
FENTRESS	0	0	*			392	92	23.5			.0
FRANKLIN	24	16	66.7			749	297	39.7			27.0
GIBSON	71	23	32.4			1,635	268	16.4			16.0
GILES	54	14	25.9			902	502	55.7			29.8-
GRAINGER	11	3	27.3			1,956	725	37.1			9.8-
GRUNDY	0	0	*			422	74	17.5			.0
HAMBLEN	11	35	318.2			564	177	31.4			286.8
HAMILTON	13	17	130.8			990	619	62.5			68.3
HANCOCK	7	0	.0			444	138	31.1			31.1-
HARDAMAN	165	0	.0			1,172	169	14.4			14.4-
HARDIN	7	0	.0			1,107	70	6.3			6.3-
HAWKINS	7	1	14.3			756	309	40.9			26.6-
HAYWOOD	115	26	22.6			886	263	29.7			7.1-
HENDERSON	22	7	31.8			486	188	38.7			6.9-
HENRY	29	5	17.2			990	184	18.6			1.4-
HICKMAN	6	3	50.0			400	173	43.3			6.7

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-93.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :	CF. TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :	RECIPIENTS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : PCT.	PERCENTAGE : PCT.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :	OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE :	RECIPIENTS : NO.	PERCENTAGE : PCT.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
HOUSTON	5	5	5	100.0	5	314	132	42.0	58.0	
HUMPHREYS	5	5	4	80.0	229	428	53.5	26.5		
JACKSON	0	0	0	*	1,031	109	10.6	0		
JEFFERSON	7	7	5	71.4	679	440	64.8	6.6		
JOHNSON	3	3	1	33.3	689	214	31.1	2.2		
KNOX	5	5	1	20.0	652	389	59.7	39.7-		
LAKE	0	0	0	*	41	36	87.8	0		
LAUDERDALE	71	71	45	63.4	1,049	286	27.3	36.1		
LAWRENCE	10	10	8	80.0	812	510	62.8	17.2		
LEWIS	4	4	0	0	303	121	39.9	39.9-		
LINCOLN	9	9	3	33.3	301	262	87.0	53.7-		
LOUDON	3	3	0	0	492	204	41.5	41.5-		
MCMINN	30	30	16	53.3	466	272	58.4	5.1-		
MCNAIRY	11	11	7	63.6	1,002	463	46.2	17.4		
MACON	7	7	0	0	990	216	21.8	21.8-		
MADISON	307	307	25	8.1	1,201	174	14.5	6.4-		
MARION	3	3	0	0	305	89	29.2	29.2-		
MARSHALL	10	10	11	110.0	536	359	67.0	43.0		
MAURY	70	70	76	108.6	1,213	1,122	92.5	16.1		
MEIGS	17	17	8	47.1	493	171	34.7	12.4		
MUNRUE	7	7	1	14.3	685	309	45.1	30.8-		
MONTGOMERY	20	20	7	35.0	741	336	45.3	10.3-		
MOORE	10	10	4	40.0	204	99	48.5	8.5-		
MORGAN	0	0	0	*	602	107	17.8	0		
OBION	6	6	6	100.0	1,145	311	27.2	72.8		
OVERTON	2	2	0	0	1,152	358	31.1	31.1-		
PERRY	3	3	1	33.3	259	110	42.5	9.2-		
PICKETT	0	0	0	*	518	152	29.3	0		
POLK	0	0	0	*	295	115	39.0	0		
PUTNAM	12	12	6	50.0	1,072	663	61.8	11.8-		
RHEA	0	0	2	*	454	152	33.5	0		
RUANE	5	5	0	0	469	186	39.7	39.7-		
ROBERTSON	14	14	19	135.7	600	275	45.8	89.9		
RUTHERFORD	43	43	6	14.0	772	335	43.4	29.4-		
SCOTT	0	0	0	*	330	146	44.2	0		
SEQUATCHIE	0	0	0	*	216	70	32.4	0		
SEVIER	4	4	1	25.0	595	433	72.8	47.8-		
SHELBY	75	75	30	40.0	836	379	45.3	5.3-		
SMITH	25	25	9	36.0	1,345	487	36.2	0.2-		
STEWART	3	3	6	200.0	360	134	37.2	162.8		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-93.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TENNESSEE

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		PCT.	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		PCT.
	NO.		NO.			NO.		NO.		
SULLIVAN	1	0	0	.0		644	503	78.1	78.1-	
SUMNER	36	6	6	16.7		1,255	241	19.2	2.5-	
TIPTON	119	21	21	17.6		1,150	391	34.0	16.4-	
TROUSDALE	23	17	17	73.9		370	201	54.3	19.6	
UNICOI	0	0	0	*		343	170	49.6	.0	
UNION	0	0	0	*		384	58	15.1	.0	
VAN BUREN	0	0	0	*		372	148	39.8	.0	
WARREN	8	0	0	.0		1,091	311	28.5	28.5-	
WASHINGTON	0	0	0	*		769	325	42.3	.0	
WAYNE	3	3	3	100.0		344	309	89.8	10.2	
WEAKLEY	11	3	3	27.3		1,233	244	19.8	7.5	
WHITE	4	6	6	150.0		654	310	47.4	102.6	
WILLIAMSON	18	6	6	33.3		684	274	40.1	6.8-	
WILSON	40	19	19	47.5		842	623	74.0	26.5-	
TOTAL	1,964	678	678	34.5		64,904	24,591	37.9	3.4-	

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: ASSISTANCE :
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.
ANDERSON	256		140	54.7	1,550		784	50.6	784	50.6
ANDREWS	0		1	*	81		146	180.2	146	180.2
ANGELINA	6		2	33.3	582		392	67.4	392	67.4
ARANSAS	0		1	*	23		12	52.2	12	52.2
ARCHER	1		1	100.0	541		293	54.2	293	54.2
ARMSTRONG	0		0	*	311		207	66.6	207	66.6
ATASCOSA	86		34	39.5	1,221		673	55.1	673	55.1
AUSTIN	14		10	71.4	960		432	45.0	432	45.0
BAILEY	4		5	125.0	528		393	74.4	393	74.4
BANDERA	6		5	83.3	364		325	89.3	325	89.3
BASTROP	94		29	30.9	992		590	59.5	590	59.5
BAYLOR	0		1	*	542		411	75.8	411	75.8
BEE	33		34	103.0	673		273	40.6	273	40.6
BELL	17		17	100.0	1,961		772	39.4	772	39.4
BEXAR	87		69	79.3	1,215		458	37.7	458	37.7
BLANCO	10		0	0.0	533		302	56.7	302	56.7
BORDEN	1		0	0.0	157		83	52.9	83	52.9
BOSQUE	5		6	120.0	812		516	63.5	516	63.5
BOWIE	34		41	120.6	881		700	79.5	700	79.5
BRAZORIA	21		20	95.2	670		533	79.6	533	79.6
BRAZOS	41		19	46.3	746		424	56.8	424	56.8
BREWSTER	0		2	*	113		179	158.4	179	158.4
BRISCOE	0		0	*	481		369	76.7	369	76.7
BROOKS	181		159	87.8	100		103	103.0	103	103.0
BROWN	2		2	100.0	915		961	105.0	961	105.0
BURLINSON	160		135	84.4	974		521	53.5	521	53.5
BURNET	0		0	*	704		326	46.3	326	46.3
CALDWELL	38		21	55.3	1,057		609	57.6	609	57.6
CALHOUN	0		0	*	364		365	100.3	365	100.3
CALLAHAN	0		0	*	779		530	68.0	530	68.0
CAMERUN	349		119	34.1	1,674		656	39.2	656	39.2
CAMP	67		45	67.2	591		384	65.0	384	65.0
CARSON	0		0	*	473		460	97.3	460	97.3
CASS	104		71	68.3	926		618	66.7	618	66.7
CASTRO	0		8	*	588		493	83.8	493	83.8
CHAMBERS	15		12	80.0	231		142	61.5	142	61.5
CHEROKEE	69		12	17.4	1,111		290	26.1	290	26.1
CHILDRESS	2		3	150.0	687		433	63.0	433	63.0
CLAY	0		0	*	798		484	60.7	484	60.7
COCHRAN	4		2	50.0	433		460	106.2	460	106.2
SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE										
										CONTINUED

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: : : : : :		: : : : : :			: : : : : :		: : : : : :		
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
COKE	1	100.0	1	100.0	395	265	67.1	32.9		
COLEMAN	2	0	0	0	938	345	36.8	36.8-		
COLLIN	10	16	16	160.0	2,113	999	47.3	112.7		
COLLINGSWORTH	1	2	2	200.0	852	466	54.7	145.3		
COLORADO	31	56	56	180.6	797	652	81.8	98.8		
COTAL	4	2	2	50.0	446	573	128.5	78.5-		
COMANCHE	1	7	7	700.0	1,404	594	42.3	657.7		
CONCHO	5	3	3	60.0	464	337	72.6	12.6-		
COOKE	0	0	0	*	1,636	556	34.0	0		
CORYELL	10	0	0	0	889	381	42.9	42.9-		
CUTLER	1	0	0	0	351	243	69.2	69.2-		
CRANE	0	0	0	*	21	34	161.9	0		
CRUICKETT	0	0	0	*	153	112	73.2	0		
CROSBY	3	4	4	133.3	940	331	35.2	98.1		
CULBERSON	0	0	0	*	81	98	121.0	0		
DALLAM	0	0	0	*	388	362	93.3	0		
DALLAS	8	29	29	362.5	538	732	136.1	226.4		
DANBURY	1	1	1	100.0	491	141	28.7	71.3		
DEAF SMITH	2	5	5	250.0	874	631	72.2	177.8		
DELTA	2	5	5	250.0	705	628	89.1	160.9		
DENTON	4	5	5	125.0	1,489	456	30.6	94.4		
DE WITT	49	34	34	69.4	1,459	661	45.3	24.1		
DICKENS	8	14	14	175.0	443	314	70.9	104.1		
DIMMIT	29	25	25	86.2	304	176	57.9	28.3		
DUNLEY	1	337	337	100.0	499	383	76.8	23.2		
DUVAL	664	2	2	100.0	793	180	76.3	25.5-		
EASTLAND	2	0	0	0	78	691	87.1	12.9		
ECTOR	3	0	0	0	213	87	111.5	111.5-		
EDWARDS	0	0	0	*	168	168	78.9	0		
ELLIS	16	15	15	93.8	2,406	799	33.2	60.6		
EL PASO	77	110	110	142.9	209	302	144.5	1.6-		
ERATH	0	1	1	*	1,427	511	35.8	0		
FALLS	88	63	63	71.6	1,593	1,078	67.7	3.9		
FANNIN	12	1	1	8.3	1,771	468	26.4	18.1-		
FAYETTE	21	23	23	109.5	1,616	605	37.4	72.1		
FISHER	21	15	15	71.4	945	435	46.0	25.4		
FLOYD	0	1	1	*	1,029	499	48.5	0		
FOARD	3	6	6	200.0	479	521	108.8	91.2		
FORT BEND	96	17	17	17.7	919	302	32.9	15.2-		
FRANKLIN	1	3	3	300.0	526	184	35.0	265.0		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	
FREESTONE	364		114	31.3	951		604	63.5	604	63.5	32.2-
FRIO	39		37	94.9	444		282	63.5	282	63.5	31.4
GAINES	1		2	200.0	480		598	124.6	598	124.6	75.4
GALVESTON	1		7	700.0	134		102	76.1	102	76.1	623.9
GARZA	3		5	166.7	376		279	74.2	279	74.2	92.5
GILLESPIE	2		0	.0	992		474	47.8	474	47.8	47.8-
GLASSCOCK	0		0	*	152		86	56.6	86	56.6	.0
GOLIAD	9		15	166.7	425		356	83.8	356	83.8	82.9
GONZALES	40		45	112.5	1,008		596	59.1	596	59.1	53.4
GRAY	0		0	*	556		345	62.1	345	62.1	.0
GRAYSON	18		12	66.7	2,239		827	36.9	827	36.9	29.8
GREGG	29		17	58.6	239		203	84.9	203	84.9	26.3-
GRIMES	63		87	138.1	1,077		916	85.1	916	85.1	53.0
GUADALUPE	106		65	61.3	1,779		971	54.6	971	54.6	6.7
HALE	8		1	12.5	1,729		1,039	60.1	1,039	60.1	47.6-
HALL	1		2	200.0	776		583	75.1	583	75.1	124.9
HAMILTON	0		0	*	1,051		570	54.2	570	54.2	.0
HANSFORD	0		1	*	607		417	68.7	417	68.7	.0
HARDAMAN	2		14	700.0	673		580	86.2	580	86.2	613.8
HARDIN	4		5	125.0	539		218	40.4	218	40.4	84.6
HARRIS	9		173	922.2	319		1,516	475.2	1,516	475.2	447.0
HARRISON	265		102	38.5	1,079		301	27.9	301	27.9	10.6
HARTLEY	1		1	100.0	249		335	134.5	335	134.5	34.5-
HASKELL	1		0	.0	961		436	45.4	436	45.4	45.4-
HAYS	14		13	92.9	1,000		534	53.4	534	53.4	39.5
HEMPHILL	2		1	50.0	357		208	58.3	208	58.3	8.3-
HENDERSON	70		12	17.1	1,941		715	36.8	715	36.8	19.7-
HIDALGO	494		259	52.4	3,072		1,972	64.2	1,972	64.2	11.8-
HILL	29		21	72.4	1,993		488	24.5	488	24.5	47.9
HOCKLEY	2		1	50.0	1,258		719	57.2	719	57.2	7.2-
HOOD	0		0	*	455		333	73.2	333	73.2	.0
HOPKINS	40		49	122.5	1,003		633	63.1	633	63.1	59.4
HOUSTON	159		65	40.9	1,016		775	76.3	775	76.3	35.4-
HOWARD	1		3	300.0	270		306	113.3	306	113.3	186.7
HUDDSPETH	10		16	160.0	164		175	106.7	175	106.7	53.3
HUNT	18		17	94.4	1,753		652	37.2	652	37.2	57.2
HUTCHINSON	0		0	*	219		215	98.2	215	98.2	.0
IRION	0		0	*	99		39	39.4	39	39.4	.0
JACK	1		0	.0	712		604	84.8	604	84.8	84.8-
JACKSON	24		25	104.2	692		438	63.3	438	63.3	40.9

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.---TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: ASSISTANCE : : NO. :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: ASSISTANCE : : NO. :		: OF TECHNICAL : : ASSISTANCE :		: MINORITY-WHITE	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	PCI.	PIS.
JASPER	21	25	119.0		370	491	132.7				13.7-	
JEFF DAVIS	1	0	.0		72	78	108.3				108.3-	
JEFFERSON	3	9	300.0		349	439	125.8				174.2	
JIM HOGG	89	76	85.4		74	99	133.8				48.4-	
JIM WELLS	186	98	52.7		657	340	51.8				.9	
JOHNSON	8	5	62.5		1,704	587	34.4				28.1	
JONES	3	0	.0		1,003	288	28.7				28.7-	
KARNES	21	4	19.0		1,158	453	39.1				20.1-	
KAUFMAN	62	93	150.0		1,850	686	37.1				112.9	
KENDALL	3	1	33.3		710	687	96.8				63.5-	
KENEDY	4	0	.0		1	2	200.0				200.0-	
KENT	1	2	200.0		250	187	74.8				125.2	
KERR	3	0	.0		461	333	72.2				72.2-	
KIMBLE	1	1	100.0		206	241	117.0				17.0-	
KING	0	0	*		73	44	60.3				.0	
KINNEY	3	4	133.3		127	129	101.6				31.7	
KLEBERG	24	12	50.0		225	116	51.6				1.6-	
KNOX	2	0	.0		630	448	71.1				71.1-	
LAMAR	30	9	30.0		1,333	405	30.4				.4-	
LAMB	0	0	*		1,670	809	48.4				.0	
LAMPASAS	0	0	*		549	282	51.4				.0	
LA SALLE	25	18	72.0		293	193	65.9				6.1	
LAVACA	36	77	213.9		1,214	1,032	85.0				128.9	
LEE	127	54	42.5		882	503	57.0				14.5-	
LEON	92	76	82.6		954	665	69.7				12.9	
LIBERTY	55	45	89.1		706	427	60.5				28.6	
LIMESTONE	79	74	93.7		1,355	669	49.4				44.3	
LIPSOMB	0	0	*		447	533	119.2				.0	
LIVE OAK	23	7	30.4		630	214	34.0				3.6-	
LLANO	0	0	*		520	242	46.5				.0	
LOVING	2	0	.0		17	10	58.8				58.8-	
LUBBOCK	4	9	225.0		1,289	364	28.2				196.8	
LYNN	2	0	.0		845	444	52.5				52.5-	
MCCULLOCH	11	11	100.0		635	492	77.5				22.5	
MCLENNAN	57	32	56.1		2,321	693	29.9				26.2	
MCMULLEN	4	1	25.0		188	52	27.7				2.7-	
MADISON	106	48	45.3		784	537	68.5				23.2-	
MARION	55	34	61.8		359	206	57.4				4.4	
MARTIN	8	8	100.0		397	269	67.8				32.2	
MASON	1	1	100.0		415	297	71.6				28.4	

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	MINORITY					WHITE						
	: : : : : :		: : : : : :			: : : : : :		: : : : : :				
	NO.	PERCENTAGE	NO.	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE	NO.	PERCENTAGE	NO.	PERCENTAGE	PERCENTAGE	MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PTS.
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	CUMULATIVE	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.	ASSISTANCE	MINORITY-WHITE	PCT. PTS.
MATAGORDA	26	165.4	43	165.4	610	364	59.7	364	59.7	105.7		
MAVERICK	36	158.3	57	158.3	223	186	83.4	186	83.4	74.9		
MEADINA	55	40.0	22	40.0	1,364	903	66.2	903	66.2	26.2-		
MENARD	0	*	0	*	242	246	101.7	246	101.7	.0		
MIDLAND	1	.0	0	.0	244	329	134.8	329	134.8	134.8-		
MILAM	67	26.9	18	26.9	1,617	677	41.9	677	41.9	15.0-		
MILLS	1	200.0	2	200.0	576	359	62.3	359	62.3	137.7		
MITCHELL	26	69.2	18	69.2	579	355	61.3	355	61.3	7.9		
MONTAGUE	2	100.0	2	100.0	1,220	693	56.8	693	56.8	43.2		
MONTGOMERY	61	124.6	76	124.6	473	569	120.3	569	120.3	4.3		
MOORE	0	*	1	*	470	334	71.1	334	71.1	.0		
MURRIS	24	66.7	16	66.7	360	183	50.8	183	50.8	15.9		
MUTLEY	3	.0	0	.0	313	252	80.5	252	80.5	80.5-		
NACOGDOCHES	70	57.1	40	57.1	1,215	883	72.7	883	72.7	15.6-		
NAVARRO	110	57.3	63	57.3	2,236	767	34.3	767	34.3	23.0		
NEWTON	19	68.4	13	68.4	221	203	91.9	203	91.9	23.5-		
NOLAN	8	87.5	7	87.5	646	457	70.7	457	70.7	16.8		
NUECES	8	112.5	9	112.5	518	408	78.8	408	78.8	33.7		
OCHILTREE	0	*	0	*	587	533	90.8	533	90.8	.0		
OLDHAM	0	*	0	*	198	177	89.4	177	89.4	.0		
OKANGE	2	100.0	2	100.0	140	109	77.9	109	77.9	22.1		
PALU PINTO	0	*	0	*	644	335	52.0	335	52.0	.0		
PANOLA	67	58.2	39	58.2	863	534	61.9	534	61.9	3.7-		
PARKER	7	100.0	7	100.0	1,119	839	75.0	839	75.0	25.0		
PARMER	3	33.3	1	33.3	913	517	56.6	517	56.6	23.3-		
PECOS	10	80.0	8	80.0	315	204	64.8	204	64.8	15.2		
POLK	101	13.9	14	13.9	593	217	36.6	217	36.6	22.7-		
POTTER	0	*	5	*	159	304	191.2	304	191.2	.0		
PRESIDIO	65	61.5	40	61.5	102	85	83.3	85	83.3	21.8-		
RAINS	7	28.6	2	28.6	253	100	39.5	100	39.5	10.9-		
RANDALL	0	*	0	*	470	323	68.7	323	68.7	.0		
REAGAN	1	100.0	1	100.0	96	99	103.1	99	103.1	3.1-		
REAL	1	200.0	2	200.0	122	96	78.7	96	78.7	121.3		
RED RIVER	48	68.8	33	68.8	1,077	599	55.6	599	55.6	13.2		
REEVES	13	61.5	8	61.5	300	208	69.3	208	69.3	7.8-		
REFUGIO	7	14.3	1	14.3	254	90	35.4	90	35.4	21.1-		
ROBERTS	0	*	0	*	136	151	111.0	151	111.0	.0		
ROBERTSON	36	88.9	32	88.9	860	875	101.7	875	101.7	12.8-		
ROCKWALL	0	*	0	*	473	350	74.0	350	74.0	.0		
RUNNELS	2	50.0	1	50.0	1,104	550	49.8	550	49.8	.2		

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS :		: RECIPIENTS :		: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :	: RECIPIENTS : : ASSISTANCE :		: RECIPIENTS : : ASSISTANCE :		: MINORITY-WHITE PCT. PTS.	
	NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.		NO.	PCT.	NO.	PCT.		
RUSK	226	153	67.7	839	708	84.4	16.7-				
SABINE	21	6	28.6	309	130	42.1	13.5-				
SAN AUGUSTINE	29	13	44.8	562	249	44.3	.5				
SAN JACINTO	79	15	19.0	300	142	47.3	28.3-				
SAN PATRICIO	12	12	100.0	481	353	73.4	26.6				
SAN SABA	0	0	*	635	521	82.0	.0				
SCHLEICHER	3	0	.0	205	213	103.9	103.9-				
SCURRY	3	0	.0	505	198	39.2	39.2-				
SHACKELFORD	2	0	.0	293	171	58.4	58.4-				
SHELBY	42	10	23.8	932	622	66.7	42.9-				
SHERMAN	2	1	50.0	408	335	82.1	32.1-				
SMITH	251	117	46.6	1,156	702	60.7	14.1-				
SUMNERVELL	0	0	*	182	111	61.0	.0				
STARR	612	268	43.8	58	42	72.4	28.6-				
STEPHENS	1	1	100.0	420	264	62.9	37.1				
STERLING	0	0	*	94	83	88.3	.0				
STONEWALL	1	1	100.0	439	368	83.8	16.2				
SUTTON	1	3	300.0	170	157	92.4	207.6				
SWISHER	2	0	.0	815	394	48.3	48.3-				
TARRANT	10	9	90.0	866	1,013	117.0	27.0-				
TAYLOR	1	0	.0	911	408	44.8	44.8-				
TERRELL	0	1	*	73	124	169.9	.0				
TERRY	2	2	100.0	490	443	90.4	5.6				
THROCKMORTON	2	4	200.0	441	273	61.9	138.1				
TITUS	23	29	126.1	700	431	61.6	64.5				
TOM GREEN	1	2	200.0	917	429	46.8	153.2				
TRAVIS	43	8	18.6	985	467	47.4	28.8-				
TRINITY	37	22	59.5	545	291	53.4	6.1				
TYLER	15	4	26.7	639	275	43.0	16.3-				
UPSHUR	30	21	70.0	860	499	58.0	12.0				
UPTON	0	0	*	83	57	68.7	.0				
UVALDE	7	7	100.0	548	445	81.2	18.8				
VAL VERDE	8	13	162.5	205	182	88.8	73.7				
VAN ZANDT	28	14	50.0	1,892	607	32.1	17.9				
VICTORIA	52	51	98.1	809	602	74.4	23.7				
WALKER	163	79	48.5	740	452	61.1	12.6-				
WALLER	70	69	98.6	518	540	104.2	5.6-				
WARD	8	4	50.0	196	108	55.1	5.1-				
WASHINGTON	74	70	94.6	1,194	747	62.6	32.0				
WEBB	99	145	146.5	186	228	122.6	23.9				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-94.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN TEXAS

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE : : POINT	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE : : DIFFERENCE
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
WHARTON	63	65.1	41	65.1		1,059	62.5	662	62.5	2.6
WHEELER	0	*	0	*		773	64.3	497	64.3	-0
WICHITA	1	200.0	2	200.0		524	83.2	436	83.2	116.8
WILBARGER	0	*	0	*		751	67.6	508	67.6	-0
WILLACY	115	51.3	59	51.3		887	57.0	506	57.0	5.7-
WILLIAMSON	10	100.0	10	100.0		2,622	52.9	1,387	52.9	47.1
WILSON	39	43.6	17	43.6		957	38.7	370	38.7	4.9
WINKLER	0	*	0	*		36	22.2	8	22.2	-0
WISE	1	100.0	1	100.0		1,601	26.7	427	26.7	73.3
WOOD	32	62.5	20	62.5		1,038	40.7	422	40.7	21.8
YOAKUM	2	-0	0	-0		508	81.9	416	81.9	81.9-
YOUNG	1	200.0	2	200.0		672	83.8	563	83.8	116.2
ZAPATA	237	62.9	149	62.9		31	90.3	28	90.3	27.4-
ZAVALA	13	107.7	14	107.7		299	67.2	201	67.2	40.5
TOTAL	9,227	64.0	5,907	64.0		182,078	58.8	107,106	58.8	5.2

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

SCIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-95.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY			WHITE			RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL			MINORITY-WHITE PCI. PTS.	
	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		CF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :	CUMULATIVE : COOPERATORS :		OF TECHNICAL : ASSISTANCE :	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL				
	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.	NO.	NO.	PCI.		
ACCOMACK	5	0	.0	396	160	40.4	40.4-				
ALBEMARLE	7	15	214.3	755	273	36.2	178.1				
ALLEGHANY	0	0	*	198	78	39.4	.0				
AMELIA	99	42	42.4	407	143	35.1	7.3				
AMHERST	24	16	66.7	308	156	50.6	16.1				
APPOMATTOX	23	1	4.3	256	132	51.6	47.3-				
AUGUSTA	5	5	100.0	957	247	25.8	74.2				
BATH	0	0	*	144	110	76.4	.0				
BEDFORD	13	11	84.6	608	314	51.6	33.0				
BLAND	0	1	*	248	105	42.3	.0				
BUTELTOUT	9	3	33.3	586	246	42.0	8.7-				
BRUNSWICK	129	71	55.0	671	238	35.5	19.5				
BUCHANAN	0	0	*	44	79	179.5	.0				
BUCKINGHAM	12	8	66.7	434	106	24.4	42.3				
CAMPBELL	19	6	31.6	316	152	48.1	16.5-				
CAROLINE	8	1	12.5	43	90	209.3	196.8-				
CARROLL	6	0	.0	990	71	7.2	7.2-				
CHARLES CITY	0	0	*	0	13	*	.0				
CHARLOTTE	114	41	36.0	735	195	26.5	9.5				
CHES	19	3	15.8	107	23	21.5	5.7-				
CHESTERFIELD	36	4	11.1	409	44	10.8	.3				
CLARKE	3	2	66.7	150	60	40.0	26.7				
CRAIG	1	0	.0	288	128	44.4	44.4-				
CULPEPER	16	4	25.0	797	231	29.0	4.0-				
CUMBERLAND	45	11	24.4	276	78	28.3	3.9-				
DICKENSON	0	0	*	190	155	81.6	.0				
DINWIDDIE	213	26	12.2	626	134	21.4	9.2-				
ESSEX	32	9	28.1	224	76	33.9	5.8-				
FAIRFAX	0	3	*	84	126	150.0	.0				
FAUQUIER	10	6	60.0	374	417	111.5	51.5-				
FLOYD	4	0	.0	666	177	26.6	26.6-				
FLUVANNA	30	12	40.0	311	150	48.2	8.2-				
FRANKLIN	68	24	35.3	839	746	88.9	53.6-				
FREDERICK	1	0	.0	265	70	26.4	26.4-				
GILES	0	0	*	279	227	81.4	.0				
GLOUCESTER	14	7	50.0	203	107	52.7	2.7-				
GOOCHLAND	79	15	19.0	422	174	41.2	22.2-				
GRAYSON	7	1	14.3	798	234	29.3	15.0-				
GREENE	3	2	66.7	161	70	43.5	23.2				
GREENSVILLE	66	10	15.2	299	127	42.5	27.3-				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-95.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COOPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE										PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE
	MINORITY					WHITE					
	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL : : COOPERATORS :		: PERCENTAGE POINT DIFFERENCE	
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		
HALIFAX	109	13	11.9	742	146	19.7	7.8-				
HANOVER	8	5	62.5	638	219	34.3	28.2				
HENRICO	0	5	*	124	103	83.1	.0				
HENRY	18	4	22.2	358	181	50.6	28.4-				
HIGHLAND	0	0	*	218	136	62.4	.0				
ISLE OF WIGHT	47	2	4.3	551	170	30.9	26.6-				
JAMES CITY	0	2	*	49	32	65.3	.0				
KING AND QUEEN	13	2	15.4	115	46	40.0	24.6-				
KING GEORGE	0	1	*	84	111	132.1	.0				
KING WILLIAM	12	0	.0	152	35	23.0	23.0-				
LANCASTER	11	0	.0	92	28	30.4	30.4-				
LEE	0	0	*	437	271	62.0	.0				
LOUDOUN	7	3	42.9	990	450	45.5	2.6-				
LOUISA	74	5	6.8	614	172	28.0	21.2-				
LUNENBURG	107	10	9.3	526	148	28.1	18.8-				
MADISON	16	14	87.5	394	98	24.9	62.6				
MATHEWS	1	1	100.0	69	26	37.7	62.3				
MECKLENBURG	122	29	23.8	937	302	32.2	8.4-				
MIDDLESEX	15	2	13.3	100	46	46.0	32.7-				
MONTGOMERY	2	3	150.0	445	132	29.7	120.3				
NELSON	14	5	35.7	409	127	31.1	4.6				
NEW KENT	11	3	27.3	128	22	17.2	10.1				
NORTHAMPTON	0	0	*	143	61	42.7	.0				
NORTHUMBERLAND	12	0	.0	156	48	30.8	30.8-				
NOTTOWAY	38	11	28.9	354	129	36.4	7.5-				
ORANGE	16	1	6.3	345	107	31.0	24.7-				
PAGE	1	0	.0	646	144	22.3	22.3-				
PATRICK	19	6	31.6	615	290	47.2	15.6-				
PITTSYLVANIA	52	44	84.6	957	554	57.9	26.7				
PUNHATAN	49	3	6.1	285	113	39.6	33.5-				
PRINCE EDWARD	113	51	45.1	579	238	41.1	4.0				
PRINCE GEORGE	79	4	5.1	378	62	16.4	11.3-				
PRINCE WILLIAM	3	4	133.3	289	70	24.2	109.1				
PULASKI	1	0	.0	475	43	9.1	9.1-				
RAPPAHANNOCK	1	0	.0	241	174	72.2	72.2-				
RICHMOND	6	0	.0	155	35	22.6	22.6-				
ROANOKE	1	0	.0	303	250	82.5	82.5-				
ROCKBRIDGE	1	1	100.0	647	152	23.5	76.5				
ROCKINGHAM	4	4	100.0	1,333	567	42.5	57.5				
RUSSELL	0	0	*	583	259	44.4	.0				

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SEE FOOTNOTE AT END OF TABLE

CONTINUED

SOIL CONSERVATION SERVICE

TABLE 3-95.--TECHNICAL RECIPIENTS AS A PERCENTAGE OF COPERATORS AND PERCENTAGE DIFFERENCE, MINORITY AND WHITE, BY COUNTIES FOR FISCAL YEAR 1977 IN VIRGINIA

COUNTY	RECIPIENTS OF TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE									
	MINORITY					WHITE				
	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE	: CUMULATIVE : : COOPERATORS :		: RECIPIENTS : : OF TECHNICAL :		: PERCENTAGE POINT : DIFFERENCE
	NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.		NO.	PCI.	NO.	PCI.	
SCOTT	0	0	0	*		369	72.4	267	72.4	.0
SHENANDOAH	0	0	0	*		623	39.8	248	39.8	.0
SMYTH	2	1	50.0			300	89.3	268	89.3	39.3-
SOUTHAMPTON	53	25	47.2			418	76.8	321	76.8	29.6-
SPOTSYLVANIA	18	7	38.9			300	44.3	133	44.3	5.4-
STAFFORD	4	0	0	0.0		147	63.3	93	63.3	63.3-
SURRY	36	28	77.8			146	91.8	134	91.8	14.0-
SUSSEX	63	12	19.0			389	28.5	111	28.5	9.5-
TAZEWELL	0	0	*			501	60.7	304	60.7	.0
WARREN	4	4	100.0			441	39.0	172	39.0	61.0
WASHINGTON	0	0	*			564	35.5	200	35.5	.0
WESTMORELAND	25	0	0	0		213	11.7	25	11.7	11.7-
WISE	0	0	*			99	165.7	164	165.7	.0
WYTHE	2	0	0	0		435	46.7	203	46.7	46.7-
YORK	2	2	100.0			39	25.6	10	25.6	74.4
INDEPENDENT CITIES :										
CHESAPEAKE	12	1	8.3			440	26.6	117	26.6	18.3-
HAMPTON	0	0	*			0	*	3	*	.0
NEWPORT NEWS	0	0	*			0	*	4	*	.0
STAUNTON	0	0	*			0	*	1	*	.0
SUFFOLK	45	17	37.8			305	38.0	116	38.0	.2-
VIRGINIA BEACH	3	0	0	0		271	51.3	139	51.3	51.3-
WAYNESBORO	0	0	*			0	*	9	*	.0
WILLIAMSBURG	0	0	*			0	*	1	*	.0
TOTAL	2,372	695	29.3			38,520	41.0	15,802	41.0	11.7-

* NOT APPLICABLE

NOTE: A MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING. A FIGURE WITH NO MINUS SIGN DENOTES THAT THE PERCENTAGE OF MINORITIES PARTICIPATING EXCEEDED THE PERCENTAGE OF WHITES PARTICIPATING.

1890 Colleges

USDA assistance to the 15 predominantly Black land-grant colleges established under the Second Morrill Act of 1890 and to Tuskegee Institute has increased from \$804,000 in 1970 to \$21.7 million in 1977 and now represents approximately equal amounts of aid, on a per capita enrollment basis, between the predominantly White and predominantly Black land-grant schools in the same States.

USDA Support to 1890 Land-Grant Colleges and Tuskegee Institute, 1970-77 (In Thousands of Dollars)

College/University	1970	1972	1974	1976	1977
Alabama A&M	\$ 27	\$ 789	\$ 1,025	\$ 1,296	\$ 1,386
Tuskegee	402	843	1,102	1,219	1,316
U of Ark (Pine Bluff)	25	729	879	461	1,115
Delaware State	12	328	413	461	479
Florida A&M	20	603	702	844	890
Ft. Valley State Col.	45	839	1,091	1,324	1,397
Kentucky State	19	859	1,145	1,415	1,505
Southern	32	674	817	1,010	1,037
U of Md., Eastern Shore	14	546	626	751	773
Alcorn	30	836	1,073	1,289	1,366
Lincoln	32	888	1,110	1,349	1,403
North Carolina A&T	49	1,241	1,533	1,913	2,006
Langston	16	598	778	940	993
South Carolina	17	707	910	1,120	1,153
Tennessee State	19	955	1,160	1,435	1,579
Prairie View	27	1,127	1,442	1,816	1,956
Virginia	18	791	1,029	1,251	1,326
Total	\$ 804	\$ 13,353	\$ 16,835	\$ 20,503	\$ 21,680



